# **Department of Legislative Services** Maryland General Assembly 2002 Session

## FISCAL NOTE

House Bill 236

(Delegate Marriott) (Baltimore City Administration)

Ways and Means

#### **Political Subdivisions - Special Grant to a County - Disparity Grant Formula**

This bill alters the disparity grant formula by ensuring that each county's per capita local income tax revenues will be at least 77.5% of the statewide average based on a 2.59% local income tax rate.

### **Fiscal Summary**

**State Effect:** General fund expenditures would increase by \$25.5 million in FY 2004. Future year expenditures reflect growth in county population and local income tax revenues. Revenues would not be affected.

2007	FY 20	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	(in dollars)		
\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Revenues		
069,900	33,00	30,339,400	27,834,300	25,536,000	0	GF Expenditure		
(69,900)	(\$33,06	(\$30,339,400)	(\$27,834,300)	(\$25,536,000)	\$0	Net Effect		
	,							

Note:() = decrease; GF = general funds; FF = federal funds; SF = special funds; - = indeterminate effect

**Local Effect:** State aid to ten local governments would increase by a total of \$25.5 million in FY 2004 and by \$33.1 million in FY 2007.

Small Business Effect: None.

## Analysis

**Current Law:** The disparity grant formula ensures that each county's per capita local income tax revenue is at least 75% of the statewide average. The fiscal 2003 disparity grant formula is based on July 2000 population estimates and calendar 2000 local income tax revenues raised from a 2.54% local income tax rate.

**Background:** The disparity grant addresses the differences in the capacities or abilities of counties to raise revenues from the local income tax. The State began providing disparity grants to counties whose per capita local income tax revenues were less than 70% of the statewide average in fiscal 1992. Local governments receiving the grants were Baltimore City and Allegany, Caroline, Dorchester, Garrett, and Somerset counties. Each county's grant equaled the amount necessary to raise the county's per capita income tax revenues to 70% of the statewide average. The legislation enacted in 1992 placed the disparity grant formula in statute for fiscal 1993 and subsequent years. Legislation enacted in 1996 guaranteed that, beginning in fiscal 1998, each county's per capita local income tax revenues would be 75% of the statewide average, rather than 70%. This change made two counties, Washington and Wicomico, eligible for disparity grants. In fiscal 2001, Prince George's County became eligible for disparity grants.

**State and Local Fiscal Effect:** Altering the disparity grant formula by ensuring that each county's per capita local income tax revenues are at least 77.5% of the statewide average based on a 2.59% local income tax rate would increase State expenditures by \$25.5 million in fiscal 2004. This revision would make Cecil County eligible for disparity grants. **Exhibit 1** shows the changes in disparity grant aid for each county based on the fiscal 2003 formula calculation. It is assumed that disparity grant aid would increase by 9% each year to reflect growth in county population and local income tax revenues, resulting in a larger increase in State aid than shown in Exhibit 1.

This legislation alters the disparity grant by: (1) ensuring that each county's per capita local income tax revenues will be at least 77.5% of the statewide average instead of 75% of the statewide average; and (2) basing the per capita local income tax revenues on a 2.59% local income tax rate instead of a 2.54% local income tax rate. The following table shows the fiscal impact of both proposed changes separately.

<b>Policy Change</b>	Estimated Impact in FY 2004
77.5% Program	\$22,619,400
2.59% Local Income Tax Rate	\$2,471,400

# **Additional Information**

**Prior Introductions:** Similar bills were introduced at the 2000 session as SB 533 and HB 734. SB 533 was withdrawn and no action was taken on HB 734. At the 2001 session, HB 654 received an unfavorable report by the House Ways and Means Committee and SB 451 was withdrawn.

**Cross File:** SB 214 (Senator McFadden, *et al.*) (Baltimore City Administration) – Budget and Taxation.

**Information Source:** Department of Legislative Services

**Fiscal Note History:** First Reader - January 29, 2002 ncs/jr

Analysis by: Hiram L. Burch, Jr.

Direct Inquiries to: John Rixey, Coordinating Analyst (410) 946-5510 (301) 970-5510

County	Population July 1, 2000	Adj. Income Tax Revenue (TY 2000)	Per Capita Tax Yield	Per Capita Grant	Grant Under SB 214	Grant Under Current Law	Difference	Percent Change
Allegany	74,930	\$17,644,462	\$235.48	\$114.59	\$8,585,933	\$7,590,374	\$995,559	13.1%
Anne Arundel	489,656	254,189,117	519.12	0.00	0	0	0	
Baltimore City	651,154	143,060,936	219.70	130.36	84,885,504	76,035,628	8,849,876	11.6%
Baltimore	754,292	363,228,213	481.55	0.00	0	0	0	
Calvert	74,563	33,224,274	445.59	0.00	0	0	0	
Caroline	29,772	7,581,236	254.64	95.42	2,840,909	2,456,357	384,552	15.7%
Carroll	150,897	66,481,029	440.57	0.00	0	0	0	
Cecil	85,951	29,923,166	348.14	1.92	165,299	0	165,299	
Charles	120,546	47,534,547	394.33	0.00	0	0	0	
Dorchester	30,674	8,500,124	277.11	72.95	2,237,780	1,854,882	382,898	20.6%
Frederick	195,277	92,286,809	472.59	0.00	0	0	0	
Garrett	29,846	7,041,339	235.92	114.14	3,406,711	3,010,417	396,294	13.2%
Harford	218,590	96,642,971	442.12	0.00	0	0	0	
Howard	247,842	168,737,203	680.83	0.00	0	0	0	
Kent	19,197	6,913,860	360.15	0.00	0	0	0	
Montgomery	873,341	634,293,537	726.28	0.00	0	0	0	
Prince George's	801,515	256,488,045	320.00	30.06	24,094,567	14,753,100	9,341,467	
Queen Anne's	40,563	18,316,858	451.57	0.00	0	0	0	
St. Mary's	86,211	30,546,877	354.33	0.00	0	0	0	
Somerset	24,747	4,010,611	162.06	188.00	4,652,456	4,288,581	363,875	8.5%
Talbot	33,812	19,284,968	570.36	0.00	0	0	0	
Washington	131,923	42,665,703	323.41	26.65	3,515,966	1,987,119	1,528,847	
Wicomico	84,644	25,408,607	300.18	49.88	4,222,322	3,203,426	1,018,896	31.8%
Worcester	46,543	18,403,402	395.41	0.00	0	0	0	
Total	5,296,486	\$2,392,407,894	\$451.70		\$138,607,447	\$115,179,884	\$23,427,563	20.3%

#### Exhibit 1 Calculating the FY 2003 Disparity Grant Under HB 236

Sources:

(1) Population: MD Center for Health Statistics, DHMH, July 1,2000 Population Estimates.

(2) Adjusted Income Tax Revenue: Derived from Summary Report of Maryland Individual Income Tax Returns filed for the tax year 2000.

Adjusted income tax revenue are amounts a county would collect from a 2.59% rate.

Target (77.5%) \$350.07

Prepared by: Department of Legislative Services, Office of Policy Analysis