**Unofficial Copy** E2

#### By: Chairman, Judicial Proceedings Committee (Maryland Judicial **Conference**)

Introduced and read first time: February 5, 1998 Assigned to: Judicial Proceedings

# A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2

### **Criminal Procedure - Search Warrants - Territorial Jurisdictional Limits**

3 FOR the purpose of repealing a territorial limitation on issuance of search warrants

by judges; and generally relating to search warrants. 4

5 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,

- Article 27 Crimes and Punishments 6
- 7 Section 551(a)
- 8 Annotated Code of Maryland
- (1996 Replacement Volume and 1997 Supplement) 9

10 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF 11 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

12

## Article 27 - Crimes and Punishments

13 551.

14 (a) Whenever it be made to appear to any judge of any of the circuit courts in the counties of this State, or to any judge of the District Court, by written application 15 signed and sworn to by the applicant, accompanied by an affidavit or affidavits 16 containing facts within the personal knowledge of the affiant or affiants, that there is 17 18 probable cause, the basis of which shall be set forth in said affidavit or affidavits, to 19 believe that any misdemeanor or felony is being committed by any individual or in 20 any building, apartment, premises, place or thing [within the territorial jurisdiction 21 of such judge,] or that any property subject to seizure under the criminal laws of the 22 State is situated or located on the person of any such individual or in or on any such 23 building, apartment, premises, place or thing, then the judge may forthwith issue a 24 search warrant directed to any duly constituted policeman, or police officer 25 authorizing him to search such suspected individual, building, apartment, premises, 26 place or thing, and to seize any property found liable to seizure under the criminal 27 laws of this State, provided that any such search warrant shall name or describe, with 28 reasonable particularity, the individual, building, apartment, premises, place or thing

29 to be searched, the grounds for such search and the name of the applicant on whose

#### **SENATE BILL 346**

1 written application as aforesaid the warrant was issued, and provided further that

2 any search or seizure under the authority of such search warrant, shall be made

3 within 15 calendar days from the date of the issuance thereof and after the expiration

4 of the 15-day period said warrant shall be null and void. If, at any time, on

 $5\,$  application to a judge of the circuit court of any county or judge of the District Court,

6 it appears that the property taken is not the same as that described in the warrant or

7 that there is no probable cause for believing the existence of the grounds on which the

8 warrant was issued, or that the property was taken under a warrant issued more

9 than 15 calendar days prior to the seizure, said judge must cause it to be restored to

10 the person from whom it was taken. In the discretion of the judge, an oral motion

11 made in open court may be received at any time making application for the return of 12 seized property if the application for return is based on the grounds that the property

12 seized property if the application for return is based on the grounds that the proper 13 taken is not the same as that described in the warrant, or that there is no probable

14 cause for believing the existence of the grounds on which the warrant was issued, or

15 that the property was taken under a warrant issued more than 15 calendar days prior

16 to the seizure. If the judge grants the oral motion, the order of the court shall be in

17 writing and a copy of the order shall be sent to the State's Attorney. If the judge

18 rejects the proffer on an oral motion and requires the person from whom the property

19 was taken to proceed for return of the seized property by petition and an order to

20 show cause to the police authority seizing the property and it is subsequently ordered

21 that the property be restored to the person from whom it was taken, court costs shall

22 not be assessed against the petitioner. However, if it appears that the property taken

23 is the same as that described in the warrant and that there is probable cause for

24 believing the existence of the grounds on which the warrant was issued, then said

25 judge shall order the same retained in the custody of the person seizing it or to be

26 otherwise disposed of according to law.

27 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect 28 October 1, 1998.