Unofficial Copy C3 2001 Regular Session 1lr2105

By: Delegate McHale

Introduced and read first time: February 26, 2001 Assigned to: Rules and Executive Nominations

A BILL ENTITLED

1	A TAT		•
	Δ $ \mathbf{X} $	ΔU	concerning
1	$\Delta \mathbf{M}$	Λ CI	COHCCHIIII

2 Long-Term Care Insurance - Loss Ratios - Premium Increases

- 3 FOR the purpose of repealing certain provisions of law that relate to benefits under
- 4 long-term care insurance policies or certificates being considered reasonable in
- 5 relation to premiums if the expected loss ratio is a certain percentage and is
- 6 calculated in a certain manner; clarifying that a carrier may impose a certain
- 7 premium increase to policies or contracts of long-term care insurance under
- 8 certain circumstances; and generally relating to loss ratios and premium
- 9 increases for long-term care insurance.
- 10 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 11 Article Insurance
- 12 Section 18-115 and 18-116
- 13 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 14 (1997 Volume and 2000 Supplement)
- 15 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF
- 16 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

17 Article - Insurance

- 18 18-115.
- 19 [(a) Benefits under a policy or certificate of long-term care insurance shall be
- 20 considered reasonable in relation to premiums if the expected loss ratio is at least
- 21 60% and is calculated in a manner that provides for adequate reserving of the
- 22 long-term care insurance risk.
- 23 (b)] In evaluating the expected and actual loss ratios, the Commissioner shall
- 24 consider:
- 25 (1) the statistical credibility of incurred claims experience and earned
- 26 premiums;
- 27 (2) the period for which rates are computed to provide coverage;

22

23

(c)

(2)

2			HOUSE BILL 1396		
1		(3)	experienced and projected trends;		
2		(4)	the concentration of experience within early policy duration;		
3		(5)	expected claim fluctuation;		
4		(6)	experienced refunds, adjustments, or dividends;		
5		(7)	renewability features;		
6		(8)	all appropriate expense factors;		
7		(9)	interest;		
8		(10)	the experimental nature of the coverage;		
9		(11)	policy reserves;		
10		(12)	the mix of business by risk classification; and		
11 12	deductibles,	(13) and high	product features, including long elimination periods, high maximum limits.		
13	18-116.				
	14 (a) Except as provided in subsection (b) of this section, a premium increase 15 under long-term care insurance may not be based on the age of the insured or 16 certificate holder.				
	17 (b) A carrier may impose an across-the-board premium increase to [all] 18 policies or contracts of long-term care insurance that the carrier issues or delivers in 19 the State:				
20 21	supports the	(1) proposed	submits to the Commissioner an actuarial memorandum that d premium increase; and		

obtains the approval of the Commissioner.

24 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect 25 October 1, 2001.

This section does not prohibit age-banding.