Unofficial Copy P1 1997 Regular Session 7lr2593

By: Delegates Klausmeier, D. Davis, Ports, Redmer, Mohorovic, Malone, DeCarlo, Finifter, Kach, Holt, McClenahan, Conway, M. Burns, Love, Stocksdale, Snodgrass, Krysiak, Hammen, Weir, and Nathan-Pulliam

Introduced and read first time: February 10, 1997 Assigned to: Commerce and Government Matters

HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION

•		**	T .	D 1	
1	А	House	Loint	Resolution	concerning
	4 1	TIOUSC	JOINE	resorution	concerning

- 3 FOR the purpose of urging local governments, businesses, and communities to support
- 4 the fight against graffiti in the State by taking certain measures; and generally
- 5 relating to graffiti.
- 6 WHEREAS, The incidence of graffiti vandalism in local communities is on the rise;

7 and

- 8 WHEREAS, The National Graffiti Information Network reports that graffiti costs 9 the nation \$4 billion a year; and
- WHEREAS, The financial burden of cleaning up graffiti is an immediate expense
- 11 for localities and businesses and is a quick, but not a permanent, fix for the problem of
- 12 visual pollution; and
- 13 WHEREAS, The impact of graffiti on the quality of life in the community and the
- 14 well-being of citizens reveals itself as a direct threat that results in decreased property
- 15 values, an increase in antisocial crime, and a degradation in the quality of life; and
- WHEREAS, The criminal justice system does not uniformly respond to graffiti
- 17 offenses because graffiti is seen as in the grey area between crime and public nuisance;
- 18 and
- 19 WHEREAS, Community consensus views graffiti as a problem that should be
- 20 addressed quickly as graffiti creates an environment that allows more serious crime to
- 21 flourish; and
- WHEREAS, The removal of Hip-Hop junk graffiti, gang graffiti, and tagging and
- 23 the restoration and protection of graffiti-prone areas are the best ways to deter further
- 24 vandalism; and
- 25 WHEREAS, Additional pro-active measures, including anti-graffiti laws that
- 26 require restitution, that prohibit possession of associated implements, that require
- 27 merchants to limit access to paints, and community outreach education programs are
- 28 being used across the country; and

- WHEREAS, Limited or restricted access to spray and other paints is accompanied by controversy; and
- WHEREAS, An estimated 8,000 cans of spray paint are used daily to deface property nationwide; and
- WHEREAS, Many states find success in educational outreach programs formed between community-based organizations and governmental agencies; and
- 7 WHEREAS, Graffiti-related offenses are not pranks but serious offenses; and
- 8 WHEREAS, California and 8 other states have statutes that limit the ability of 9 minors to receive drivers' licenses by advancing the minimum age for a license by 1 year 10 per offense, thus emphasizing the consequences of graffiti as a juvenile offense; now, 11 therefore, be it
- RESOLVED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, That local governments, businesses, and communities across the State are urged to take vigorous efforts to combat graffiti and related vandalism; and be it further
- RESOLVED, That local governments across the State are urged to fight graffiti by 16 enacting laws that, for a graffiti-related offense, require detention time, community service hours, full restitution, mandatory counseling for offenders and their parents, and 18 civil sanctions; and be it further
- 19 RESOLVED, That local governments across the State are urged to enlist businesses 20 and community organizations in the fight against graffiti; and be it further
- 21 RESOLVED, That graffiti-related offenses should be "zero-tolerance" offenses 22 with mandatory sanctions; and be it further
- 23 RESOLVED, That a copy of this Resolution be forwarded by the Department of 24 Legislative Reference to the governing body of each local government in the State.