Unofficial Copy R7 HB 996/96 - ECM 1997 Regular Session 7lr1836

By:	Delegates Ka	ch, Love, l	McClenahan,	Crumlin,	Kirk, Ec	kardt, I	Oonoghue, `	V
Mito	hell, Walkup	, Kelly, L	a Vay, Schade	, and M. B	Burns			

Introduced and read first time: January 31, 1997

Assigned to: Economic Matters

Committee Report: Favorable with amendments

House action: Adopted

Read second time: March 23, 1997

CHAPTER ____

- 1 AN ACT concerning
- 2 Vehicle Laws Uninsured Motorists and Drunk or Drugged Drivers Prohibition Against
- 3 Recovery of Waiver of Right to Noneconomic Damages
- 4 FOR the purpose of prohibiting a person from recovering certain noneconomic damages
- 5 for personal injuries sustained while driving a motor vehicle that is not covered by
- 6 the required security or while driving a motor vehicle in violation of certain
- 7 provisions relating to drunk or drugged driving; providing that the prohibition
- 8 against recovery of noneconomic damages under this Act does not apply under
- o against recovery of noneconomic damages under this 74ct does not appry under
- 9 certain circumstances; creating, for certain purposes, a rebuttable presumption that
- 10 a person knows or has reason to know that a motor vehicle is not covered by the
- 11 required security under certain circumstances; prohibiting a person who is barred
- 12 under this Act from recovering noneconomic damages from presenting certain
- 13 evidence and information to the trier of fact; defining a certain term; making
- 14 stylistic changes; and generally relating to a prohibition against recovery of
- 15 noneconomic damages for injuries sustained, under certain circumstances, by
- 16 <u>uninsured and drunk or drugged drivers</u> establishing that an individual driving a
- 17 <u>motor vehicle that is not covered by insurance is considered to have waived the right</u>
- 18 <u>to recover noneconomic loss damages under certain circumstances; exempting</u>
- 19 <u>certain individuals from the waiver under certain circumstances; creating a</u>
- 20 <u>rebuttable presumption that an individual knows or has reason to know that the</u>
- 21 <u>motor vehicle is not covered by insurance under certain circumstances; making</u>
- stylistic changes; making technical changes; providing for the termination of this
 Act: and generally relating to operating a motor vehicle that does not have
- Act; and generally relating to operating a motor vehicle that does not have insurance coverage.
- 25 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 26 Article Transportation

2	
1	Section 17-107 and 21-902
2	Annotated Code of Maryland
3	(1992 Replacement Volume and 1996 Supplement)
4	SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF
5	MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
6	Article - Transportation
7	17-107.
8	(a) A person who knows or has reason to know that a motor vehicle is not covered
9	by the required security may not:
10	(1) Drive the vehicle; or
	(2) 100 1 777 777 777 777
11 12	(2) If [he] THE PERSON is an owner of the vehicle, knowingly permit another person to drive it.
12	another person to drive it.
13	
	of the official records of the [Motor Vehicle] Administration showing the absence of a
	record that the vehicle is covered by the security required under § 17-104 of this subtitle shall be prima facie evidence that a person knows or has reason to know that a motor
	vehicle is not covered by the required security.
.,	venicle is not covered by the required security.
18	(2) The introduction of evidence of the records of the Administration may
19	not limit the introduction of other evidence bearing upon whether the vehicle was covered
20	by the required security.
21	(c) An owner or lessee of any motor vehicle registered under Title 13 of this
22	article may not raise the defense of sovereign or governmental immunity as described
	under § 5-399.4 of the Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article.
24	<u>17-107.</u>
	<u></u>
25	<u>, </u>
26	vehicle is not covered by the required security may not:
27	(1) Drive the vehicle; or
28	(2) If [he] THE INDIVIDUAL is an owner of the vehicle, knowingly permit
	another [person] INDIVIDUAL to drive it.
30	(b) (1) In [any] A prosecution under subsection (a) of this section the
	introduction of the official records of the [Motor Vehicle] Administration showing the
	absence of a record that the vehicle is covered by the security required under § 17-104 of
	this subtitle shall be prima facie evidence that [a person] AN INDIVIDUAL knows or has
34	reason to know that a motor vehicle is not covered by the required security.
35	(2) The introduction of evidence of the records of the Administration may
	not limit the introduction of other evidence bearing upon whether the vehicle was covered
	by the required security.

3

1	(c) An owner or lessee of [any] A motor vehicle registered under Title 13 of this
	article may not raise the defense of sovereign or governmental immunity as described
3	under § 5-399.4 of the Courts [and Judicial Proceedings] Article.
4	(D) (1) IN THIS SUBSECTION UNIONE CONOMIC DAMAGES! MEANS DAMAGES
4	(D) (1) IN THIS SUBSECTION, "NONECONOMIC DAMAGES" MEANS DAMAGES
	FOR NONPECUNIARY LOSS INCLUDING PAIN, SUFFERING, INCONVENIENCE,
6.	PHYSICAL IMPAIRMENT, <u>AND</u> DISFIGUREMENT , AND LOSS OF CONSORTIUM .
7	(2) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN PARAGRAPH (3) OF THIS SUBSECTION, A
•	PERSON WHO SUSTAINS PERSONAL INJURIES WHILE DRIVING A MOTOR VEHICLE
	THAT IS NOT COVERED BY THE REQUIRED SECURITY IN VIOLATION OF SUBSECTION
	(A) OF THIS SECTION MAY NOT RECOVER NONECONOMIC DAMAGES FOR THE
	INJURIES FROM ANOTHER PERSON WHO, AT THE TIME OF THE ACCIDENT
	RESULTING IN THE INJURIES, WAS OPERATING A MOTOR VEHICLE THAT WAS
	•
13	COVERED BY THE REQUIRED SECURITY.
14	(3) THIS SUBSECTION DOES NOT APPLY TO A PERSON WHOSE INJURIES
15	WERE CAUSED BY ANOTHER PERSON WHO, AS A RESULT OF THE ACCIDENT
	RESULTING IN THE INJURIES, WAS CONVICTED OF:
10	TENCETAL DIVINE DIVINE CONTRALE CONTRAL
17	(I) A VIOLATION OF § 21-902 OF THIS ARTICLE;
18	(II) HOMICIDE BY MOTOR VEHICLE WHILE INTOXICATED OR
19	INTOXICATED PER SE, OR WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL, DRUGS, OR
20	A CONTROLLED DANGEROUS SUBSTANCE UNDER ARTICLE 27, § 388A OF THE CODE;
21	(III) CAUSING A LIFE THREATENING INJURY BY MOTOR VEHICLE
22	WHILE INTOXICATED OR INTOXICATED PER SE, OR WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE
	OF ALCOHOL, DRUGS, OR A CONTROLLED DANGEROUS SUBSTANCE UNDER
24	ARTICLE 27, § 388B OF THE CODE; OR
25	(IV) VEHICLE AD ACCALL T
25	(IV) VEHICULAR ASSAULT.
26	(4) FOR PURPOSES OF THIS SUBSECTION, THERE IS A REBUTTABLE
	PRESUMPTION THAT A PERSON KNOWS OR HAS REASON TO KNOW THAT A MOTOR
	VEHICLE IS NOT COVERED BY THE REQUIRED SECURITY IF THE SECURITY
	PREVIOUSLY IN EFFECT HAD LAPSED, TERMINATED, OR WAS INEFFECTIVE FOR A
	PERIOD OF AT LEAST 30 DAYS BEFORE THE ACCIDENT THAT RESULTED IN THE
	PERSON'S INJURIES.
31	TERBOTTS IN ORIES.
32	(5) IF A PERSON IS PROHIBITED FROM RECOVERING NONECONOMIC
	DAMAGES UNDER THIS SUBSECTION. THE PERSON MAY NOT:
33	DIMINOLS CIVER THIS SCENETION, THE PERSONNETT NOT.
34	(I) PRESENT ANY EVIDENCE OF NONECONOMIC DAMAGES TO THE
35	TRIER OF FACT; OR
36	(II) INFORM THE TRIER OF FACT OF:
37	1. THE APPLICATION OF THE PROHIBITION UNDER THIS
38	SUBSECTION TO THE PERSON; OR
2.5	A TIVE STEED OF TWO DO ONE TO THE TOTAL THE TO
39	2. THE EFFECT OF THE PROHIBITION ON THE TOTAL
40	AMOUNT OF THE PERSON'S RECOVERY.

4

1	21 902.
2	(a) (1) A person may not drive or attempt to drive any vehicle while intoxicated.
3	(2) A person may not drive or attempt to drive any vehicle while the person
4	is intoxicated per se.
5	(b) A person may not drive or attempt to drive any vehicle while under the
6	influence of alcohol.
7	(c) (1) A person may not drive or attempt to drive any vehicle while he is so far
8	under the influence of any drug, any combination of drugs, or a combination of one or
9	more drugs and alcohol that he cannot drive a vehicle safely.
10	(2) It is not a defense to any charge of violating this subsection that the
11	person charged is or was entitled under the laws of this State to use the drug, combination
12	of drugs, or combination of one or more drugs and alcohol, unless the person was
	unaware that the drug or combination would make him incapable of safely driving a
	vehicle.
15	(d) A person may not drive or attempt to drive any vehicle while he is under the
16	influence of any controlled dangerous substance, as that term is defined in Article 27, §
	279 of the Code, if the person is not entitled to use the controlled dangerous substance
	under the laws of this State.
19 20	(E) (1) IN THIS SUBSECTION, "NONECONOMIC DAMAGES" HAS THE MEANING STATED IN § 17-107 OF THIS ARTICLE.
21	(A) ENGERT AG PROMITED BY DAD AGRADY (A) OF TYPIG GYRGEGTION. A
21	(2) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN PARAGRAPH (3) OF THIS SUBSECTION, A
	PERSON WHO SUSTAINS PERSONAL INJURIES WHILE OPERATING A MOTOR VEHICLE
	IN VIOLATION OF THIS SECTION MAY NOT RECOVER NONECONOMIC DAMAGES FOR
24	THE INJURIES.
25	(3) THIS SUBSECTION DOES NOT APPLY TO A PERSON WHOSE INJURIES
	WERE CAUSED BY ANOTHER PERSON WHO, AS A RESULT OF THE ACCIDENT
	RESULTING IN THE INJURIES, WAS CONVICTED OF:
28	(I) A VIOLATION OF THIS SECTION;
29	(II) HOMICIDE BY MOTOR VEHICLE WHILE INTOXICATED OR
30	INTOXICATED PER SE, OR WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL, DRUGS, OR
	A CONTROLLED DANGEROUS SUBSTANCE UNDER ARTICLE 27, \S 388A OF THE CODE;
32	(III) CAUSING A LIFE THREATENING INJURY BY MOTOR VEHICLE
	WHILE INTOXICATED OR INTOXICATED PER SE, OR WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE
	OF ALCOHOL, DRUGS, OR A CONTROLLED DANGEROUS SUBSTANCE UNDER
	ARTICLE 27, § 388B OF THE CODE; OR
36	(IV) VEHICULAR ASSAULT.
37	(4) IF A PERSON IS PROHIBITED FROM RECOVERING NONECONOMIC
	DAMAGES UNDER THIS SUBSECTION, THE PERSON MAY NOT:
20	DIMINISTS STIPLE THE SUPERCION, THE LEMBON MILL NOT.

1 2	(I) PRESENT ANY EVIDENCE OF NONECONOMIC DAMAGES TO THE TRIER OF FACT; OR
3	(II) INFORM THE TRIER OF FACT OF:
4 5	1. THE APPLICATION OF THE PROHIBITION UNDER THIS SUBSECTION TO THE PERSON; OR
6 7	2. THE EFFECT OF THE PROHIBITION ON THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF THE PERSON'S RECOVERY.
10 11 12 13	(2) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN PARAGRAPH (3) OF THIS SUBSECTION, AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS INJURED IN A VEHICULAR ACCIDENT WHILE OPERATING A MOTOR VEHICLE IN VIOLATION OF SUBSECTION (A) OF THIS SECTION AND WHO IS THE OWNER AND DRIVER OF THE MOTOR VEHICLE IS CONSIDERED TO HAVE WAIVED THE RIGHT TO RECOVER FOR NONECONOMIC LOSS IN AN ACTION AGAINST A PERSON OPERATING A MOTOR VEHICLE THAT IS COVERED BY THE REQUIRED SECURITY.
15	(3) THIS SUBSECTION DOES NOT APPLY TO:
	(I) AN INDIVIDUAL WHO DOES NOT KNOW OR HAVE REASON TO KNOW THAT THE MOTOR VEHICLE IS NOT COVERED BY THE REQUIRED SECURITY; OR
19 20	(II) AN INDIVIDUAL WHOSE INJURIES ARE CAUSED BY AN INDIVIDUAL WHO, IN CONNECTION WITH THE ACCIDENT, IS:
21 22	1. CONVICTED OF OPERATING A MOTOR VEHICLE IN VIOLATION OF § 21-902 OF THIS ARTICLE;
23 24	2. CONVICTED OF A VIOLATION OF ARTICLE 27, § 388A OF THE CODE;
25	3. CONVICTED OF VEHICULAR ASSAULT;
26 27	4. CONVICTED OF A VIOLATION OF ARTICLE 27, § 388B OF THE CODE;
28 29	5. CONVICTED OF RECKLESS DRIVING UNDER § 21-901.1(A) OF THIS ARTICLE; OR
	6. FOUND TO HAVE BEEN DRIVING IN A MANNER THAT SHOWS CONTEMPT FOR THE SAFETY OF OTHERS UNDER CIRCUMSTANCES THAT WOULD PROBABLY CAUSE HARM.
35 36 37	(4) FOR PURPOSES OF THIS SUBSECTION, THERE IS A REBUTTABLE PRESUMPTION THAT A PERSON KNOWS OR HAS REASON TO KNOW THAT A MOTOR VEHICLE IS NOT COVERED BY THE REQUIRED SECURITY IF THE SECURITY PREVIOUSLY IN EFFECT HAD LAPSED OR TERMINATED AND HAD BEEN INEFFECTIVE FOR A PERIOD OF AT LEAST 60 DAYS AFTER THE DATE OF NOTIFICATION BY THE MOTOR VEHICLE ADMINISTRATION ABOUT THE LAPSE OR

1 TERMINATION AND ABOUT SPECIFIC CONSEQUENCES OF THE LAPSE OR

- 2 TERMINATION UNDER THIS SUBSECTION.
- 3 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect
- 4 October 1, 1997. It shall remain effective for a period of 5 years and, at the end of
- 5 September 30, 2002, with no further action required by the General Assembly, this Act
- 6 shall be abrogated and of no further force and effect.