HOUSE BILL 372

Unofficial Copy K1 SB 668/97 - FIN 1998 Regular Session 8lr1018 CF 8lr0591

By: Delegates V. Mitchell, W. Baker, Baldwin, Beck, Bissett, Bozman,
Branch, Brinkley, E. Burns, M. Burns, Cadden, Ciliberti, Clagett,
Comeau, Conway, Crumlin, Cryor, Dewberry, Donoghue, Eckardt,
Edwards, Elliott, Faulkner, Flanagan, Fulton, Getty, Greenip, Guns,
Harkins, Hecht, Holt, Hubbard, D. Hughes, Hutchins, Jacobs, Jones,
Kach, Kelly, Kittleman, Klausmeier, Klima, Kopp, La Vay, Leopold,
Linton, Love, McClenahan, McKee, Minnick, C. Mitchell, Morgan,
Mossburg, D. Murphy, Muse, Owings, O'Donnell, Patterson, Perry,
Poole, Ports, Rawlings, Redmer, Rudolph, Rzepkowski, Schisler,
Schade, Slade, Snodgrass, Stocksdale, Stull, Stup, Taylor, Valderrama,

Walkup, Weir, and Wood

Introduced and read first time: February 2, 1998

Assigned to: Economic Matters

C. W. B. J. F. H. M. J. J.

Committee Report: Favorable with amendments

House action: Adopted

Read second time: February 25, 1998

CHAPTER

1 AN ACT concerning

Workers' Compensation - Use of Controlled Dangerous Substances or Alcohol

- FOR the purpose of establishing that, under certain circumstances, a covered
- 5 employee or a dependent of a covered employee is not entitled to certain
- 6 compensation or benefits under the workers' compensation law if the primary
- 7 cause of an accidental personal injury, compensable hernia, or occupational
- 8 disease was the effect on the employee of intoxication or a certain controlled
- 9 dangerous substance; defining a certain term; providing that certain benefits
- shall not be denied under certain circumstances; providing that in a proceeding
- on a certain claim there is, absent substantial evidence to the contrary, a certain
- presumption; and generally relating to eligibility for compensation or benefits
- under workers' compensation law.
- 14 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 15 Article Labor and Employment
- 16 Section 9-506
- 17 Annotated Code of Maryland

- 1 (1991 Volume and 1997 Supplement) 2 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF 3 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows: 4 **Article - Labor and Employment** 5 9-506. A covered employee or a dependent of a covered employee is not entitled to 6 7 compensation or benefits under this title as a result of: an intentional, self-inflicted accidental personal injury, compensable (1) 9 hernia, or occupational disease; or 10 (2) an attempt to injure or kill another. 11 (b) A covered employee or a dependent of a covered employee is not entitled to 12 compensation or benefits under this title as a result of an accidental personal injury, 13 compensable hernia, or occupational disease if: 14 the accidental personal injury, compensable hernia, or occupational 15 disease was caused solely by the effect on the covered employee of: a depressant, hallucinogenic, hypnotic, narcotic, or stimulant 16 (i) 17 drug; or 18 another drug that makes the covered employee incapable of (ii) 19 satisfactory job performance; and 20 the drug was not administered or taken in accordance with the 21 prescription of a physician. 22 A covered employee or a dependent of a covered employee is not entitled to (c) 23 compensation or benefits under this title as a result of an accidental personal injury, 24 compensable hernia, or occupational disease if the accidental personal injury, 25 compensable hernia, or occupational disease was caused solely by the intoxication of 26 the covered employee while on duty. IN THIS SUBSECTION, "PRIMARY CAUSE" MEANS THE CAUSE THAT IS 27 (D) (1) 28 FIRST IN IMPORTANCE. 29 EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBPARAGRAPH (II) OF THIS (2)(I)
- 30 PARAGRAPH. A COVERED EMPLOYEE OR DEPENDENT OF A COVERED EMPLOYEE IS
- 31 NOT ENTITLED TO COMPENSATION OR BENEFITS UNDER THIS TITLE, EXCEPT FOR
- 32 MEDICAL BENEFITS UNDER §§ 9-660 AND 9-661 OF THIS TITLE, AS A RESULT OF AN
- 33 ACCIDENTAL PERSONAL INJURY, COMPENSABLE HERNIA, OR OCCUPATIONAL
- 34 DISEASE, IF THE PRIMARY CAUSE OF THE ACCIDENTAL PERSONAL INJURY,
- 35 COMPENSABLE HERNIA, OR OCCUPATIONAL DISEASE WAS THE EFFECT ON THE

HOUSE BILL 372

- 1 COVERED EMPLOYEE OF A CONTROLLED DANGEROUS SUBSTANCE DEFINED OR 2 LISTED UNDER ARTICLE 27, § 277 OR § 279 OF THE CODE. 3 (II)COMPENSATION OR BENEFITS SHALL NOT BE DENIED UNDER
- 4 SUBPARAGRAPH (I) OF THIS PARAGRAPH IF THE CONTROLLED DANGEROUS 5 SUBSTANCE WAS ADMINISTERED, TAKEN, OR USED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE
- 6 PRESCRIPTION OF A PHYSICIAN AND THE ADMINISTERING, TAKING, OR USE OF THE
- 7 CONTROLLED DANGEROUS SUBSTANCE WAS NOT EXCESSIVE OR ABUSIVE.
- EXCEPT FOR MEDICAL BENEFITS UNDER §§ 9-660 AND 9-661 OF THIS 8 (3)
- 9 TITLE, A COVERED EMPLOYEE OR DEPENDENT OF A COVERED EMPLOYEE IS NOT
- 10 ENTITLED TO COMPENSATION OR BENEFITS UNDER THIS TITLE AS A RESULT OF AN
- 11 ACCIDENTAL PERSONAL INJURY, COMPENSABLE HERNIA, OR OCCUPATIONAL
- 12 DISEASE, IF THE PRIMARY CAUSE OF THE ACCIDENTAL PERSONAL INJURY,
- 13 COMPENSABLE HERNIA, OR OCCUPATIONAL DISEASE WAS THE INTOXICATION OF
- 14 THE COVERED EMPLOYEE WHILE ON DUTY.
- 15 [(d)]A covered employee or a dependent of a covered employee is not
- 16 entitled to compensation or benefits under this title as a result of an accidental
- 17 personal injury, compensable hernia, or occupational disease if the accidental
- 18 personal injury, compensable hernia, or occupational disease was caused by the
- 19 willful misconduct of the covered employee.
- 20 In a proceeding on a claim for compensation, there is, absent
- 21 substantial evidence to the contrary, a presumption that an accidental personal
- 22 injury, compensable hernia, or occupational disease:
- was not caused by the intent of the covered employee to injure or kill 23 (1)
- 24 the covered employee or another individual;
- 25 (2) was not caused solely by the effect on the covered employee of:
- 26 a depressant, hallucinogenic, hypnotic, narcotic, or stimulant (i)
- 27 drug; or
- another drug that makes the covered employee incapable of 28 (ii)
- satisfactory job performance; and
- was not caused solely by the intoxication of the covered employee 30 (3)
- 31 while on duty.
- IN A PROCEEDING ON A CLAIM FOR COMPENSATION UNDER SUBSECTION 32 (G)
- 33 (D) OF THIS SECTION. THERE IS, ABSENT SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE TO THE
- 34 CONTRARY, A PRESUMPTION THAT:
- 35 THE EFFECT ON THE COVERED EMPLOYEE OF A CONTROLLED (1)
- 36 DANGEROUS SUBSTANCE WAS NOT THE PRIMARY CAUSE OF THE ACCIDENTAL
- 37 PERSONAL INJURY, COMPENSABLE HERNIA, OR OCCUPATIONAL DISEASE; AND

- 1 (2) THE INTOXICATION OF THE COVERED EMPLOYEE WAS NOT THE
- 2 PRIMARY CAUSE OF THE ACCIDENTAL PERSONAL INJURY, COMPENSABLE HERNIA,
- 3 OR OCCUPATIONAL DISEASE.
- 4 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect
- 5 October 1, 1998.