HOUSE BILL 56

Unofficial Copy C5 2000 Regular Session 0lr0411 CF 0lr2279

(PRE-FILED)

By: Delegates Stern, Gordon, Kopp, Howard, Menes, Barve, Conroy, Boutin, Frush, Heller, Cane, Barkley, Kagan, and Carlson

Requested: August 18, 1999 Introduced and read first time: January 12, 2000 Assigned to: Environmental Matters

Committee Report: Favorable with amendments House action: Adopted with floor amendments Read second time: March 25, 2000

CHAPTER_____

1 AN ACT concerning

2

3

Public Utility Companies <u>Service Commission</u> - <u>Telephone</u> Rates -Intra-County and Adjacent County Phone Calls <u>Competition</u>

4 FOR the purpose of declaring certain findings of the General Assembly; requiring the

- 5 Public Service Commission to use an alternative rate setting mechanism to
- 6 establish local telephone calling rates for calls within adjacent exchanges,

7 within the same LATA or county or between adjacent counties within the same

8 LATA, between the District of Columbia and adjacent counties within the same

9 LATA, between Maryland counties and counties in adjacent LATAs in adjacent

10 states, between adjacent counties in adjacent LATAs, and between certain

11 exchanges within a metropolitan exchange area; requiring the Public Service

12 Commission to seek approval by the Federal Communications Commission for

13 certain calling rates and establishing certain rules between adjacent counties in

14 adjacent LATAs; requiring the Public Service Commission to file petitions with

15 the Federal Communications Commission by a certain date to seek approval for

16 local calling rates for calls between adjacent counties in adjacent LATAs and to

seek approval for the waiver of LATA boundaries; requiring the Public Service
Commission to report to certain committees of the General Assembly by a

19 certain date on its progress in obtaining approval from the Federal

20 Communications Commission, on the results of any Federal Communications

21 Commission proceedings on the petition, and on the impact of this Act on calling

rates; defining a certain term; requiring the Public Service Commission to

23 undertake certain efforts to increase competition in certain telephone services

24 for residential customers; requiring the Public Service Commission to report to

25 certain committees of the General Assembly by certain dates on its progress in

26 enhancing competition in certain telephone services in the State; and generally

1	relating to local telephone calling rates.		
2 3	SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, That the General Assembly:		
4	(1) finds that:		
	(i) competition in local exchange telephone services, which the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 was enacted to foster, has languished in this State, particularly in residential local exchange services;		
	(ii) additional efforts by the Public Service Commission are needed to foster competition in local exchange telephone services, and in intrastate interLATA telephone services, for residential customers in the State; and		
13 14	1 (iii) waiver or elimination of the LATA boundaries in the State by 2 the Federal Communications Commission would assist the Public Service 3 Commission in developing a more competitive local exchange telephone services 4 market for residential customers in the State and in creating a reasonable alternative 5 rate setting mechanism; and		
18 19 20 21 22 23	(2) directs the Public Service Commission to undertake efforts to increase competition in the local exchange service and intrastate interLATA service markets for residential telephone customers in the State, consistent with the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, including commencing State proceedings to investigate and implement regulatory changes to enhance competition, and petitioning the Federal Communications Commission to implement regulatory changes to enhance competition in intrastate interLATA services in the State, which may include changes in or elimination of LATAs in the State as a barrier to competition in local exchange service.		
25 26 27 28 29	Section 4-101 Annotated Code of Maryland		
30 31	BY adding to Article - Public Utility Companies		

- Article Public Utility Companies
- 32 Section 4-301.1
- 33 Annotated Code of Maryland
- (1998 Volume and 1999 Supplement) 34

SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF 35

36 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

3	HOUSE BILL 56		
1	Article - Public Utility Companies		
2	<u>4-101.</u>		
3	In this title, "just a	nd reasonable rate" means a rate that:	
4	(1) e	loes not violate any provision of this article;	
5	(2) f	fully considers and is consistent with the public good; and	
8 9	6 (3) except for rates of a common carrier, will result in an operating 7 income to the public service company that yields, after reasonable deduction for 8 depreciation and other necessary and proper expenses and reserves, a reasonable 9 return on the fair value of the public service company's property used and useful in 0 providing service to the public.		
11	4- 301.1.		
	12 (A) IN THIS SECTION, "REASONABLY EXPECTED GEOGRAPHIC SPHERE OF 13 TELEPHONE ACTIVITY" INCLUDES TELEPHONE COMMUNICATION BY:		
14	· (1) I	LAND LINE;	
15 16		WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO CELLULAR, AND PCS; AND	
17	(3) H	E-MAIL.	
18 19	()	MMISSION SHALL ADOPT A NEW ALTERNATIVE RATE SETTING OCAL TELEPHONE CALLS THAT ARE:	
20	(1)	WITHIN ADJACENT EXCHANGES;	
21 22	(2) S	WITHIN THE SAME LATA OR COUNTY OR BETWEEN ADJACENT THE SAME LATA;	
23 24	(3) I COUNTIES WITHIN	BETWEEN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA AND ADJACENT MARYLAND THE SAME LATA;	
25 26		BETWEEN MARYLAND COUNTIES AND COUNTIES IN ADJACENT G VIRGINIA, WEST VIRGINIA, PENNSYLVANIA, AND DELAWARE;	
27	(5) H	BETWEEN COUNTIES THAT ARE IN ADJACENT LATAS; OR	
-		FROM ANY EXCHANGE WITHIN A COUNTY INTO ANY METROPOLITAN FHAT CURRENTLY INCLUDES AT LEAST ONE ENTIRE EXCHANGE F Y.	
31 32		FERNATIVE RATE SETTING MECHANISM ESTABLISHED UNDER FTHIS SECTION SHALL ESTABLISH RATES FOR LOCAL CALLS THAT	

33 ARE PRESUMED TO BE CO-EQUAL TO THE RATES FOR LOCAL TOLL CALLS, ABSENT

HOUSE BILL 56

1 CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT THE RATES. AS DETERMINED BY THE 2 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILIZING A FORWARD-LOOKING INCREMENTAL 3 COSTING METHODOLOGY, SHOULD BE SET AT A HIGHER OR LOWER LEVEL. 4 THE COMMISSION SHALL SEEK APPROVAL FROM THE FEDERAL (D) 5 COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION AS NECESSARY TO ESTABLISH LOCAL CALLING 6 RATES BETWEEN LATAS. SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That the Public Service 7 8 Commission shall: 9 (1)file a petition with the Federal Communications Commission to seek 10 initial approval of local telephone calling rates for calls between LATAs on or before 11 July 1, 2001; 12 (2)file a petition with the Federal Communications Commission to seek 13 approval for the waiver of LATA boundaries; 14 (3)report to the Senate Finance Committee and the House 15 Environmental Matters Committee on or before April 1, 2001, on its progress in 16 developing a petition to file with the Federal Communications Commission seeking 17 approval of local telephone calling rates for calls between LATAs; 18 report to the Senate Finance Committee and the House (4)19 Environmental Matters Committee on or before January 31, 2002, on the status of 20 any Federal Communications Commission proceedings on the petition; and 21 report to the Senate Finance Committee and the House (5)22 Environmental Matters Committee on or before January 1, 2003, on the impact of this 23 Act on calling rates. 24 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That the Public Service 25 Commission shall report to the Senate Finance Committee and the House 26 Environmental Matters Committee, in accordance with § 2-1246 of the State 27 Government Article, on or before January 1, 2002 and January 1, 2003 on the 28 progress of the Commission in enhancing competition in the local exchange service

29 and intrastate interLATA services for residential customers in the State, including

30 the status of State and federal proceedings on the subject of enhancing competition.

31 SECTION 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect 32 October 1, 2000.

4

HOUSE BILL 56