Unofficial Copy D3 1999 Regular Session 9lr0881 CF 9lr1921

(PRE-FILED)

By: Delegates Taylor, Vallario, Arnick, Busch, Dewberry, Doory, Guns, Harrison, Hixson, Howard, Hurson, Kopp, Menes, Owings, Rawlings, Rosenberg, and Wood

Requested: November 20, 1998 Introduced and read first time: January 13, 1999 Assigned to: Judiciary

Committee Report: Favorable with amendments House action: Adopted Read second time: March 27, 1999

CHAPTER_____

1 AN ACT concerning

2

Commercial Law - Year 2000 Commerce Protection Act

3 FOR the purpose of creating the Year 2000 Commerce Protection Act to establish

4 certain remedies for Maryland government, business, commerce, and consumers

5 for damages caused by the failure of information technology products, products,

6 <u>or services</u> because of certain date data <u>problems</u>; providing for certain damages

7 under certain circumstances; providing for certain standards of liability and for

8 certain defenses; limiting certain actions under certain circumstances; clarifying

9 certain responsibilities of officers and directors of corporations and certain other

10 persons regarding due diligence; clarifying the discoverability of certain

11 information and documents a certain affirmative defense; defining certain

12 terms; providing for the construction of this Act; providing for the termination of

13 <u>this Act;</u> declaring the intent of the General Assembly; and generally relating to

14 remedies for Maryland government, business, commerce, and consumers for

15 damages caused by the failure of information technology products, products, or

16 <u>services</u> because of date data <u>problems under certain circumstances</u>.

17 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,

- 18 Article Commercial Law
- 19 Section 11-203(12) and (13)

20 Annotated Code of Maryland

21 (1990 Replacement Volume and 1998 Supplement)

22 BY adding to

- 1 Article Commercial Law
- 2 Section 11-203(14); and 21-101 through <u>21-107</u> <u>21-104</u>, inclusive, to be under
- 3 the new title "Title 21. Maryland Year 2000 Commerce Protection Act"
- 4 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 5 (1990 Replacement Volume and 1998 Supplement)

6 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF 7 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

Article - Commercial Law

9 11-203.

8

10 This subtitle does not make illegal the activity of:

11 (12) A political subdivision of the State in furnishing services or

12 commodities; [or]

13 (13) A hospital, as defined in § 19-301 of the Health - General Article, in

14 the course of a merger or consolidation or the joint ownership and operation of major

15 medical equipment, to the extent that the activity is approved by the Health

16 Resources Planning Commission under § 19-123 of the Health - General Article; OR

17 (14) GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES AND PERSONS IN THE COURSE OF THE

18 EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION CONCERNING MEASURES THAT HAVE BEEN TAKEN OR

19 ARE TO BE TAKEN IN ORDER FOR PRODUCTS OR SERVICES OF THE GOVERNMENTAL

20 ENTITIES OR PERSONS TO BECOME YEAR 2000-READY, AS DEFINED IN TITLE 21 OF 21 THIS ARTICLE.

21 THIS ARTI

TITLE 21. MARYLAND YEAR 2000 COMMERCE PROTECTION ACT.

23 21-101.

22

24 (A) IN THIS TITLE THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS INDICATED.

25 (B) "DATE DATA" MEANS DATA THAT CONTAINS DATES OR THAT CONTAINS 26 BOTH DATES AND TIMES.

27 (C) "GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY" MEANS THE STATE, A COUNTY, OR A
28 MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, OR ANY UNIT OF THE STATE, A COUNTY, OR A MUNICIPAL
29 CORPORATION.

<u>(C)</u> <u>"COMPLIANCE PLAN" MEANS A WRITTEN PLAN TO INVENTORY, ASSESS,</u>
 OBTAIN INFORMATION FROM CRITICAL SUPPLIERS, TEST, SCHEDULE REPAIRS,
 <u>REMEDIATE, AND PROVIDE A CONTINGENCY PLAN OF ACTION RELATING TO, Y2K</u>
 DATE DATA PROBLEMS IN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS AND PRODUCTS.

34(D)"CRITICAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEM OR PRODUCT" MEANS AN35INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEM OR PRODUCT THE FAILURE OF WHICH MAY

1 <u>RESULT IN AN IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL THREAT TO PUBLIC HEALTH OR</u> 2 <u>SAFETY.</u>

3 (D) (E) "INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEM OR PRODUCT" INCLUDES ANY
4 SOFTWARE, FIRMWARE, MICROCODE, HARDWARE, EMBEDDED CHIPS, OR OTHER
5 SYSTEM OR PRODUCT, OR ANY COMBINATION OF THOSE ITEMS, THAT CREATES,
6 READS, WRITES, CALCULATES, COMPARES, SEQUENCES, OR OTHERWISE PROCESSES
7 DATE DATA.

8 (E) (F) "SOLUTION PROVIDER" MEANS ANY PERSON THAT ACCEPTS 9 COMPENSATION OR OTHER VALUABLE CONSIDERATION FROM A GOVERNMENTAL 10 ENTITY OR PERSON TO:

11(1)ASSESS WHETHER ANY OF THE GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY'S OR12PERSON'S PRODUCTS OR SERVICES ARE YEAR 2000-READY; OR

13 (2) ENSURE THAT ANY OF THE GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY'S OR PERSON'S 14 PRODUCTS OR SERVICES ARE YEAR 2000-READY.

15 (F) (G) "YEAR 2000-READY" MEANS ANY PRODUCT OR SERVICE THAT:

16 (1) RELIES UPON AN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEM OR PRODUCT 17 THAT INCLUDES DATE DATA; AND

18 (2) BEFORE JANUARY 2, 2001, OPERATES IN A MANNER CONSISTENT
19 WITH THE DESIGN REQUIREMENTS OR OTHER SPECIFICATION FOR THE PRODUCT OR
20 SERVICE; AND

21 (3) DOES NOT CAUSE A Y2K DATE DATA PROBLEM.

(H) <u>"Y2K DATE DATA PROBLEM" MEANS THE FAILURE, MALFUNCTION, OR</u>
 INADEQUACY OF AN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEM, PRODUCT, OR SERVICE
 TO RECOGNIZE, PROCESS, DISTINGUISH, INTERPRET, OR ACCEPT CORRECTLY DATE
 DATA RELATING TO THE YEAR 2000, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE
 FOLLOWING SPECIFIC DATES:

- 27 <u>(1)</u> <u>AUGUST 22, 1999;</u>
- 28 (2) <u>SEPTEMBER 9, 1999;</u>
- 29 <u>(3)</u> <u>DECEMBER 31, 1999;</u>
- 30 <u>(4)</u> <u>JANUARY 1, 2000;</u>
- 31 (5) JANUARY 3, 2000;
- 32 (<u>6</u>) <u>JANUARY 10, 2000;</u>
- 33 <u>(7)</u> <u>FEBRUARY 29, 2000;</u>
- 34 (8) <u>OCTOBER 10, 2000; AND</u>

1 (9) JANUARY 1, 2001.

2 21-102.

3 (A) SUBJECT TO SUBSECTION (B) OF THIS SECTION, THE EXCLUSIVE
4 REMEDIES IN THIS STATE FOR RECOVERING FROM A GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY OR
5 PERSON ANY DAMAGES CAUSED BY THE FAILURE OF A PRODUCT OR SERVICE
6 PROVIDED BY THE GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY OR PERSON TO BE YEAR 2000-READY
7 SHALL BE AS PROVIDED:

8 (1) UNDER ANY PROVISION OF A CONTRACT WITH BETWEEN THE
9 GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY OR PERSON AND THE PLAINTIFF THAT PROVIDES SPECIFIC
10 REMEDIES FOR THE FAILURE OF THE PRODUCT OR SERVICE TO BE YEAR
11 2000-READY; OR

12 (2) IN CASES NOT COVERED UNDER PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS 13 SUBSECTION, UNDER THIS SUBTITLE.

14(B)ANY ACTION AGAINST A GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY REMAINS SUBJECT TO15ALL PROCEDURES AND LIMITATIONS ON DAMAGES OTHERWISE SPECIFIED BY LAW.

16 21-103.

17 (A) AN ACTION AGAINST A GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY OR PERSON WHOSE

18 PRODUCT OR SERVICE IS NOT YEAR 2000 READY ARISES ON THE DATE ON WHICH

19 THE PRODUCT OR SERVICE THAT RELIES ON AN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

20 SYSTEM OR PRODUCT:

21(1)FAILS TO OPERATE IN A MANNER CONSISTENT WITH THE DESIGN22REQUIREMENTS OR OTHER SPECIFICATION FOR THE PRODUCT OR SERVICE; AND

23 (2) CAUSES DAMAGE OR LOSS.

24 (B) A GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY OR PERSON WHOSE PRODUCT OR SERVICE IS
25 NOT YEAR 2000-READY SHALL BE LIABLE UNDER THIS TITLE ONLY WHERE THE
26 FAILURE OF THE PRODUCT OR SERVICE TO BE YEAR 2000-READY IS THE PROXIMATE
27 CAUSE OF THE DAMAGES.

28 (C) THIS TITLE DOES NOT APPLY TO:

29 (1) <u>A PRODUCTS LIABILITY ACTION AGAINST A MANUFACTURER FOR</u>
 30 <u>WRONGFUL DEATH OR PERSONAL INJURY; OR</u>

31 (2) <u>A CLAIM FOR WORKER'S COMPENSATION BENEFITS.</u>

32 21-104. <u>21-103.</u>

(A) IT SHALL BE AN AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO ANY ACTION BROUGHT BY A
PLAINTIFF AGAINST ANY GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY OR PERSON WHOSE PRODUCT OR
SERVICE IS NOT YEAR 2000-READY THAT:

IN THE CASE OF A GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY. THE ENTITY EXERCISED 1 (1)2 DUE DILIGENCE TO ENSURE THAT IT WAS YEAR 2000-READY IN A MANNER THAT 3 WOULD BE EXERCISED BY A REASONABLY PRUDENT PERSON IN ACCORDANCE WITH 4 THE STANDARDS APPLICABLE TO THE SAME TYPE AND SIZE OF GOVERNMENTAL 5 ENTITY: OR 6 (2)IN THE CASE OF ANY OTHER PERSON, THE PERSON DETERMINED 7 AND IMPLEMENTED IN GOOD FAITH ACTIONS NECESSARY FOR THE PERSON TO 8 BECOME YEAR 2000-READY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS AND 9 STANDARDS SET FORTH IN § 2-405.1 OF THE CORPORATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS 10 ARTICLE A MANNER THAT WOULD BE EXERCISED BY A REASONABLY PRUDENT 11 PERSON UNDER THE SAME OR SIMILAR CIRCUMSTANCES. 12 (B) IN DETERMINING WHETHER A GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY OR PERSON HAS 13 MET THE STANDARD SET OUT IN SUBSECTION (A) OF THIS SECTION, THE COURT 14 SHALL CONSIDER WHETHER THE GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY OR PERSON: HAS INVENTORIED ITS PRODUCTS AND SERVICES TO DETERMINE 15 (1)16 WHETHER THEY ARE YEAR 2000-READY: CAN EVIDENCE A PLAN TO MAKE ITS PRODUCTS AND SERVICES TO 17 (2)18 BE YEAR 2000-READY: AND HAS CONTACTED ITS CRITICAL SUPPLIERS TO DETERMINE 19 (3)20 WHETHER THEY HAVE YEAR 2000 READINESS PLANS. IN DETERMINING WHETHER A PERSON MEETS THE STANDARD OF CARE 21 (B) 22 DESCRIBED UNDER SUBSECTION (A) OF THIS SECTION, THE TRIER OF FACT SHALL 23 CONSIDER, AMONG OTHER ACTIONS, WHETHER THE PERSON COMPLETED THE 24 FOLLOWING ITEMS IN ITS Y2K COMPLIANCE PLAN: 25 (1)INVENTORIED ITS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS AND 26 PRODUCTS TO DETERMINE WHETHER THEY ARE YEAR 2000-READY; IDENTIFIED CRITICAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS AND 27 (2)28 PRODUCTS AND OBTAINED INFORMATION FROM ITS CRITICAL SUPPLIERS 29 REGARDING THEIR Y2K COMPLIANCE PLANS; ASSESSED POTENTIAL Y2K DATE DATA PROBLEMS AND TESTED, IF 30 (3) 31 PRACTICABLE, ITS CRITICAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS AND PRODUCTS; 32 INITIATED REASONABLE EFFORTS TO REMEDIATE Y2K DATE DATA (4) 33 PROBLEMS IN ITS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS AND PRODUCTS: 34 REASONABLY REMEDIATED Y2K DATE DATA PROBLEMS IN ITS (5) 35 CRITICAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS AND PRODUCTS; AND DEVELOPED A CONTINGENCY PLAN THAT SPECIFIED ACTIONS THAT 36 (6) 37 SHALL BE TAKEN IF REMEDIATION FAILS.

(C) THE FAILURE OF A SOLUTION PROVIDER TO PROVIDE AN EXPRESS
 WARRANTY ON WHICH A GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY OR PERSON MAY RELY MAY NOT
 BE CONSTRUED AS A FAILURE OF THE GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY OR PERSON TO
 COMPLY WITH THE STANDARD SET OUT UNDER SUBSECTION (A) OF THIS SECTION IF
 THE SOLUTION PROVIDER REPRESENTS TO THE GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY OR PERSON
 THAT:

7 (1) THE SOLUTION PROVIDER OR ANY OF ITS INFORMATION 8 TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS OR PRODUCTS ARE YEAR 2000-READY; OR

9 (2) THE SOLUTION PROVIDER WILL MAKE THE GOVERNMENTAL 10 ENTITY'S OR PERSON'S PRODUCTS OR SERVICES YEAR 2000-READY.

11 (D) A DIRECTOR OR OFFICER OF A CORPORATION OR ANY PERSON DULY

12 AUTHORIZED TO DIRECT OR GOVERN THE ACTIVITIES OF A PARTNERSHIP,

13 ASSOCIATION, UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATION, OR ANY OTHER ENTITY THAT IS NOT

14 A CORPORATION IS NOT PERSONALLY LIABLE FOR DAMAGES RESULTING FROM THE

15 FAILURE OF THE CORPORATION OR OTHER ENTITY TO BECOME YEAR 2000 READY IF

16 THAT DIRECTOR, OFFICER, OR OTHER PERSON HAS DETERMINED AND

17 IMPLEMENTED ACTIONS NECESSARY FOR THE CORPORATION OR OTHER ENTITY TO

18 BECOME YEAR 2000 READY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS AND

19 STANDARDS SET FORTH IN § 2-405.1 OF THE CORPORATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS 20 ARTICLE.

21 21 105. <u>21-104.</u>

THE EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION AMONG GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES AND
PERSONS CONCERNING MEASURES THAT HAVE BEEN TAKEN OR ARE TO BE TAKEN
IN ORDER FOR THE GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES' OR PERSONS' PRODUCTS OR
SERVICES TO BECOME YEAR 2000-READY DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN ACTIVITY OR
CONDUCT IN THE RESTRAINT OF TRADE OR COMMERCE.

27 21 106.

28(A)(1)IN THIS SECTION THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS29INDICATED.

30 (2) "READINESS REVIEW" MEANS AN AUDIT, INFORMATION

31 TECHNOLOGY REVIEW, OR OTHER REVIEW OF WHETHER A GOVERNMENTAL

32 ENTITY'S OR PERSON'S PRODUCTS OR SERVICES ARE YEAR 2000-READY.

33 (3) "READINESS REVIEW DOCUMENTS" MEANS ANY DOCUMENTS
 34 PREPARED FOR OR IN CONJUNCTION WITH A READINESS REVIEW.

35 (B) THIS SECTION APPLIES TO ANY READINESS REVIEW DOCUMENT

36 PREPARED AS PART OF A READINESS REVIEW TO EVALUATE AND SEEK TO IMPROVE

37 YEAR 2000 READINESS OF A GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY'S OR PERSON'S PRODUCTS OR
 38 SERVICES.

39 (C) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (D) OF THIS SECTION:

1(1)READINESS REVIEW DOCUMENTS ARE CONFIDENTIAL AND ARE NOT2DISCOVERABLE OR ADMISSIBLE IN EVIDENCE IN ANY CIVIL ACTION ARISING OUT OF3MATTERS RELATED TO THE SUBJECT OF A READINESS REVIEW; AND

4 (2) READINESS REVIEW DOCUMENTS DELIVERED TO A FEDERAL OR
 5 STATE GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY REMAIN CONFIDENTIAL AND ARE NOT
 6 DISCOVERABLE OR ADMISSIBLE IN EVIDENCE IN ANY CIVIL ACTION ARISING OUT OF
 7 MATTERS RELATED TO THE SUBJECT OF A READINESS REVIEW.

8 (D) TO THE EXTENT APPLICABLE LAW EXPRESSLY AUTHORIZES ITS
9 DISCLOSURE, SUBSECTION (C) OF THIS SECTION DOES NOT APPLY TO THE
10 DISCLOSURE OF ANY INFORMATION REQUIRED BY STATUTE OR REGULATION TO BE
11 MAINTAINED BY OR PROVIDED TO A GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY WHILE THE
12 INFORMATION IS IN THE POSSESSION OF THE GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY.

13 (E) THIS SECTION MAY NOT BE CONSTRUED TO LIMIT THE DISCOVERY OR
 14 ADMISSIBILITY IN ANY CIVIL ACTION OF ANY DOCUMENTS THAT ARE NOT
 15 READINESS REVIEW DOCUMENTS.

16 21 107.

17 THIS TITLE SHALL BE KNOWN AND MAY BE CITED AS THE MARYLAND YEAR 2000
 18 COMMERCE PROTECTION ACT.

19 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall be

20 construed only prospectively to apply to causes of action arising out of a Y2K date

21 data problem, as defined in § 21-101(g) of the Commercial Law Article as enacted by

22 this Act, occurring on or before January 2, 2001 and may not be applied or interpreted

23 to have any effect on or application to any case filed before the effective date of this

24 Act.

25 SECTION 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That nothing in this Act shall 26 be construed to:

27 (1) Recognize, endorse, or suggest the existence or validity of any purported28 cause of action;

29 (2) Create a cause of action where none otherwise existed;

30 (3) Limit the ability of contracting parties to enter into agreements as they 31 deem appropriate on the issue of liability and damages;

32 (4) Affect the validity of existing contracts created on or before the effective33 date of this Act regarding issues of liability and damages; or

34 (5) Be indicative of any type of industry standard relating to year 2000 35 readiness of information technology products or goods and services that rely on 36 information technology products.

1 SECTION 4. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That it is the intent of the

2 Maryland General Assembly that parties contemplating any litigation regarding year

3 2000 readiness should engage in alternative dispute resolution prior to entering into

4 any litigation and that the courts actively encourage parties to seek to address legal

5 issues through an alternative dispute resolution mechanism before allowing any trial

6 on year 2000 issues to go forward.

SECTION 5. <u>4.</u> AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That nothing in this Act may
be construed to preclude or limit the effect of any legislation enacted by the United
States relating to year 2000 readiness.

10 SECTION 6. 5. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take

11 effect June 1, 1999. <u>It shall remain effective until January 2, 2004, and at the end of</u>

12 January 2, 2004, with no further action required by the General Assembly, this Act

13 shall be abrogated and of no further force and effect.