Department of Legislative Services

Maryland General Assembly 2001 Session

FISCAL NOTE

Senate Bill 253

(Senator Collins)

Finance

Education - Baltimore County - Public School Employees

This bill extends collective bargaining in the Baltimore County public school system to professional and administrative employees, including certificated and noncertificated employees, and supervisory employees. These employees would constitute a separate bargaining unit.

The bill applies retroactively to actions of the Baltimore County School Board on or after January 1, 1994.

Fiscal Summary

State Effect: None. The bill would not directly affect State governmental operations or finances.

Local Effect: None. The bill clarifies existing practice between the school board and these employees.

Small Business Effect: None.

Analysis

Current Law: These employees have their own bargaining unit.

Background: This bargaining unit was formed in 1994. The unit elected an exclusive representative and opted for "meet and confer" negotiations (rather than formal collective bargaining) with their employer. The unit includes supervisory, professional, and

administrative employees. Some of these positions require certification, while others do not. The State law governing collective bargaining of public school employees differentiates between positions requiring certification and positions that do not require certification.

Local Expenditures: The Department of Legislative Services generally estimates costs associated with collective bargaining in two categories: first, the administrative costs of implementing collective bargaining; and second, the additional personnel costs that result from collective bargaining. Because the school board already bargains with this unit, it is assumed that there would be no additional administrative costs for the school board.

Similarly, personnel expenses for the 758 employees (with a payroll of \$66 million including fringe benefits) are assumed to remain as they would under existing law. There could be increased costs if the unit chose formal collective bargaining rather than "meet and confer;" however, the employees could make that election under its existing agreement with the school board without any statutory change.

It is not does not appear that the bill's retroactive component would have a fiscal impact.

Additional Information

Prior Introductions: None.

Cross File: None.

Information Source(s): Maryland State Department of Education, Baltimore County Public School Board, Department of Legislative Services

Fiscal Note History: First Reader – March 16, 2001

ncs/jr

Analysis by: Matthew D. Riven Direct Inquiries to:

John Rixey, Coordinating Analyst

(410) 946-5510 (301) 970-5510