

**Department of Fiscal Services**  
Maryland General Assembly

**FISCAL NOTE**

Senate Bill 561 (Senator Jimeno)  
Judicial Proceedings

---

**Sentencing - Murder of Driver-for-Hire**

---

This bill adds to the list of aggravating factors that may be considered in determining whether or not to impose the death penalty. The bill makes it an aggravating factor if the victim was a taxi driver or chauffeur.

---

**Fiscal Summary**

**State Effect:** Potential indeterminate general fund expenditure increase and savings, as described below. Revenues would not be affected.

**Local Effect:** Potential minimal increase in expenditures as discussed below. Revenues would not be affected.

**Small Business Effect:** Potential minimal effect on small businesses, but only to the extent that the bill would have the effect of deterring the occurrences of murder against taxi drivers and chauffeurs.

---

**Fiscal Analysis**

**State Effect:** There are several inherent difficulties in determining the effect of a particular aggravating circumstance. For instance, there is the potential overlap with other aggravating circumstances. In addition, prosecutors exercise discretion and have latitude in bringing such cases. There are also contrasts and dissimilarities as to how different courts and/or juries will view the same presentation of facts with particular sets of circumstances.

The Division of Correction reports that 82 offenders were convicted of first degree murder in fiscal 1996. Four were sentenced to death. The division does not currently know how many of the 82 offenders committed were persons who would fall under the provisions of this bill. It is assumed that the number of such instances would be small. However, to the extent that

this bill actually increases the number of death penalties imposed, the State would realize an indeterminate savings in incarceration costs over time.

The extent to which this additional aggravating circumstance would increase death penalty litigation is unclear. Accordingly, while it is conceivable that this bill could increase the workload and expenditures of the judicial system, including the Office of the Public Defender, any such increase cannot be reliably projected or quantified. In any event, the cost for the Public Defender to defend any additional death penalty cases arising from this bill is estimated to be \$50,000 per case.

**Local Effect:** The creation of any additional aggravating circumstance could conceivably increase costs for State's Attorneys, but only to the extent that the new provision actually realizes additional death penalty activity by a particular State's Attorney. It is assumed the number of such instances would be small.

---

**Information Source(s):** Judiciary (Administrative Office of the Courts), Office of the Public Defender, Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services, Department of Fiscal Services

**Fiscal Note History:** First Reader - February 20, 1997

lc

---

Analysis by: Guy G. Cherry

Reviewed by: John Rixey

Direct Inquiries to:

John Rixey, Coordinating Analyst

(410) 841-3710

(301) 858-3710