Unofficial Copy M2 2002 Regular Session 2lr0359

## (PRE-FILED)

By: Delegates Owings, Taylor, Hurson, Arnick, Busch, Conway, Dewberry, Doory, Hixson, Howard, McIntosh, Menes, Montague, Rawlings, Rosenberg, Vallario, and Wood

Requested: August 21, 2001

Introduced and read first time: January 9, 2002

Assigned to: Environmental Matters

\_\_\_\_\_

## A BILL ENTITLED

## 1 AN ACT concerning

## 2 Deer Management Plans - Increased Harvest

- 3 FOR the purpose of requiring the Department of Natural Resources to manage the
- 4 harvest of deer in certain ways; extending the length of a certain deer hunting
- 5 season to a certain number of days, including a certain number of Sundays;
- 6 exempting a certain region from certain Sunday hunting provisions; requiring
- the Department to establish a certain nonresident license; prohibiting fees for a
- 8 certain license and certain stamps and bonus deer stamps in excess of certain
- 9 amounts; authorizing the Department's deer management plans in certain areas
- to include certain methods under certain circumstances; authorizing the
- donation of certain meat to certain organizations and the payment of certain
- 12 costs in certain ways; requiring the Department to report and make
- recommendations to certain persons at a certain time regarding certain aspects
- of its deer management plans; defining certain terms; and generally relating to
- 15 certain deer management plans for increased harvest.
- 16 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 17 Article Natural Resources
- 18 Section 10-405(a)
- 19 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 20 (2000 Replacement Volume and 2001 Supplement)
- 21 BY adding to
- 22 Article Natural Resources
- 23 Section 10-415.1
- 24 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 25 (2000 Replacement Volume and 2001 Supplement)

1 Preamble

- WHEREAS, The current population of deer in the State of Maryland, which is
- 3 estimated at more than 250,000, is far beyond what the available habitat can
- 4 accommodate; and
- 5 WHEREAS, Despite the disruption of rural habitat areas because of
- 6 development projects and recreational uses, deer are remarkably adaptable to
- 7 residential areas, including urban and suburban sprawl areas, where they enjoy
- 8 safety from natural predators and hunters; and
- 9 WHEREAS, As demonstrated by a 1998-1999 survey of Anne Arundel, Howard,
- 10 Montgomery, and Prince George's counties in which the number of deer in the areas
- 11 studied increased by approximately 50% in the course of only 1 year, this population
- 12 is not only thriving but reproducing at a startling rate; and
- WHEREAS, When a deer herd reaches its upper density limits, as is now the
- 14 case, the results are the poor health and weakened physical condition of the animals
- 15 themselves, as well as their overconsumption of native plants, shrubs, and trees that
- 16 are necessary for the survival of other species in the natural ecosystem; and
- WHEREAS, In tandem with this multiplication of the deer population have been
- 18 alarming spikes in the rate of tick-borne illnesses transmitted to human beings, such
- 19 as Lyme disease; and
- 20 WHEREAS, The rate of reported deer-vehicle collisions has more than doubled
- 21 in the State since 1990, and these collisions have caused multiple fatalities and
- 22 serious injuries; and
- WHEREAS, Deer-vehicle collisions likewise cause property damage of about
- 24 \$10 million per year, which, in turn, leads to higher insurance rates for all drivers;
- 25 and
- 26 WHEREAS, A recent University of Maryland study found that, because of deer,
- 27 92% of the State's farmers suffer damage to corn, wheat, and soybean crops, an
- 28 annual economic loss in excess of \$38 million which is considered a conservative
- 29 estimate because it does not include damage to fruits, vegetables, and other crops;
- 30 and
- 31 WHEREAS, Nonlethal control mechanisms, such as fencing, fertility control,
- 32 repellents, relocation, deterrent reflectors along roadways, and behavior modification
- 33 by the installation of lights, have proven largely ineffective because they cannot be
- 34 used routinely and inexpensively and moreover, they impact only a small number of
- 35 deer: and
- 36 WHEREAS, Extended research has shown that hunting is the most effective
- 37 method to reduce the size of the deer herd, and it is likewise the most cost-effective
- 38 method to do so; and

3 HOUSE BILL 9

WHEREAS, Since the annual revenue associated with deer hunting in

1

	Maryland is more than \$200 million, hunters provide a significant boost to local economies, and their license fees also cover all associated program costs; and					
	WHEREAS, The increased harvest of deer by hunting is thus necessary for the public interest and to stabilize the deer population and manage it more appropriately; now, therefore,					
7 8	SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:					
9	Article - Natural Resources					
10	10-405.					
	1 (a) (1) Pursuant to § 10-205 of this title, the Department shall establish by 2 regulation and publish by July 1 each year the open season[, excepting Sundays,] to 3 hunt [the] forest and upland game birds and mammals.					
14 15	(2) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED UNDER §§ 10-410 AND 10-415.1 OF THIS SUBTITLE, THE DEPARTMENT MAY NOT AUTHORIZE HUNTING ON SUNDAY.					
16	10-415.1.					
17 18	(A) (1) IN THIS SECTION THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS INDICATED.					
19 20	(2) "CARRYING CAPACITY" MEANS THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF DEER THAT CAN:					
21	(I) BE SUPPORTED BY THE AVAILABLE HABITAT; AND					
22	(II) COEXIST COMPATIBLY WITH THE LOCAL HUMAN POPULATION.					
23	(3) "DEER" INCLUDES:					
24	(I) WHITE-TAILED AND SIKA DEER; AND					
25	(II) ANTLERED AND ANTLERLESS DEER.					
26	(4) "URBAN MANAGEMENT REGION" INCLUDES:					
27 28	(I) ANNE ARUNDEL, BALTIMORE, HOWARD, MONTGOMERY, AND PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTIES;					
29	(II) BALTIMORE CITY AND THE CITY OF FREDERICK; AND					
30 31	(III) THAT PORTION OF FREDERICK COUNTY THAT IS LOCATED SOUTH OF INTERSTATE 70 AND EAST OF U.S. ROUTE 15.					

4 HOUSE BILL 9

- 1 (B) THE DEPARTMENT SHALL MANAGE DEER HARVEST, INCLUDING THE
- 2 INCREASED HARVEST OF DOES AND HIGHER BAG LIMITS FOR ANTLERLESS DEER, ON
- 3 A REGION-BY-REGION BASIS AND, AS APPROPRIATE, ON A ZONE-BY-ZONE BASIS
- 4 WITHIN A REGION.
- 5 (C) (1) SUNDAY HUNTING PROVISIONS UNDER THIS SUBSECTION DO NOT
- 6 APPLY IN ANY PART OF THE URBAN MANAGEMENT REGION.
- 7 (2) THE DEER FIREARMS SEASON SHALL BE AT LEAST 21 DAYS, 8 INCLUDING UP TO THREE SUNDAYS.
- 9 (D) THE DEPARTMENT:
- 10 (1) SHALL ESTABLISH A NONRESIDENT 3-DAY DEER FIREARMS 11 LICENSE, FOR WHICH THE FEE MAY NOT EXCEED THE FEE PAID BY A RESIDENT; AND
- 12 (2) MAY NOT CHARGE A NONRESIDENT A FEE IN EXCESS OF THE FEE 13 PAID BY A RESIDENT FOR EACH DEER STAMP AND EACH BONUS DEER STAMP.
- 14 (E) IN A ZONE OF THE URBAN MANAGEMENT REGION IN WHICH THE DEER
- 15 POPULATION HAS BECOME, OR IF LEFT UNATTENDED WILL BECOME, A THREAT TO
- 16 PUBLIC HEALTH OR SAFETY OR A NUISANCE BECAUSE THE POPULATION IS IN
- 17 EXCESS OF THE CARRYING CAPACITY OF THE ZONE, THE DEPARTMENT'S DEER
- 18 MANAGEMENT PLAN MAY ALLOW FOR AN INCREASED HARVEST BY THE USE, AS
- 19 APPROPRIATE, OF BAIT, PROFESSIONAL SHARPSHOOTERS, LETHAL DARTS, OR
- 20 CAPTURE AND EUTHANASIA.
- 21 (F) (1) THE MEAT OF DEER HARVESTED UNDER THIS SECTION MAY BE
- 22 DONATED TO AN ORGANIZATION THAT HAS BEEN APPROVED BY THE STATE FOR
- 23 TAX-EXEMPT STATUS.
- 24 (2) PROCESSING COSTS FOR DONATED MEAT MAY BE PAID BY:
- 25 (I) PRIVATE DONATIONS; AND
- 26 (II) AS DETERMINED APPROPRIATE BY THE DEPARTMENT, A
- 27 PORTION OF THE REVENUE OBTAINED FROM THE PURCHASE OF DEER HUNTING
- 28 LICENSES AND STAMPS ISSUED UNDER THIS SECTION.
- 29 (G) IN ACCORDANCE WITH § 2-1246 OF THE STATE GOVERNMENT ARTICLE,
- 30 THE DEPARTMENT SHALL ANNUALLY REPORT AND MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS TO
- 31 THE LEGISLATIVE POLICY COMMITTEE, THE SENATE EDUCATION, HEALTH, AND
- 32 ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE, AND THE HOUSE ENVIRONMENTAL
- 33 MATTERS COMMITTEE ON:
- 34 (1) FOR EACH DEER MANAGEMENT REGION AND, AS APPROPRIATE,
- 35 EACH ZONE WITHIN A REGION, THE STATUS OF THE CARRYING CAPACITY OF THE
- 36 REGION OR ZONE IN RELATIONSHIP TO THE CURRENT POPULATION OF DEER, AS
- 37 PROJECTED FOR THE NEXT 2 YEARS;

5 HOUSE BILL 9

1 (	(2)	THE IMPACT AND	ANTICIPATED IMPACT	OF INCREASED HARVEST

- 2 MEASURES ON THE OVERPOPULATION OF DEER IN EACH DEER MANAGEMENT
- 3 REGION OF THE STATE;
- 4 (3) THE EXTENT TO WHICH NATURAL PREDATORS HAVE REDUCED, AND
- 5 ARE EXPECTED TO REDUCE, THE POPULATION;
- 6 (4) LAW ENFORCEMENT ISSUES; AND
- 7 (5) CITIZEN RESPONSE.
- 8 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect
- 9 July 1, 2002.