## **Department of Legislative Services**

Maryland General Assembly 2000 Session

## **FISCAL NOTE**

House Bill 333 (Delegate Pitkin. *et al.*)

Commerce and Government Matters

Drivers' Licenses - Graduated Licensing System - Provisional Licenses - Restrictions Applicable to Minors

This bill requires the Motor Vehicle Administration (MVA) to impose on each provisional drivers' license a restriction that prohibits the licensee who is under the age of 18 from operating a motor vehicle if: (1) the vehicle is occupied by more than two passengers who are under the age of 21; or (2) each occupant is not restrained by a seat belt or child safety seat. Holders of provisional licenses who violate this restriction are subject to the administrative penalties applied to provisional licensees who commit moving violations. This bill also shortens the time in which a provisional license holder may drive from between 5 a.m. and midnight to between 6 a.m. and 11 p.m. Those individuals holding a provisional license that was issued before the effective date of the bill are not subject to the provisions of the bill as long as the provisional license remains in effect.

## **Fiscal Summary**

**State Effect:** Minimal increase in general fund revenue from the penalty provision applicable to this offense under the Maryland Vehicle Law. Minimal increase in Transportation Trust Fund (TTF) expenditures for administrative hearings and computer programming.

Local Effect: None.

Small Business Effect: Minimal.

## **Analysis**

Current Law: All drivers and passengers are required to wear seat belts in the front seat of

a vehicle. Additionally, any passenger under 16 years of age, regardless of where seated, must be restrained by either a seat belt or child safety seat. Currently, there are no restrictions on the number of passengers in a vehicle except in cases where the passengers obstruct the view of the driver or interfere with the control of the driver over the vehicle.

**Background:** A graduated licensing system to address the high accident rate involving new drivers attributable to immaturity and inexperience took effect July 1, 1999. The seat belt restrictions proposed under this bill were initially recommended by the Graduated Licensing Initiative Work Group, which developed a proposed Graduated Licensed System in 1997. However, the General Assembly eliminated the seat belt restrictions, along with other changes, prior to enactment of the Graduated Licensing System in 1998.

**State Revenues:** There are approximately 41,000 provisional driver's licenses issued each year, and there is a \$50 fine for driving a vehicle in violation of a license restriction. To the extent that provisional licensees violate the seat belt and passenger restrictions, general fund revenue could increase. Any such increase is assumed to be minimal.

**State Expenditures:** In fiscal 1999 there were 764 provisional license restriction violations. Such violations could include driving unsupervised after midnight or violating the alcohol restriction. It is likely that the bill will increase the number of license restriction violations, although the magnitude of the increase cannot be reliably estimated.

The MVA refers first-time offenders of the provisional license restriction to a driver improvement program. The MVA may suspend the provisional licenses of those drivers who commit second and subsequent license restriction violations. Those suspended may seek an administrative hearing to protest the suspension. It costs the MVA approximately \$92 in reimbursable funds to the Office of Administrative Hearings for each MVA hearing. To the extent that individuals with suspended provisional licenses request hearings, TTF expenditures could increase.

The MVA advises that computer programming expenditures could increase by an estimated \$50,000 to modify the computer programs to reflect new restrictions on provisional licenses. The Department of Legislative Services (DLS) advises that if other legislation is passed requiring computer reprogramming changes, economies of scale could be realized. This would reduce computer programming costs associated with this bill and other legislation affecting the MVA system. Further, DLS advises that the increased computer expenditure is simply an estimate and that the MVA may be able to handle the changes either with less money than it estimates or with existing resources.

**Prior Introductions:** None.

Cross File: None.

Information Source(s): Maryland Department of Transportation (Motor Vehicle

Administration), Department of Legislative Services

**Fiscal Note History:** First Reader - February 14, 2000

jir/jr

Analysis by: Jody J. Minnich

Direct Inquiries to:

John Rixey, Coordinating Analyst
(410) 946-5510
(301) 970-5510