Unofficial Copy F1 1996 Regular Session 6lr2473

By: Senator Derr (Frederick County Delegation)

Introduced and read first time: February 2, 1996 Assigned to: Economic and Environmental Affairs

SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION

1 A Senate Joint Resolution concerning

2 Individuals With Disabilities Education Act

- 3 FOR the purpose of requesting the United States Congress to reauthorizeand modify the
- 4 Individuals With Disabilities Education Act.
- 5 WHEREAS, The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act was enacted in 1975
- 6 and has been amended periodically to address new issues and respond to unforeseen
- 7 developments; and
- 8 WHEREAS, The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act represents one of the
- 9 most laudable and successful enactments of Congress to serve children with disabilities
- 10 and their parents. The principles that children with disabilities should have a free and
- 11 appropriate education, that each child's education should be determined individually, and
- 12 that the rights of children and parents should be safeguarded are widely supported; and
- WHEREAS, When the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act was passed,
- 14 Congress promised to provide 40% of the costs of implementing the Act; however,
- 15 Congress has rarely contributed more than 7% of those costs. Costs of providing special
- 16 education services have escalated excessively, vital staff time is squandered in satisfying
- 17 unproductive procedural and paperwork requirements, and because school systems must
- 18 provide services, the costs of service providers and technology have soared. Costly
- 19 mandates for students with disabilities curtail the availability of services offered for other
- 20 students. The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act is no longer simple; it is in many
- 21 ways impractical, and many of its procedures have become complex to the point of being
- 22 counterproductive; and
- WHEREAS, Parents and educators are too often and too quickly forced into an
- 24 adversarial relationship. A school system must absorb the costs of a legal action about the
- 25 placement of a student with disabilities even when the school system prevails. Some
- 26 parents seek damages for lost wages for attending meetings related to the educational
- 27 program of their children. Mediation, though a less costly alternative than litigation, is
- 28 not required in disputes under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act; and
- 29 WHEREAS, Students with disabilities may be placed in private schoolsand school
- 30 systems may be required to pay tuition even though the school system may not have been
- 31 afforded an opportunity to otherwise place the student; and
- 32 WHEREAS, A student with disabilities cannot be suspended from schoolfor
- 33 dangerous or disruptive behavior for more than 10 days per school year unless the

- 1 behavior is proven to be unrelated to the disability, providing that proof is expensive and
- 2 takes much staff time, and the student is required to be kept in schoolwhile the question
- 3 of relatedness is litigated. The United States Department of Education mandates that
- 4 school systems provide educational services to a suspended student evenif the student
- 5 commits severely dangerous or disruptive acts, thereby implying that students with
- 6 disabilities need not feel responsible for those acts. Some parents of regular education
- 7 students who are suspended are seeking to evade discipline by claiming that the children
- 8 have disabilities covered by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. The
- 9 Individuals with Disabilities Education Act sometimes requires that dangerous children
- 10 be kept in the school over the better judgement of the school system and the school
- 11 system becomes liable to claims by others harmed by those children. Recent court
- 12 decisions have held that referring a student with disabilities to a lawenforcement agency
- 13 constitutes a "change in placement" under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act 14 bringing all of the Act's procedural and paperwork requirements into play; and
- WHEREAS, Replacing the current categorization of qualifying conditions in the
- 16 Individuals with Disabilities Education Act with a single, broadly worded eligibility
- 17 standard would create an overly broad standard that would subject school systems to
- 18 increased costs and more litigation. Federal administrative agencies such as the Office of
- 19 Special Education Programs and the Department of Education have expanded greatly the
- 20 dictates of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act by issuing policy letters arrived
- 21 at in a secret and non-participatory manner thus excessively burdening school systems;
- 22 and The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act contains numerous ambiguities and
- 23 vague provisions allowing critical aspects of the Act to be decided by judges, thereby
- 24 creating increased instability and frequent variation; and
- 25 WHEREAS, School systems are required to buy, without limit, assistive technology.
- 26 Requirements for individual educational program documentation are oftenso
- 27 burdensome as to waste valuable resources; and
- 28 WHEREAS, The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act requires
- 29 reauthorization by Congress, and certain aspects of the Individuals with Disabilities
- 30 Education Act should be modified; now, therefore, be it
- 31 RESOLVED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, That the
- 32 United States Congress is requested to reauthorize and modify the Individuals With
- 33 Disabilities Education Act; and be it further
- 34 RESOLVED, That Congress provide actual funding reasonably equal to the
- 35 amount pledged to be funded; and be it further
- 36 RESOLVED, That:
- 37 (1) Reasonable parameters be established regarding the federal mandate that
- 38 students be provided with assistive technology;
- 39 (2) School systems should be allowed expressly to access private and
- 40 governmental insurance proceeds whenever coverage is provided for services that the
- 41 school system provides;

- 1 (3) The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act be administered in a common 2 sense, collaborative manner so that parents can participate fully in educational 3 programming;
- 4 (4) The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act should more appropriately 5 balance the needs of students with disabilities with the needs of students generally;
- 6 (5) The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act be amended so that school 7 systems are not required to purchase more expensive services if less expensive services are 8 also appropriate;
- 9 (6) Requirements for expulsion or suspension of students with disabilities be 10 conformed to other statutory requirements for expulsion so that dangerous situations in 11 school can be avoided and so that disruptive students can be deterred;
- 12 (7) A student who possesses a gun or other dangerous weapon in school should be 13 removed from school for a minimum of 90 days even if the student has a disability;
- 14 (8) Disruptive or dangerous behavior that is unrelated to a disability should be 15 treated in a uniform manner for all children:
- 16 (9) Measures be taken to avoid the situation whereby, as to drug or gun 17 possession, students with disabilities act as fronts for students without disabilities;
- 18 (10) The school system should not be liable for the harm done by a dangerous 19 student when the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act requires that student to be 20 kept in the school over the better judgement of the school system;
- 21 (11) The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act be amended to state clearly 22 that referring a student to a law enforcement or juvenile justice agency is not a "change in 23 placement" under the Act;
- 24 (12) The use of categorization to determine eligibility be retained;
- 25 (13) Costs involving challenges to student placements be apportionedmore fairly 26 in situations where the school system prevails, that attorneys fees be disallowed in some 27 cases and capped in others, that school systems be awarded attorneys fees in some cases, 28 that monetary damages for lost wages of parents be prohibited in some situations, and 29 that mediation be required;
- 30 (14) The authority of federal agencies to extend provisions of the Individuals with 31 Disabilities Education Act be restricted:
- 32 (15) Federal agencies should only be allowed to implement the Individuals with 33 Disabilities Education Act through an open and participatory manner;
- 34 (16) Steps be taken so that special health services for disabled students do not 35 unduly burden the fiscal and personnel resources of the school system;
- 36 (17) Congress codify judicially developed standards in the areas of appropriateness 37 of services, duration of services, assistive technology, school health services, change in 38 placement, and least restrictive environment;

- 1 (18) A parent should be required to provide adequate notice to school systems
- 2 before placing a student in a private school and that a school system have a reasonable
- 3 opportunity to place otherwise the student if the parent expects the school system to pay
- 4 the tuition;
- 5 (19) Reasonable parameters be established on the requirement that assistive
- 6 technology be purchased and that school systems need not be required topurchase
- 7 assistive technology that is personal or only tangential to learning;
- 8 (20) Requirements for individual educational programs be simplified and made
- 9 more rational; and be it further
- 10 RESOLVED, That a copy of this Resolution be forwarded by the Department of
- 11 Legislative Reference to the Maryland Congressional Delegation: Senators Paul S.
- 12 Sarbanes and Barbara A. Mikulski, Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20510; and
- 13 Representatives Wayne T. Gilchrest, Robert L. Ehrlich, Jr., Benjamin L. Cardin, Albert
- 14 R. Wynn, Steny Hamilton Hoyer, Roscoe G. Bartlett, Kweisi Mfume, and Constance A.
- 15 Morella, House Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20515.