Unofficial Copy C4

2001 Regular Session 1lr2852 CF 1lr2853

By: Senator Astle Introduced and read first time: February 19, 2001 Assigned to: Rules Re-referred to: Finance, February 22, 2001 Committee Report: Favorable Senate action: Adopted Read second time: March 23, 2001						
1 AN ACT concerning						
2 Insurance - Insurer Insolvencies - Claims Priority						
 FOR the purpose of altering the priority of distribution in the event of an insurer insolvency when there are known or potential claims due the federal government; making certain technical changes; and generally relating to priority of claims in the event of an insurer insolvency. 						
7 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments, 8 Article - Insurance 9 Section 9-202(a) and 9-227 10 Annotated Code of Maryland 11 (1997 Volume and 2000 Supplement)						
12 Preamble						
WHEREAS, In U.S. Dept. of Treasury v. Fabe, 508 U.S. 491 (1993), the United States Supreme Court held that a state may give priority to policyholder claims and expenses of administering the insolvency above the claims of the federal government in the event of an insurer insolvency; and						
WHEREAS, In U.S. Dept. of Treasury v. Fabe, the United States Supreme Court also held that a state statute governing the priority of claims in the event of an insurer insolvency is preempted by federal law to the extent that it gives priority to any other type of claim over the claims of the federal government; and						
WHEREAS, The statutory priority scheme at issue in U.S. Dept. of Treasury v. 22 Fabe was struck down in its entirety on remand because it gave priority to claims						

- 1 other than policyholder claims and administrative expenses above the claims of the
- 2 federal government, and because the statute was found not to be severable; and
- WHEREAS, It is the intent of the General Assembly to ensure that, in the event
- 4 there are federal claims against an insolvent insurer in Maryland, the claims of the
- 5 federal government do not take priority over policyholder claims or the expenses of
- 6 administering the insolvency; now, therefore,
- 7 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF
- 8 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
- 9 Article Insurance
- 10 9-202.
- 11 (a) Sections 9-201(b), (d), (e), (f), (g), (j), (k), (l), (m), and (n), 9-203, 9-207(a),
- 12 9-218, 9-219, 9-220, 9-226(f) and (g), and [9-227(a), (d), (e), (f), (g), and (h)] 9-227(A),
- 13 (E), (F), (G), (H), AND (I) of this subtitle are the "Uniform Insurers Liquidation Act".
- 14 9-227.
- 15 (a) In this section, "preferred claim" means a claim that is given priority of
- 16 payment from the general assets of an insurer under the law of the State or the
- 17 United States.
- 18 (b) (1) The first \$500 of compensation or wages owed to an officer or
- 19 employee of an insurer for services rendered within 3 months before the
- 20 commencement of a delinquency proceeding against the insurer shall be paid before
- 21 payment of any other debt or claim.
- 22 (2) Subject to paragraph (3) of this subsection, the Commissioner may
- 23 pay the compensation required to be paid under this subsection as soon as practicable
- 24 after commencement of the delinquency proceeding.
- 25 (3) At all times, the Commissioner shall reserve funds that the
- 26 Commissioner believes are sufficient for expenses of administration.
- 27 (4) The priority required under this subsection is instead of any other
- 28 similar priority that may be authorized by law as to wages or compensation.
- 29 (c) Priority over all other claims in a liquidation proceeding, other than claims
- 30 for wages specified in subsection (b) of this section, expenses of administration, and
- 31 taxes, shall be given to:
- 32 (1) claims by policyholders, beneficiaries, or insureds, that arise from
- 33 and within the coverage of and are not in excess of the applicable limits of policies and
- 34 insurance contracts issued by the insurer;

SENATE BILL 837

	(2) are not in excess of the insurer; and			gainst insureds that are within the coverage of and s of policies and insurance contracts issued by
4	(3)	claims o	of:	
5		(i)	the Prop	perty and Casualty Insurance Guaranty Corporation;
6		(ii)	the Life	and Health Insurance Guaranty Corporation; and
7		(iii)	any sim	ilar organization in another state.
	THIS SECTION, IF	THERE A	RE KNO	THE PROVISIONS OF SUBSECTIONS (B) AND (C) OF OWN OR POTENTIAL CLAIMS DUE THE FEDERAL SHALL BE THE PRIORITY OF DISTRIBUTION:
11	(1)	EXPEN	SES OF	ADMINISTRATION;
12	(2)	THE FC	LLOWI	NG CLAIMS WITHOUT PRIORITY AMONG THEM:
15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PPLICAI	ROM AN	IS MADE BY POLICYHOLDERS, BENEFICIARIES, OR ND WITHIN THE COVERAGE OF AND ARE NOT IN MITS OF POLICIES AND INSURANCE CONTRACTS
			NOT IN	ITY CLAIMS AGAINST INSUREDS THAT ARE WITHIN THE EXCESS OF THE APPLICABLE LIMITS OF POLICIES SUED BY THE INSURER; AND
20		(III)	CLAIM	S OF:
21 22	CORPORATION;		1.	THE PROPERTY AND CASUALTY INSURANCE GUARANTY
23 24	CORPORATION; A	ND	2.	THE LIFE AND HEALTH INSURANCE GUARANTY
25			3.	ANY SIMILAR ORGANIZATION IN ANOTHER STATE;
26 27	(3) OF THIS SUBSECT		S OF TH	IE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NOT INCLUDED IN ITEM (2)
30 31	THE COMMENCEN WHICH SHALL BE	AN INS MENT OF INSTEA	URER F F A DEL D OF A	O OF COMPENSATION OR WAGES OWED TO AN OFFICER OR SERVICES RENDERED WITHIN 3 MONTHS BEFORE INQUENCY PROCEEDING AGAINST THE INSURER, NY OTHER SIMILAR PRIORITY THAT MAY BE GES OR COMPENSATION;
33 34	(5) GOVERNMENT; A		S FOR T	AXES AND DEBTS DUE ANY STATE OR LOCAL

SENATE BILL 837

1 2	(6) ANY OTHER PRIOI		THER CLAIMS OF GENERAL CREDITORS NOT FALLING WITHIN IDER THIS SUBSECTION.				
5			The owners of special deposit claims against an insurer for in this State or another state have priority against their y the law that governs the creation and maintenance of				
9 10	assets after general cr received smaller per	t are not freditors, a centages	is a deficiency in a special deposit so that the claims secured fully discharged, the claimants may share in the general and claimants against other special deposits who have from their respective special deposits, have been paid ual to the percentage paid from the special deposit.				
12 13	2 () 2	(1) pointed in	The owner of a secured claim against an insurer for which a this State or another state may:				
14 15	or	(i)	surrender the security and file the claim as a general creditor;				
16		(ii)	have the claim discharged by resort to the security.				
		ciency sh	wner of a secured claim has the claim discharged by resort to nall be treated as a claim against the general assets of as the claims of unsecured creditors.				
20	(3)	The am	ount of a deficiency is conclusive if adjudicated:				
21		(i)	in an ancillary proceeding under this subtitle; or				
22 23	domiciliary receiver	(ii) has had r	by a court of competent jurisdiction in a proceeding in which the notice and an opportunity to be heard.				
24 25	(4) determined in a delir		mount of a deficiency is not conclusive, the amount shall be proceeding in the domiciliary state.				
28 29 30 31	6 [(f)] (G) (1) Current financing moneys that, in accordance with regulations 7 adopted by the Health Services Cost Review Commission, are provided by an insurer, 8 nonprofit health service plan, or health maintenance organization to a hospital for 9 discounted hospital rates are deemed to be security for the amount of outstanding 0 charges owed by the insurer, nonprofit health service plan, or health maintenance 1 organization to the hospital for bills or claims for services provided by the hospital 2 before the delinquency proceeding.						
33 34	(2) under this subsection		tal that retains any current financing moneys as security				
	insurer, nonprofit he a receiver has been a		is deemed to be the owner of a secured claim against the ce plan, or health maintenance organization for which; and				

SENATE BILL 837

(ii) may discharge its claim against the insurer, nonprofit health service plan, or health maintenance organization as provided under subsection [(e)] (F) of this section.							
4 [(g)] (H) (1) In a delinquency proceeding against an insurer domiciled in the 5 State, claims owing to residents of ancillary states are preferred claims if similar 6 claims are preferred under the law of this State.							
(2) All claims owing to residents of ancillary states or nonresidents have equal priority of payment from general assets regardless of where the general assets are located.							
[(h)] (I) In a delinquency proceeding against an insurer domiciled in a reciprocal state, claims owing to residents of this State are preferred claims if similar claims are preferred under the law of that state.							

13 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect

14 October 1, 2001.