Unofficial Copy J3

By: Delegates Hecht, Billings, Boutin, Bronrott, Conroy, Conway, Cryor, DeCarlo, Dewberry, Dypski, Franchot, Giannetti, Grosfeld, Hutchins, James, V. Jones, Kagan, Marriott, McIntosh, Petzold, Pitkin, Riley, Rzepkowski, and Valderrama Introduced and read first time: February 7, 2002

Assigned to: Judiciary

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2

Vera's Law

3 FOR the purpose of creating an exception to the prohibition against willfully

- 4 intercepting a wire or electronic communication for a person who intercepts
- 5 wire, oral, or electronic communications in a related institution under certain
- 6 circumstances; requiring a related institution to permit a resident or a resident's
- 7 legal representative to monitor the resident through the use of video cameras or
- 8 other electronic monitoring devices; requiring a resident who shares a room with
- 9 another resident to obtain written consent before beginning electronic
- 10 monitoring; requiring the related institution to provide power sources and
- 11 mounting space to set up electronic monitoring devices; prohibiting a related
- 12 institution from refusing to admit an individual to the related institution or
- 13 removing a resident from the related institution because of a request to install
- 14 an electronic monitoring device; establishing certain penalties for violators;
- 15 requiring that tapes created from electronic monitoring be admissible in
- 16 criminal and civil actions brought in Maryland courts, subject to the Maryland
- 17 Rules of Evidence; and generally relating to electronic monitoring in related
- 18 institutions.

19 BY adding to

- 20 Article Courts and Judicial Proceedings
- 21 Section 10-402(c)(10)
- 22 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 23 (1998 Replacement Volume and 2001 Supplement)
- 24 BY adding to
- 25 Article Health General
- 26 Section 19-343.1
- 27 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 28 (2000 Replacement Volume and 2001 Supplement)

2	HOUSE BILL 880
 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows: 	
3	Article - Courts and Judicial Proceedings
4 10-402.	
5 (C) (10) (I) 6 MEANING STATED IN § 1	IN THIS PARAGRAPH, "RELATED INSTITUTION" HAS THE 9-343.1 OF THE HEALTH - GENERAL ARTICLE.
7 (II) 8 INTERCEPT A WIRE, OR 9 INSTITUTION IF THE PER	IT IS LAWFUL UNDER THIS SUBTITLE FOR A PERSON TO L, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATION IN A RELATED SON:
10 11 LEGAL REPRESENTATIV	1. IS A RESIDENT OF THE RELATED INSTITUTION OR THE TE OF A RESIDENT;
12 13 RESIDENT'S ROOM IN TI	2. CONDUCTS THE ELECTRONIC MONITORING IN THE HE RELATED INSTITUTION; AND
14 15 STATING THAT THE RO 16 DEVICE.	3. POSTS A NOTICE ON THE DOOR OF THE RESIDENT'S ROOM OM IS BEING MONITORED BY AN ELECTRONIC MONITORING
17	Article - Health - General
18 19-343.1.	
19 (A) (1) IN TH 20 INDICATED.	IS SECTION THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS
 (2) "ELECTRONIC MONITORING DEVICE" INCLUDES A VIDEO SURVEILLANCE CAMERA, AN AUDIO DEVICE, A VIDEO TELEPHONE, AND AN INTERNET VIDEO SURVEILLANCE DEVICE. 	
24 (3) (I) 25 ENVIRONMENT, OR HOM	"RELATED INSTITUTION" MEANS AN ORGANIZED INSTITUTION, //E THAT:
 1. MAINTAINS CONDITIONS OR FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT TO PROVIDE DOMICILIARY, PERSONAL, OR NURSING CARE FOR TWO OR MORE UNRELATED INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE DEPENDENT ON THE ADMINISTRATOR, OPERATOR, OR PROPRIETOR FOR NURSING CARE OR THE SUBSISTENCE OF DAILY LIVING IN A SAFE, SANITARY, AND HEALTHFUL ENVIRONMENT; AND 	
31 32 CARE.	2. ADMITS OR RETAINS THE INDIVIDUALS FOR OVERNIGHT
	"RELATED INSTITUTION" INCLUDES A NURSING FACILITY OR CE THAT IS CONDUCTED ONLY BY OR FOR ADHERENTS OF A RELIGIOUS ORGANIZATION, IN ACCORDANCE WITH TENETS

HOUSE BILL 880

AND PRACTICES THAT INCLUDE RELIANCE ON TREATMENT BY SPIRITUAL MEANS
 ALONE FOR HEALING.

3 (B) (1) A RELATED INSTITUTION SHALL PERMIT A RESIDENT OR LEGAL
4 REPRESENTATIVE OF THE RESIDENT TO MONITOR THE RESIDENT THROUGH THE
5 USE OF ELECTRONIC MONITORING DEVICES.

6 (2) (I) A RELATED INSTITUTION SHALL REQUIRE A RESIDENT WHO 7 ENGAGES IN ELECTRONIC MONITORING TO POST A NOTICE ON THE DOOR OF THE 8 RESIDENT'S ROOM.

9 (II) THE NOTICE MUST STATE THAT THE ROOM IS BEING 10 MONITORED BY AN ELECTRONIC MONITORING DEVICE.

(3) BEFORE BEGINNING ELECTRONIC MONITORING, A RELATED
 INSTITUTION SHALL REQUIRE A RESIDENT WHO WISHES TO ENGAGE IN ELECTRONIC
 MONITORING AND SHARES A ROOM WITH ANOTHER RESIDENT TO OBTAIN THE
 WRITTEN CONSENT FROM THE OTHER RESIDENT OR THE LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE
 OF THE OTHER RESIDENT.

16 (4) MONITORING CONDUCTED UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL:

17 (I) BE NONCOMPULSORY AND AT THE ELECTION OF THE 18 RESIDENT OR LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE RESIDENT;

19(II)BE FUNDED BY THE RESIDENT OR LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE OF20 THE RESIDENT; AND

21(III)PROTECT THE PRIVACY RIGHTS OF OTHER RESIDENTS AND22VISITORS TO THE RELATED INSTITUTION TO THE EXTENT REASONABLY POSSIBLE.

(5) A RELATED INSTITUTION MAY NOT REFUSE TO ADMIT AN
INDIVIDUAL TO RESIDENCY IN THE RELATED INSTITUTION OR REMOVE A RESIDENT
FROM THE RELATED INSTITUTION BECAUSE OF A REQUEST FOR ELECTRONIC
MONITORING.

27 (6) A RELATED INSTITUTION SHALL MAKE REASONABLE PHYSICAL28 ACCOMMODATION FOR ELECTRONIC MONITORING, BY PROVIDING:

29 (I) A REASONABLY SECURE PLACE TO MOUNT THE ELECTRONIC 30 MONITORING DEVICE; AND

31 (II) ACCESS TO POWER SOURCES.

32 (7) A RELATED INSTITUTION SHALL INFORM A RESIDENT OR THE LEGAL
 33 REPRESENTATIVE OF THE RESIDENT OF THE RESIDENT'S RIGHT TO ELECTRONIC
 34 MONITORING.

HOUSE BILL 880

(8) A RELATED INSTITUTION MAY REQUEST A RESIDENT OR A
 RESIDENT'S LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE TO CONDUCT ELECTRONIC MONITORING
 WITHIN PLAIN VIEW.

4 (C) A RESIDENT WHO WISHES TO INSTALL AN ELECTRONIC MONITORING
5 DEVICE MAY BE REQUIRED BY THE ADMINISTRATOR OF THE RELATED INSTITUTION
6 TO MAKE THE REQUEST IN WRITING.

7 (D) SUBJECT TO THE MARYLAND RULES OF EVIDENCE, A TAPE CREATED
8 THROUGH THE USE OF ELECTRONIC MONITORING SHALL BE ADMISSIBLE IN EITHER
9 A CIVIL OR CRIMINAL ACTION BROUGHT IN A MARYLAND COURT.

(E) (1) A PERSON WHO OPERATES A RELATED INSTITUTION IN VIOLATION
 OF THE PROVISIONS IN THIS SECTION IS GUILTY OF A MISDEMEANOR AND ON
 CONVICTION IS SUBJECT TO A FINE NOT EXCEEDING \$2,000 OR IMPRISONMENT NOT
 EXCEEDING 5 YEARS OR BOTH.

(2) A PERSON WHO WILLFULLY AND WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF A
 RESIDENT HAMPERS, OBSTRUCTS, TAMPERS WITH, OR DESTROYS AN ELECTRONIC
 MONITORING DEVICE OR TAPE SHALL BE GUILTY OF A MISDEMEANOR AND ON
 CONVICTION IS SUBJECT TO A FINE NOT EXCEEDING \$2,000 OR IMPRISONMENT NOT
 EXCEEDING 90 DAYS OR BOTH.

SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effectOctober 1, 2002.

4