Unofficial Copy R2

2001 Regular Session 1lr1370 CF 1lr2473

(PRE-FILED)

By: Delegates Taylor, Hurson, Dewberry, Busch, Doory, Harrison, Hixson,

Howard, Kopp, Menes, Rosenberg, Vallario, and Wood Wood, W. Baker,

Bozman, Bronrott, Brown, Burns, Cadden, Carlson, Cole, Conway,

D'Amato, DeCarlo, Frush, Giannetti, Goldwater, Hecht, James, A. Jones,

V. Jones, Kirk, Love, McIntosh, Moe, Morhaim, Nathan-Pulliam,

Patterson, Pendergrass, Pitkin, Riley, Rosso, Shriver, and Stern

Requested: November 15, 2000

Introduced and read first time: January 10, 2001 Assigned to: Commerce and Government Matters

Committee Report: Favorable with amendments House action: Adopted with floor amendments

Read second time: February 14, 2001

CHAPTER

1 AN ACT concerning

- 2 Virginia-Maryland-District of Columbia Joint Legislative Commission on 3 **Interstate Transportation - Regional Transportation Authority Study**
- FOR the purpose of requesting the Virginia-Maryland-District of Columbia Joint
- 5 Legislative Commission on Interstate Transportation to study, in consultation
- 6 with certain governing bodies, the issue of establishing a Regional
- Transportation Authority; expressing the intent of the General Assembly that 7
- 8 the Commission not consider issues relating to aviation; requesting the Speaker
- 9 of the House, the President of the Senate and their Virginia and District of
- 10 Columbia counterparts to consider a certain expansion of the Commission;
- requesting the Commission to submit a certain report reports on or before a 11
- 12 certain date certain dates; and generally relating to the
- Virginia-Maryland-District of Columbia Joint Legislative Commission on 13
- Interstate Transportation. 14

15 Preamble

- WHEREAS, Residents in the Metropolitan Washington Region, particularly 16
- 17 those in Northern Virginia and suburban Maryland, face the second longest daily
- 18 commutes in the United States, with only Los Angeles area residents spending more
- 19 time each day commuting to work; and

- WHEREAS, Residents in the Metropolitan Washington Region have the highest
- 2 per capita income lost because of transportation gridlock and pay nearly \$1,260 per
- 3 year in higher consumer prices because of the effects of traffic congestion; and
- 4 WHEREAS, By the year 2020, trips to or from work will increase by 2.5 million
- 5 per day, for a total of 6.1 million trips per day with suburb-to-suburb work trips
- 6 accounting for 3 of every 4 work trips; and
- WHEREAS, Trips to and from work comprise only 25% of all daily trips in the
- 8 Metropolitan Region; and
- 9 WHEREAS, By the year 2025, the total increase in daily work and nonwork
- 10 trips in the Washington Metropolitan Region will increase by 38%, from
- 11 approximately 12.8 million trips per day to approximately 17.6 million trips per day,
- 12 yet according to currently adopted regional long range plans, highway lane miles will
- 13 increase by only 13% over the same period, from approximately 12,500 to
- 14 approximately 14,100 lane miles, leaving a much greater gap between projected
- 15 demand and available capacity than exists today, according to the Metropolitan
- 16 Washington Area Council of Governments; and
- WHEREAS, By the year 2020, traffic volumes across existing bridges in the
- 18 Metropolitan Washington Region will earry increase to more than 400,000 trips above
- 19 design capacity each day, yet Virginia, Maryland, and the District of Columbia have
- 20 had difficulty coordinating long term regional transportation and related growth
- 21 policies; and
- WHEREAS, Although there is frequent and beneficial coordination among
- 23 executive branch officials and local government officials in Maryland, Virginia, and
- 24 the District of Columbia, a more formal structure for coordinating transportation
- 25 solutions may be beneficial in improving transportation and mobility for residents of
- 26 the Metropolitan Washington Region, particularly residents of Northern Virginia and
- 27 suburban Maryland; and
- WHEREAS, Because of the increasing amount of commuter traffic between the
- 29 Metropolitan Washington Region and Baltimore, discussions of a Regional
- 30 Transportation Authority would be aided on the Maryland side by the addition of a
- 31 House and Senate representative from the Baltimore area; now, therefore,
- 32 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF
- 33 MARYLAND, That
- 34 (a) The Virginia-Maryland-District of Columbia Joint Legislative
- 35 Commission on Interstate Transportation is requested to study, in consultation with
- 36 the governing bodies of counties in the Metropolitan Washington Region, the issue of
- 37 establishing a Regional Transportation Authority for the Metropolitan Washington
- 38 Region, including in the study a review of such issues as:
- 39 (1) the funding of transportation projects;

36 October June 1, 2001.

HOUSE BILL 10

1	(2)	the second of th	
1 2	(2) travel by 2025;	transportation capacity and projected travel demands for all modes of	
_	auver by 2025 ,		
3	(3)	transit alternatives;	
1	(4)	Dotomog Divor grossings	
4	(4)	Potomac River crossings;	
5	(5)	coordination of and compliance with land use policies;	
6	(6)	air pollution control policies; and	
7	(7)	the organizational structures, powers, and responsibilities of a	
	` /	n authority, including a review of regional transportation	
	9 planning and decision making bodies already created under the laws of Maryland,		
10 Virginia, and the District of Columbia, and the experience of other states and regions			
	that have created regional transportation authorities; and		
		-	
12	<u>(8)</u>	other issues the Commission believes are relevant to a Regional	
13	Transportation Author	ority.	
14	(b) It is the	intent of the General Assembly that, in reviewing the issues	
	specified in subsection (a) of this section, the Commission not consider issues relating		
	to aviation.	on (a) of this section, the commission not consider issues relating	
10	to a viation.		
17	(c) The Ma	ryland Speaker of the House and the President of the Senate shall	
	3 discuss with their appropriate counterparts in Virginia and the District of Columbia		
	the advisability of expanding the membership of the Commission to a slightly broader		
20	geographic area in both Maryland and Virginia.		
21	(e) (d)	(1) It is the intent of the General Assembly that the Commission	
	· / <u> </u>		
	2 <u>submit an interim</u> report <u>of</u> its findings and recommendations to the General Assembly in accordance with § 2-1246 of the State Government Article in time to		
	submit its findings and recommendations, and to the Governors of Maryland and		
	Virginia, the Mayor and Council of the District of Columbia, and the General		
	Assemblies of Maryland and Assembly of Virginia, and the local government officials		
	of the affected counties and municipal corporations, prior to their respective 2002		
28	legislative sessions o	n or before December 1, 2001.	
20	(2)	This decision of the Consent Assemble deaths Consent in the State	
29		It is the intent of the General Assembly that the Commission submit	
	a final report of its findings and recommendations to the General Assembly in accordance with § 2-1246 of the State Government Article, and to the Governors of		
	2 Maryland and Virginia, the Mayor and Council of the District of Columbia, the		
	3 General Assembly of Virginia, and the local government officials of the affected		
		oal corporations, on or before December 1, 2002.	
35	SECTION 2. AN	ID BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect	