Unofficial Copy

23

24

25

(3)

(4)

(5)

2002 Regular Session 2lr2385

By: Delegates Conway and Rawlings Introduced and read first time: February 8, 2002 Assigned to: Ways and Means A BILL ENTITLED 1 AN ACT concerning 2 **Education - Student Transportation Grants - Increase in Amounts** 3 FOR the purpose of altering the amounts of grants to county boards for transportation services; prohibiting a county board from receiving more than 4 5 100 percent of the actual cost of providing student transportation services in 6 that county; and generally relating to grants to county boards for student transportation services. 7 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments, 8 Article - Education 10 Section 5-205 11 Annotated Code of Maryland 12 (2001 Replacement Volume) SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF 13 14 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows: 15 **Article - Education** 16 5-205. 17 For fiscal year [1993] 2003, grants in the following amounts shall be (a) 18 distributed to the county boards to provide transportation services for public school 19 students and handicapped children for whom transportation is to be provided under § 20 8-410 of this article: ALLEGANY\$3,469,827; 21 (1) 22 (2)

CALVERT\$2,907,878;

2 1206		
1	(6)	CAROLINE\$1,798,633;
2	(7)	CARROLL\$5,967,050;
3	(8)	CECIL\$3,351,898;
4	(9)	CHARLES\$6,443,910;
5	(10)	DORCHESTER\$1,790,299;
6	(11)	FREDERICK\$6,291,589;
7	(12)	GARRETT\$2,308,605;
8	(13)	HARFORD\$7,843,468;
9	(14)	HOWARD\$7,807,617;
10	(15)	KENT\$1,202,859;
11	(16)	MONTGOMERY\$18,691,895;
12	(17)	PRINCE GEORGE'S\$25,145,846;
13	(18)	QUEEN ANNE'S\$2,103,813;
14	(19)	ST. MARY'S\$4,178,786;
15	(20)	SOMERSET\$1,393,339;
16	(21)	TALBOT\$1,140,895;
17	(22)	WASHINGTON\$4,587,516;
18	(23)	WICOMICO\$3,365,941; AND
19	(24)	WORCESTER\$2,063,619.
20 (B) 21 budget ca		oriations for student transportation shall be budgeted in a separate provided in § 5-101 of this article.

HOUSE BILL

- 22 (C) [If the amount that is appropriated to a county under this section in a
- 23 fiscal year is more than the actual cost of providing student transportation services in
- 24 that county, a county board or the Board of School Commissioners of Baltimore City
- 25 may apply any excess funds to costs of pupil transportation in subsequent years. None
- 26 of these funds may be paid to or claimed by any subdivision, nor may any of these
- 27 funds be reverted to any subdivision.] A COUNTY MAY NOT RECEIVE MORE THAN 100%
- 28 OF THE ACTUAL COST OF PROVIDING STUDENT TRANSPORTATION SERVICES IN THAT
- 29 COUNTY.

HOUSE BILL 1206

1 (D) A county board [or the Board of School Commissioners of Baltimore City]
2 may not transfer State revenues from the student transportation category to any
3 other category [as a result of this section].

4	[(1)	Allegany\$ 1,980,822
5	(2)	Anne Arundel
6	(3)	Baltimore City
7	(4)	Baltimore
8	(5)	Calvert
9	(6)	Caroline
10	(7)	Carroll
11	(8)	Cecil
12	(9)	Charles
13	(10)	Dorchester
14	(11)	Frederick
15	(12)	Garrett
16	(13)	Harford4,243,590
17	(14)	Howard3,771,266
18	(15)	Kent
19	(16)	Montgomery
20	(17)	Prince George's
21	(18)	Queen Anne's
22	(19)	St. Mary's
23	(20)	Somerset
24	(21)	Talbot
25	(22)	Washington
26	(23)	Wicomico
27	(24)	Worcester

1206

39 education.

	[(b)] (E) (1) In this subsection, "full-time equivalent enrollment" means the full-time equivalent enrollment used to calculate the State share of basic current expenses for a fiscal year under § 5-202 of this subtitle.
6 7 8 9	(2) Subject to the limitations under paragraph (3) of this subsection, for fiscal year [2001] 2004 and every year thereafter the amount of the grant shall be equal to the amount of the grant for the previous year increased by the same percentage as the increase in the private transportation category of the Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers, for the Washington-Baltimore metropolitan area, as of July of the fiscal year preceding the year for which the amount is being calculated, plus an additional amount equal to the product of:
	(i) The total State grant for school transportation for the previous fiscal year divided by the full-time equivalent enrollment for the previous fiscal year; and
	(ii) [For fiscal year 1999 and each fiscal year thereafter, the] THE difference between the full-time equivalent enrollment in a county for the current fiscal year and EITHER:
17 18	1. [the] THE full-time equivalent enrollment in the county for the previous fiscal year[, or,]; OR
	2. [if] IF the full-time equivalent enrollment in a county for the current fiscal year is less than the full-time equivalent enrollment in the county for the previous fiscal year, zero.
24	(3) The increase in the amount of the grant that is based on the increase in the private transportation category of the Consumer Price Index may not be less than [3 percent] 3% nor more than [8 percent] 8% of the amount of the grant for the previous year.
28 29 30	[(c)] (F) For each fiscal year, in addition to the grant provided under subsections [(a) and (b)] (A) AND (E) of this section, a handicapped student transportation grant shall be distributed to each county board. The amount of the grant to each board shall be \$500 times the number of handicapped students requiring special transportation services who are transported by the county board in excess of the number transported during the 1980-1981 school year.
34 35	[(d)] (G) For the purposes of determining the amount of the grant provided under subsection [(c)] (F) of this section, the State Board shall develop a procedure and adopt regulations for determining the number of handicapped students transported in each jurisdiction in excess of the number transported in the 1980-1981 school year.
37 38	[(e)] (H) The State Board shall adopt rules and regulations that provide for the safe operation of the student transportation system of each county board of

- 1 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect 2 July 1, 2002.