Unofficial Copy K1 1999 Regular Session 9lr2138

By: Senator Bromwell

Introduced and read first time: February 5, 1999

Assigned to: Finance

## A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

## 2 Workers' Compensation - Offset of Benefits

- 3 FOR the purpose of clarifying that certain workers' compensation benefits should be
- 4 offset only for a payment of certain disability retirement benefits; and generally
- 5 relating to the offset of workers' compensation benefits.
- 6 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 7 Article Labor and Employment
- 8 Section 9-610(a)
- 9 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 10 (1991 Volume and 1998 Supplement)

11 Preamble

- WHEREAS, In 1988, the Court of Appeals held in the Newman v. Subsequent
- 13 Injury Fund case that a proper interpretation of the phrase "similar benefit"
- 14 contained in Article 101, § 33(d) of the Code was that a workers' compensation award
- 15 to a government employee could not be offset by the employee's length of service
- 16 retirement benefits; and
- WHEREAS, The application of the law as set forth by the Court of Appeals in
- 18 the Newman v. Subsequent Injury Fund case has been consistent until recent case
- 19 law; and
- WHEREAS, In 1991, during the Code Revision Process of former Article 101, §
- 21 33(d) of the Code, now § 9-610(a)(1) and (2) of the Labor and Employment Article, the
- 22 word "similar" was not included in the revised statute; and
- WHEREAS, The Revisor's Note to § 9-610 of the Labor and Employment
- 24 Article stated that the revision was nonsubstantive in nature and "only for clarity and
- 25 brevity"; and
- 26 WHEREAS, In March of 1998, the Court of Special Appeals held in the
- 27 consolidated appeal of Wills v. Baltimore County and Blevins v. Baltimore County,

## SENATE BILL 630

- 1 that the fact that the word "similar" was left out effected a material change in the law,
- 2 despite the Revisor's Note indicating no substantive change; and
- WHEREAS, The Code Revision Process clearly identifies substantive issues to
- 4 be brought to the attention of the General Assembly in the Revisor's Notes; and
- WHEREAS, The Court of Special Appeals interpreted the Code Revision
- 6 Process in a manner that effects a substantive change; and
- WHEREAS, The General Assembly did not intend a substantive or material
- 8 change in the law; now, therefore,
- 9 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF
- 10 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

## 11 Article - Labor and Employment

- 12 9-610.
- 13 (a) (1) Except for benefits subject to an offset under § 29-118 of the State
- 14 Personnel and Pensions Article, if a statute, charter, ordinance, resolution,
- 15 regulation, or policy, regardless of whether part of a pension system, provides a
- 16 benefit to a covered employee of a governmental unit or a quasi-public corporation
- 17 that is subject to this title under § 9-201(2) of this title or, in case of death, to the
- 18 dependents of the covered employee, payment of the benefit by the employer satisfies,
- 19 to the extent of the payment, the liability of the employer and the subsequent injury
- 20 fund for payment of SIMILAR benefits under this title.
- 21 (2) If a benefit paid under paragraph (1) of this subsection is less than
- 22 the benefits provided under this title, the employer, the Subsequent Injury Fund, or
- 23 both shall provide an additional benefit that equals the difference between the benefit
- 24 paid under paragraph (1) of this subsection and the benefits provided under this title.
- 25 (3) The computation of an additional benefit payable under paragraph
- 26 (2) of this section shall be done at the time of the initial award and may not include
- 27 any cost of living adjustment after the initial award.
- 28 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect
- 29 October 1, 1999.