

BY: Finance Committee

AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE BILL NO. 736

(Third Reading File Bill)

AMENDMENT NO. 1

On page 1, strike beginning with “authorizing” in line 8 down through “circumstances;” in line 11; in line 12, after “circumstances;” insert “providing that in a proceeding on a certain claim there is, absent substantial evidence to the contrary, a certain presumption;”; strike beginning with “providing” in line 12 down through “Act;” in line 13.

AMENDMENT NO. 2

On page 3, strike in their entirety lines 1 through 20, inclusive; in line 21, strike “(3)” and substitute “(2)”; in line 24, strike “PAYMENT OF WAGES UNDER §§ 9-615 AND 9-621 OF THIS TITLE AND”; in line 36, strike “(4)” and substitute “(3)”; in the same line, strike beginning with “PAYMENT” down through “AND” in line 37.

On page 4, strike in their entirety lines 1 through 14, inclusive; strike beginning with “It” in line 33 down through “effect.” in line 35.

AMENDMENT NO. 3

On page 4, after line 31, insert:

“(G) IN A PROCEEDING ON A CLAIM FOR COMPENSATION UNDER SUBSECTION (D) OF THIS SECTION, THERE IS, ABSENT SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE TO THE CONTRARY, A PRESUMPTION THAT:

(1) THE EFFECT ON THE COVERED EMPLOYEE OF A CONTROLLED DANGEROUS SUBSTANCE WAS NOT THE PRIMARY CAUSE OF THE ACCIDENTAL PERSONAL INJURY, COMPENSABLE HERNIA, OR OCCUPATIONAL DISEASE; AND

(2) THE INTOXICATION OF THE COVERED EMPLOYEE WAS NOT THE PRIMARY CAUSE OF THE ACCIDENTAL PERSONAL INJURY, COMPENSABLE HERNIA, OR OCCUPATIONAL DISEASE.”.