Unofficial Copy 1997 Regular Session Q1 7lr2364

By: Delegates Healey, Hixson, Rosapepe, Howard, Gordon, Shriver, Bonsack, Conroy, Snodgrass, Exum, Morgan, Workman, Hecht, Menes, Pitkin, Benson, Hubbard, Frush, and McKee

Introduced and read first time: February 6, 1997

Assigned to: Ways and Means

\_\_\_\_\_

Committee Report: Favorable with amendments

House action: Adopted

Read second time: March 17, 1997

\_\_\_\_

CHAPTER \_\_\_\_

## 1 AN ACT concerning

- 2 Property Tax County Setoffs for Property in Municipal Corporations
- 3 Task Force to Study County Property Tax Setoffs to Compensate for Double Taxation of
- Municipal Taxpayers
- $5 \;\; FOR \; the \; purpose \; of \; \frac{}{providing} \; \frac{}{for} \; the \; \frac{}{manner} \; in \; \frac{}{which} \; \frac{}{each} \; \frac{}{county} \; is \; \frac{}{required} \; to \; \frac{}{discuss}$
- 6 with the governing body of any municipal corporation in the county the county
- 7 property tax rate to be set for assessments of property in the municipal corporation;
- 8 requiring that each county and each municipal corporation in the county that desire
- 9 a property tax setoff to submit certain proposals to each other; requiring the county
- 10 to promptly submit to the municipal corporation certain financial records and other
- 11 documentation; providing for mediation or alternative dispute resolution
- 12 procedures; providing for payment of the costs mediation or dispute resolution
- 13 procedures; providing for certain actions to be taken before certain dates;
- 14 authorizing certain appeal and petitions to the circuit court for the county; defining
- 15 a certain term; and generally relating to setoffs from county property tax for
- 16 <u>assessments of property in municipal corporations establishing a Task Force to</u>
- examine issues relating to taxes that municipal property owners are paying for parallel services they do not receive from county governments, service delivery
- parallel services they do not receive from county governments, service delivery
- 19 <u>efficiency, and tax equity; providing for the membership, cochairmen, staffing, and</u>
- 20 responsibilities of the Task Force; requiring a certain report; and generally relating
- 21 to the establishment of a Task Force to Study County Property Tax Setoffs.
- 22 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 23 Article Tax Property
- 24 Section 6-305 and 6-306

1	Annotated Code of Maryland
2	(1994 Replacement Volume and 1996 Supplement)
3	BY repealing and reenacting, without amendments,
4	Article Tax Property
5	Section 6-307
6	Annotated Code of Maryland
7	(1994 Replacement Volume and 1996 Supplement)
8	<u>Preamble</u>
0	WHEDEAG ELL COLL 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
9 10	WHEREAS, The owners of property located within incorporated cities and towns pay property taxes to both a municipal government and a county government; and
11	WHEREAS, The property tax revenues collected by a county government are
12	frequently used to pay for parallel services provided by both a municipal government and
	a county government; and
14	WHEREAS, Such parallel services may commonly include police and fire
15	*
10	collection, and planning and zoning; and
17	WHEREAS, A situation of double taxation exists in a county when a municipal
18	government provides services in lieu of county property-tax-funded services provided to
19	other areas outside the municipal corporation and municipal property owners go
	uncompensated or undercompensated for the duplicate taxes they pay; and
21	WHEREAS, There are issues relating to tax equity, service delivery efficiency and
	service duplication; and
	oet the duplication, and
23	WHEREAS, This potentially inequitable treatment of municipal property taxpayers
24	needs to be studied and addressed by the General Assembly; now, therefore,
25	SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF
20	MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
27	Article - Tax - Property
28	<del>6 305.</del>
29	(a) IN THIS SECTION, "SETOFF" MEANS:
30	(1) THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE GENERAL COUNTY PROPERTY TAX
31	RATE AND THE PROPERTY TAX RATE THAT IS SET FOR ASSESSMENTS OF PROPERTY
32	IN A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION; OR
33	(2) A PAYMENT TO A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION TO AID THE
	MUNICIPAL CORPORATION IN FUNDING SERVICES OR PROGRAMS THAT ARE
	SIMILAR TO COUNTY SERVICES OR PROGRAMS.
36	(B) This section applies only in:
23	( )
37	(1) Allegany County;

1	(2) Anne Arundel County;
2	(3) Baltimore County;
3	(4) CALVERT COUNTY;
4	(5) CAROLINE COUNTY;
5	(6) CARROLL COUNTY;
6	(7) CECIL COUNTY;
7	(8) CHARLES COUNTY;
8	(9) FREDERICK COUNTY;
9	(10) Garrett County;
10	[(5)] (11) Harford County;
11	[(6)] (12) Howard County;
12	(13) KENT COUNTY;
13	[(7)] (14) Montgomery County; [and]
14	[(8)] (15) Prince George's County;
15	(16) QUEEN ANNE'S COUNTY;
16	(17) ST. MARY'S COUNTY; AND
17	(18) WASHINGTON COUNTY.
20 21 22 23 24	[(b)] (C) The governing body of the county shall [meet annually and] discuss with the governing body of any municipal corporation in the county the county property tax rate to be set for assessments of property in the municipal corporation AS PROVIDED IN THIS SECTION. [After the meeting if] IF it can be demonstrated that a municipal corporation performs services or programs instead of similar county services or programs, the governing body of the county shall impose the county property tax on assessments of property in the municipal corporation at a rate that is less than the general county property tax rate.
26	[(c)] (D) In determining the county property tax rate to be set for assessments of
27	property in a municipal corporation, the governing body of the county shall consider:
28 29	(1) the services and programs that are performed by the municipal corporation instead of similar county services and programs; and
30 31	(2) the extent that the similar services and programs are funded by property tax revenues.
32 33	[(d)] (E) The county property tax rate for assessments of property located in a municipal corporation is not required to be:

1	(1) the same as the rate for property located in other municipal corporations in the county; or
3	(2) the same as the rate set in a prior year.
4	[(e)] (F) Instead of imposing a county property tax at a lesser rate for assessments
5	of property in a municipal corporation, the governing body of the county may make a
6	payment to a municipal corporation to aid the municipal corporation in funding
7	municipal corporation services or programs that are similar to county services or
8	<del>programs.</del>
9	(G) (1) AT LEAST 6 MONTHS BEFORE THE DATE THAT THE ANNUAL
10	COUNTY BUDGET IS REQUIRED TO BE APPROVED, A COUNTY AND EACH MUNICIPAL
11	CORPORATION IN THE COUNTY THAT DESIRES A PROPERTY TAX SETOFF SHALL
12	SUBMIT TO EACH OTHER A PROPOSAL THAT STATES THE DESIRED LEVEL OF
13	PROPERTY TAX SETOFF FOR THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR.
14	(2) AFTER RECEIVING A PROPOSAL FROM A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION
15	REQUESTING A SETOFF, THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE COUNTY SHALL PROMPTLY
	SUBMIT TO THE MUNICIPAL CORPORATION FINANCIAL RECORDS AND OTHER
	DOCUMENTATION REGARDING COUNTY REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES.
18	(H) (1) (I) A COUNTY OR MUNICIPAL CORPORATION MAY REQUEST THAT
19	A MEDIATOR FROM THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS BE APPOINTED TO
20	WORK WITH THE AFFECTED PARTIES.
21	(II) THE COSTS SHALL BE PAID BY THE PARTY REQUESTING THE
22	MEDIATION UP TO A MAXIMUM OF \$2,000.
23	(2) (I) A COUNTY AND A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION MAY JOINTLY
24	AGREE TO PURSUE AN ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROCEDURE.
25	(II) THE COSTS SHALL BE PAID EQUALLY BY THE PARTIES.
26	(I) (1) AT LEAST 3 MONTHS BEFORE THE DATE THAT THE ANNUAL
	COUNTY BUDGET IS REQUIRED TO BE APPROVED, THE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
	OR THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE OF A CHARTER COUNTY SHALL SUBMIT A FINAL
29	PROPOSAL TO EACH MUNICIPAL CORPORATION THAT HAS REQUESTED A SETOFF.
30	(2) THE FINAL PROPOSAL SHALL CONTAIN AN EXPLANATION OF THE
31	LEVEL OF PROPERTY TAX SETOFF THAT HAS BEEN RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL
32	TO THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE COUNTY.
22	(I) (1) AT LEAST AS DAVE DEFODE THE DATE THAT THE ANNIHAL COUNTY
33 24	(J) (1) AT LEAST 45 DAYS BEFORE THE DATE THAT THE ANNUAL COUNTY
	BUDGET IS REQUIRED TO BE APPROVED, THE GOVERNING BODY SHALL TAKE FINAL
	ACTION ON ITS PROPOSED SETOFFS AND NOTIFY EACH MUNICIPAL CORPORATION THAT HAS DECLESTED A SETOFF OF THE EVACT PROPERTY TAY SETOFF THAT IT
	THAT HAS REQUESTED A SETOFF OF THE EXACT PROPERTY TAX SETOFF THAT IT
	HAS ADOPTED FOR THAT MUNICIPAL CORPORATION AS PART OF ITS ANNUAL
38	BUDGET AND TAX RATE SETTING PROCESS.
39	(2) IF THE GOVERNING BODY OF A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OR A
	CITIZEN OF A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION IS DISSATISFIED WITH THE LEVEL OF
	DDODEDTY TAY SETOES ADDROVED BY A COUNTY COVERNING BODY THE

_	GOVERNING BODY OF THE MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OR CITIZEN MAY APPEAL THIS RESULT TO THE CIRCUIT COURT.
3	(K) (1) IF THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE COUNTY FAILS TO MEET THE REQUIRED DEADLINE IN SUBSECTION (I) OF THIS SECTION:
5 6	(I) THE PROPERTY TAX SETOFF SHALL BE SET AT 105% OF THE LEVEL OF THE SETOFF DURING THE PRECEDING YEAR; OR
	(II) THE MUNICIPAL CORPORATION MAY PETITION THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY FOR A RULING ON THE APPROPRIATE LEVEL OF THE PROPERTY TAX SETOFF.
12	(2) IF THE MUNICIPAL CORPORATION PETITIONS THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY, THE CIRCUIT COURT SHALL ESTABLISH THE APPROPRIATE LEVEL OF PROPERTY TAX SETOFF WHICH MAY NOT BE LESS THAN 105% OF THE LEVEL OF THE PROPERTY TAX SETOFF PROVIDED DURING THE PRECEDING YEAR.
14	<del>6 306.</del>
15	(a) IN THIS SECTION, "SETOFF" MEANS:
16 17 18	(1) THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE GENERAL COUNTY PROPERTY TAX RATE AND THE PROPERTY TAX RATE THAT IS SET FOR ASSESSMENTS OF PROPERTY IN A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION; OR
19 20 21	(2) A PAYMENT TO A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION TO AID THE MUNICIPAL CORPORATION IN FUNDING SERVICES OR PROGRAMS THAT ARE SIMILAR TO COUNTY SERVICES OR PROGRAMS.
22	(B) This section applies to any county not listed in § 6-305 of this subtitle.
25	[(b)] (C) The governing body of the county shall [meet annually and] discuss with the governing body of any municipal corporation in the county the county property tax rate to be set for assessments of property in the municipal corporation IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROCEDURES DESCRIBED IN THIS SECTION. [After the
27 28	meeting if] IF a municipal corporation performs services or programs instead of similar county services or programs, the governing body of the county may impose the county property tax on assessments of property in the municipal corporation at a rate that is less
	than the general county property tax rate.
31 32	[(c)] (D) In determining the county property tax rate to be set for assessments of property in a municipal corporation, the governing body of the county may consider:
33 34	(1) the services and programs that are performed by the municipal corporation instead of similar county services and programs; and
35 36	(2) the extent that the similar services and programs are funded by property tax revenues.
37 38	[(d)] (E) The county property tax rate for assessments of property located in a municipal corporation is not required to be:

1 2	(1) the same as the rate for property located in other municipal corporations in the county; or
3	(2) the same as the rate set in a prior year.
4	[(e)] (F) Instead of imposing a county property tax at a lesser rate for assessments
5	of property in a municipal corporation, the governing body of the county may make a
6	payment to a municipal corporation to aid the municipal corporation in funding
7	municipal corporation services or programs that are similar to county services or
8	<del>programs.</del>
9	(G) (1) AT LEAST 6 MONTHS BEFORE THE DATE THAT THE ANNUAL
10	COUNTY BUDGET IS REQUIRED TO BE APPROVED, A COUNTY AND EACH MUNICIPAL
11	
12	SUBMIT TO EACH OTHER A PROPOSAL THAT STATES THE DESIRED LEVEL OF
13	PROPERTY TAX SETOFF FOR THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR.
14	(2) AFTER RECEIVING A PROPOSAL FROM A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION
	REQUESTING A SETOFF, THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE COUNTY SHALL PROMPTLY
	SUBMIT TO THE MUNICIPAL CORPORATION FINANCIAL RECORDS AND OTHER
17	
18	(H) (1) (I) A COUNTY OR MUNICIPAL CORPORATION MAY REQUEST THAT
19	A MEDIATOR FROM THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS BE APPOINTED TO
20	WORK WITH THE AFFECTED PARTIES.
21	(II) THE COSTS SHALL BE PAID BY THE PARTY REQUESTING THE
22	MEDIATION UP TO A MAXIMUM OF \$2,000.
23	(2) (I) A COUNTY AND A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION MAY JOINTLY
24	AGREE TO PURSUE AN ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROCEDURE.
25	(II) THE COSTS SHALL BE PAID EQUALLY BY THE PARTIES.
26	(I) (1) AT LEAST 3 MONTHS BEFORE THE DATE THAT THE ANNUAL
27	COUNTY BUDGET IS REQUIRED TO BE APPROVED, THE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
28	OR THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE OF A CHARTER COUNTY SHALL SUBMIT A FINAL
29	PROPOSAL TO EACH MUNICIPAL CORPORATION THAT HAS REQUESTED A SETOFF.
30	(2) THE FINAL PROPOSAL SHALL CONTAIN AN EXPLANATION OF THE
31	LEVEL OF PROPERTY TAX SETOFF THAT HAS BEEN RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL
32	TO THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE COUNTY.
33	(J) (1) AT LEAST 45 DAYS BEFORE THE DATE THAT THE ANNUAL COUNTY
34	BUDGET IS REQUIRED TO BE APPROVED, THE GOVERNING BODY SHALL TAKE FINAL
	ACTION ON ITS PROPOSED SETOFFS AND NOTIFY EACH MUNICIPAL CORPORATION
36	THAT HAS REQUESTED A SETOFF OF THE EXACT PROPERTY TAX SETOFF THAT IT
37	HAS ADOPTED FOR THAT MUNICIPAL CORPORATION AS PART OF ITS ANNUAL
38	BUDGET AND TAX RATE SETTING PROCESS.
39	(2) IF A MUNICIPAL GOVERNING BODY OR A CITIZEN OF A MUNICIPAL
	CORPORATION IS DISSATISFIED WITH THE LEVEL OF PROPERTY TAX SETOFF

38 double taxation; and

	APPROVED BY A COUNTY GOVERNING BODY, THE GOVERNING BODY OR CITIZEN MAY APPEAL THIS RESULT TO THE CIRCUIT COURT.
3	<del>6-307.</del>
	The governing body of Anne Arundel County or of Howard County may not impose a county property tax on property of a resident of a municipal corporation for any service that the municipal corporation provides for the resident.
9	(a) There is a Task Force to Study County Property Tax Setoffs. The Task Force shall examine issues relating to taxes that municipal property owners are paying for parallel services they do not receive from county governments, service delivery efficiency, and tax equity.
11	(b) The Task Force consists of:
12 13	(1) Four Delegates appointed by the Speaker, each of whom shall represent one or more municipal corporations;
14 15	(2) Four Senators appointed by the President, each of whom shall represent one or more municipal corporations;
16 17	(3) Three county officials appointed by the Maryland Association of Counties, one of whom shall be a finance director in a county:
18 19	(4) Three municipal officials appointed by the Maryland Municipal League, one of whom shall be a finance director in a municipal corporation:
20 21	(5) The Chief Judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings or the Chief Judge's designee;
22 23	(6) The Director of the Department of Assessments and Taxation or the Director's designee; and
24 25	(7) The Director of the Revenue Administration Division of the Office of the Comptroller or the Director's designee.
26 27	(c) The Speaker and President shall appoint Cochairmen of the Task Force from among the legislators.
	(d) (1) The Department of Fiscal Services, with the cooperation of the Institute for Governmental Services at the University of Maryland, shall provide staff to the Task Force.
	(2) Copies of all meeting notices and other written materials provided to the Task Force shall also be provided to the executive directors of the Maryland Municipal League and the Maryland Association of Counties.
34	(e) The purpose of the Task Force is to:
35 36	(1) Determine the current distribution of property tax burdens for parallel services provided by county and municipal governments;
37	(2) Determine the methodologies that may be used to offset the impacts of

1 2	(3) Develop legislative recommendations to encourage cooperation between county and municipal governments with regard to:
3	(i) The equitable treatment of property taxpayers where double taxation exists:
5	(ii) Local income tax distributions;
6	(iii) Other shared tax distributions;
7	(iv) Police aid distributions:
8	(v) Accountability for service efficiency; and
9	(vi) Efficiency loss from service duplication.
10 11 12	(f) On or before December 15, 1997, the Task Force shall submit a report to the Senate Budget and Taxation Committee and the House Committee on Ways and Means, in accordance with § 2-1312 of the State Government Article.
13 14	SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect October June 1, 1997.