Unofficial Copy K1 1999 Regular Session 9lr1866 CF 9lr1623

By: Delegates McHale, Barve, Brown, Pendergrass, Goldwater, Minnick, and Kirk

Introduced and read first time: February 12, 1999 Assigned to: Economic Matters

Committee Report: Favorable with amendments House action: Adopted Read second time: March 23, 1999

CHAPTER_____

1 AN ACT concerning

2

Workers' Compensation - Offset of Benefits

3 FOR the purpose of clarifying that certain workers' compensation benefits should be

- 4 offset only for a payment of certain disability retirement benefits; and generally
- 5 relating to the offset of workers' compensation benefits.

6 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,

- 7 Article Labor and Employment
- 8 Section 9-610(a)
- 9 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 10 (1991 Volume and 1998 Supplement)

11

Preamble

12 WHEREAS, In 1988, the Court of Appeals held in the Newman v. Subsequent

13 Injury Fund case that a proper interpretation of the phrase "similar benefit"

14 contained in Article 101, § 33(d) of the Code was that a workers' compensation award

15 to a government employee could not be offset by the employee's length of service

16 retirement benefits; and

17 WHEREAS, The application of the law as set forth by the Court of Appeals in

18 the Newman v. Subsequent Injury Fund case has been consistent until recent case
19 law; and

20 WHEREAS, In 1991, during the Code Revision Process of former Article 101, §

21 33(d) of the Code, now § 9 610(a)(1) and (2) of the Labor and Employment Article, the

22 word "similar" was not included in the revised statute; and

HOUSE BILL 763

1 WHEREAS, The Revisor's Note to § 9 610 of the Labor and Employment

2 Article stated that the revision was nonsubstantive in nature and "only for clarity and

3 brevity"; and

4 WHEREAS, In March of 1998, the Court of Special Appeals held in the

5 consolidated appeal of Wills v. Baltimore County and Blevins v. Baltimore County,

6 that the fact that the word "similar" was left out effected a material change in the law,

7 despite the Revisor's Note indicating no substantive change; and

8 WHEREAS, The Code Revision Process clearly identifies substantive issues to
9 be brought to the attention of the General Assembly in the Revisor's Notes; and

10 WHEREAS, The Court of Special Appeals interpreted the Code Revision

11 Process in a manner that effects a substantive change; and

WHEREAS, The General Assembly did not intend a substantive or material
 change in the law; now, therefore,

SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OFMARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

16

Article - Labor and Employment

17 9-610.

18 (a) (1) Except for benefits subject to an offset under § 29-118 of the State 19 Personnel and Pensions Article, if a statute, charter, ordinance, resolution,

20 regulation, or policy, regardless of whether part of a pension system, provides a

21 benefit to a covered employee of a governmental unit or a quasi-public corporation

22 that is subject to this title under § 9-201(2) of this title or, in case of death, to the 23 dependents of the covered employee, payment of the benefit by the employer satisfies,

24 to the extent of the payment, the liability of the employer and the subsequent injury

25 fund for payment of SIMILAR benefits under this title.

(2) If a benefit paid under paragraph (1) of this subsection is less than
the benefits provided under this title, the employer, the Subsequent Injury Fund, or
both shall provide an additional benefit that equals the difference between the benefit

29 paid under paragraph (1) of this subsection and the benefits provided under this title.

30 (3) The computation of an additional benefit payable under paragraph 31 (2) of this section shall be done at the time of the initial award and may not include 32 any cost of living adjustment after the initial award.

33 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect34 October 1, 1999.

2

HOUSE BILL 763