Unofficial Copy C4 2001 Regular Session 1lr2853 CF 1lr2852

By: Delegate Love

Introduced and read first time: February 19, 2001 Assigned to: Rules and Executive Nominations

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning				
I AN ACI CONCERNING	/A N	A 1 1	concorning	r
	Δ	ΔU	COHCELLINE	•

2 Insurance - Insurer Insolvencies - Claims Priority

- 3 FOR the purpose of altering the priority of distribution in the event of an insurer
- 4 insolvency when there are known or potential claims due the federal
- 5 government; making certain technical changes; and generally relating to
- 6 priority of claims in the event of an insurer insolvency.
- 7 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 8 Article Insurance
- 9 Section 9-202(a) and 9-227
- 10 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 11 (1997 Volume and 2000 Supplement)
- 12 Preamble
- WHEREAS, In U.S. Dept. of Treasury v. Fabe, 508 U.S. 491 (1993), the United
- 14 States Supreme Court held that a state may give priority to policyholder claims and
- 15 expenses of administering the insolvency above the claims of the federal government
- 16 in the event of an insurer insolvency; and
- 17 WHEREAS, In U.S. Dept. of Treasury v. Fabe, the United States Supreme Court
- 18 also held that a state statute governing the priority of claims in the event of an
- 19 insurer insolvency is preempted by federal law to the extent that it gives priority to
- 20 any other type of claim over the claims of the federal government; and
- 21 WHEREAS, The statutory priority scheme at issue in U.S. Dept. of Treasury v.
- 22 Fabe was struck down in its entirety on remand because it gave priority to claims
- 23 other than policyholder claims and administrative expenses above the claims of the
- 24 federal government, and because the statute was found not to be severable; and
- 25 WHEREAS, It is the intent of the General Assembly to ensure that, in the event
- 26 there are federal claims against an insolvent insurer in Maryland, the claims of the
- 27 federal government do not take priority over policyholder claims or the expenses of
- 28 administering the insolvency; now, therefore,

1 2	SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
3	Article - Insurance
4	9-202.
	(a) Sections 9-201(b), (d), (e), (f), (g), (j), (k), (l), (m), and (n), 9-203, 9-207(a), 9-218, 9-219, 9-220, 9-226(f) and (g), and [9-227(a), (d), (e), (f), (g), and (h)] 9-227(A), (E), (F), (G), (H), AND (I) of this subtitle are the "Uniform Insurers Liquidation Act".
8	9-227.
	(a) In this section, "preferred claim" means a claim that is given priority of payment from the general assets of an insurer under the law of the State or the United States.
14	(b) (1) The first \$500 of compensation or wages owed to an officer or employee of an insurer for services rendered within 3 months before the commencement of a delinquency proceeding against the insurer shall be paid before payment of any other debt or claim.
	(2) Subject to paragraph (3) of this subsection, the Commissioner may pay the compensation required to be paid under this subsection as soon as practicable after commencement of the delinquency proceeding.
19 20	(3) At all times, the Commissioner shall reserve funds that the Commissioner believes are sufficient for expenses of administration.
21 22	(4) The priority required under this subsection is instead of any other similar priority that may be authorized by law as to wages or compensation.
	(c) Priority over all other claims in a liquidation proceeding, other than claims for wages specified in subsection (b) of this section, expenses of administration, and taxes, shall be given to:
	(1) claims by policyholders, beneficiaries, or insureds, that arise from and within the coverage of and are not in excess of the applicable limits of policies and insurance contracts issued by the insurer;
	(2) liability claims against insureds that are within the coverage of and are not in excess of the applicable limits of policies and insurance contracts issued by the insurer; and
32	(3) claims of:
33	(i) the Property and Casualty Insurance Guaranty Corporation;
34	(ii) the Life and Health Insurance Guaranty Corporation; and

HOUSE BILL 1352

1	((111)	any simi	iar organization in another state.
	THIS SECTION, IF TI	HERE A	RE KNO	HE PROVISIONS OF SUBSECTIONS (B) AND (C) OF OWN OR POTENTIAL CLAIMS DUE THE FEDERAL SHALL BE THE PRIORITY OF DISTRIBUTION:
5	(1)	EXPEN	SES OF A	ADMINISTRATION;
6	(2)	ГНЕ ГО	LLOWIN	NG CLAIMS WITHOUT PRIORITY AMONG THEM:
9	INSUREDS, THAT A	RISE FR PLICAB	ROM ANI	S MADE BY POLICYHOLDERS, BENEFICIARIES, OR D WITHIN THE COVERAGE OF AND ARE NOT IN TS OF POLICIES AND INSURANCE CONTRACTS
	COVERAGE OF ANI	ARE N	NOT IN E	TTY CLAIMS AGAINST INSUREDS THAT ARE WITHIN THE EXCESS OF THE APPLICABLE LIMITS OF POLICIES SUED BY THE INSURER; AND
14		(III)	CLAIMS	S OF:
15 16	CORPORATION;		1.	THE PROPERTY AND CASUALTY INSURANCE GUARANTY
17 18	CORPORATION; AN	ID .	2.	THE LIFE AND HEALTH INSURANCE GUARANTY
19)		3.	ANY SIMILAR ORGANIZATION IN ANOTHER STATE;
20 21	OF THIS SUBSECTION		S OF THI	E FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NOT INCLUDED IN ITEM (2)
24 25	OR EMPLOYEE OF A THE COMMENCEM WHICH SHALL BE I	AN INS ENT OF NSTEA	URER FO FA DELI D OF AN	O OF COMPENSATION OR WAGES OWED TO AN OFFICER OR SERVICES RENDERED WITHIN 3 MONTHS BEFORE NQUENCY PROCEEDING AGAINST THE INSURER, NY OTHER SIMILAR PRIORITY THAT MAY BE GES OR COMPENSATION;
27 28	(5) (5) GOVERNMENT; AN		S FOR TA	AXES AND DEBTS DUE ANY STATE OR LOCAL
29 30	(6) ANY OTHER PRIOR			AIMS OF GENERAL CREDITORS NOT FALLING WITHIN IS SUBSECTION.
33	which a receiver is app		n this Sta	ers of special deposit claims against an insurer for the or another state have priority against their that governs the creation and maintenance of
35 36				ency in a special deposit so that the claims secured narged, the claimants may share in the general

HOUSE BILL 1352

2	assets after general creditors, and claimants against other special deposits who have received smaller percentages from their respective special deposits, have been paid percentages of their claims equal to the percentage paid from the special deposit.
4 5	[(e)] (F) (1) The owner of a secured claim against an insurer for which a receiver has been appointed in this State or another state may:
6 7	(i) surrender the security and file the claim as a general creditor; or
8	(ii) have the claim discharged by resort to the security.
	(2) If the owner of a secured claim has the claim discharged by resort to the security, any deficiency shall be treated as a claim against the general assets of the insurer on the same basis as the claims of unsecured creditors.
12	(3) The amount of a deficiency is conclusive if adjudicated:
13	(i) in an ancillary proceeding under this subtitle; or
14 15	(ii) by a court of competent jurisdiction in a proceeding in which the domiciliary receiver has had notice and an opportunity to be heard.
16 17	(4) If the amount of a deficiency is not conclusive, the amount shall be determined in a delinquency proceeding in the domiciliary state.
20 21 22 23	[(f)] (G) (1) Current financing moneys that, in accordance with regulations adopted by the Health Services Cost Review Commission, are provided by an insurer, nonprofit health service plan, or health maintenance organization to a hospital for discounted hospital rates are deemed to be security for the amount of outstanding charges owed by the insurer, nonprofit health service plan, or health maintenance organization to the hospital for bills or claims for services provided by the hospital before the delinquency proceeding.
25 26	(2) A hospital that retains any current financing moneys as security under this subsection:
	(i) is deemed to be the owner of a secured claim against the insurer, nonprofit health service plan, or health maintenance organization for which a receiver has been appointed; and
	(ii) may discharge its claim against the insurer, nonprofit health service plan, or health maintenance organization as provided under subsection [(e)] (F) of this section.
	[(g)] (H) (1) In a delinquency proceeding against an insurer domiciled in the State, claims owing to residents of ancillary states are preferred claims if similar claims are preferred under the law of this State.

HOUSE BILL 1352

- 1 (2) All claims owing to residents of ancillary states or nonresidents have 2 equal priority of payment from general assets regardless of where the general assets 3 are located.
- 4 [(h)] (I) In a delinquency proceeding against an insurer domiciled in a 5 reciprocal state, claims owing to residents of this State are preferred claims if similar 6 claims are preferred under the law of that state.
- 7 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect 8 October 1, 2001.