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## FISCAL NOTE

Senate Bill 29
(Senator Hafer)
Budget and Taxation

## Sales and Use Tax - Annual Back-to-School Tax-Free Week

This bill designates one week in August annually during which the sales and use tax would not apply to the sale of school supplies or clothing or footwear (excluding accessories) if the taxable price of the individual items is less than $\$ 100$.

The bill takes effect July 1, 2002.

## Fiscal Summary

State Effect: State sales tax revenues (general funds) could decline by $\$ 9.3$ million in FY 2003. Future year revenue losses reflect projected increases in sales tax collections. General fund expenditures by the Comptroller's Office to administer the program would increase by approximately $\$ 88,500$ in FY 2003, increasing by approximately $1 \%$ per year thereafter.

| (in dollars) | FY 2003 | FY 2004 | FY 2005 | FY 2006 | FY 2007 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| GF Revenue | $(\$ 9,300,000)$ | $(\$ 9,800,000)$ | $(\$ 10,300,000)$ | $(\$ 10,900,000)$ | $(\$ 11,400,000)$ |
| GF Expenditure | 88,500 | 89,500 | 90,400 | 91,400 | 92,400 |
| Net Effect | $(\$ 9,388,500)$ | $(\$ 9,889,500)$ | $(\$ 10,390,400)$ | $(\$ 10,991,400)$ | $(\$ 11,492,400)$ |

Local Effect: None.
Small Business Effect: Potential meaningful impact from increased sales (offset by administrative costs) for small businesses selling apparel and/or school supplies.

## Analysis

Bill Summary: The exemption period would apply beginning on the second Friday in August and ending on the following Thursday each year. School supplies are defined to include items purchased for use in the classroom, for schoolwork completed in school, or for any school activity. Electronic devices are excluded from the exemption.

Current Law: Current law does not provide for any sales tax holiday or tax-free week. Chapter 576 of 2000, which created a tax-free week for clothing and footwear, applied only to a specified week in 2001.

Background: Chapter 576 of 2000 exempted from the sales and use tax the sale of clothing or footwear (except accessories) for the week of August 10 through August 16, 2001, if the taxable price of the item of clothing or footwear was less than $\$ 100$. The Comptroller's Office estimates that the tax-free week resulted in lost sales tax revenue of $\$ 5.1$ million. This estimate is based on regression analysis of historical sales tax collection trends in the categories of vendors (apparel stores, department stores, etc.) that sell a large share of the exempted clothing and footwear. The Comptroller's Office cannot provide a more precise estimate because the agency does not collect sales tax data by the type of good sold, nor does it collect the total value of taxable transactions for those vendors who file returns electronically.

In its Assessment of Maryland's Tax-free Week, the Comptroller's Office reports that sales tax collections from the categories of vendors most likely to sell exempted items declined by $5.2 \%$ for the month including the tax-free week, versus the same month in 2000. The agency estimates that the majority of this decline is associated with the exemption, rather than nationwide or other economic factors. The agency estimates that total apparel sales likely increased by $2.6 \%$ during the period. The agency estimates that most of any increase in sales of non-exempted goods would have occurred in any event, and hence that fiscal impact is minimal. Finally, any impact on income tax revenues from the tax-free week is difficult to estimate but expected to be minimal.

Several other states have recently initiated one-time or ongoing sales tax holidays.

| State | Days | Items Included | Maximum Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New York (1) | See (1) | clothing | \$500 / \$110 |
| Florida | 9 | clothing/accessories | \$100 |
| Texas | 3 | clothing/footwear | \$100 |
| Connecticut | 7 | clothing/footwear | \$300 |
| South Carolina | 3 | clothing, computers, supplies | N/A |
| Pennsylvania | 14 | computers | N/A |
| Iowa | 2 | clothing/footwear | \$100 |
| District of Columbia | 10 | clothing/footwear, school supplies | \$101 |

(1) New York had two sales tax holidays; one was for clothing only, while the other was for both clothing and footwear. New York's holiday has since become a permanent exemption for items priced under $\$ 110$.

State Revenues: Sales tax revenue losses under the bill derive from two sources: school supplies and clothing/footwear.

It is estimated that sales tax revenues would decline by approximately $\$ 5.2$ million in fiscal 2003 due to the exemption for clothing and footwear. This estimate is based on the $\$ 5.1$ million estimate of sales tax losses from the prior tax-free week, adjusted for $2.6 \%$ growth in the consumer portion of sales tax projections.

It is estimated that sales tax revenues would decline by approximately $\$ 4.3$ million in fiscal 2003 due to the exemption for school supplies. The exemption is drafted broadly and could include almost any non-electronic device sold from an office supply store for under $\$ 100$ (because it would be almost impossible to determine whether the product was purchased "for use in the classroom, for schoolwork completed in school, or for any school activity.") Examining the sales of the categories of Maryland vendors that sell items that could be exempt under the "school supply" exemption results produces an estimate of $\$ 4.1$ million based on assumptions regarding the amount of sales that is diverted from taxable weeks to the tax-free week and the percentage of sales of the vendors that would be subject to the exemption.

In total, it is assumed that State sales tax revenues would decline by $\$ 9.3$ million as a result of the exemption. Future year revenue losses are assumed to grow at
approximately $5.3 \%$, the rate of future growth of the consumer portion of sales tax collections.

State Expenditures: The Comptroller's Office would incur approximately $\$ 88,500$ in administrative expenses to implement the tax-free week. This estimate is based on the approximately $\$ 100,000$ that the agency incurred implementing the prior tax-free week, less certain computer programming that can be reused from the prior initiative. Future year expenditures are forecasted to grow at approximately $1 \%$ per year. In addition, the agency advises that the substantial amount of work involved in the first tax-free week diverted some staff from audit activities. For this bill the agency advises that staff diversion may result in up to $\$ 100,000$ in lost tax revenues from the loss of one-half of an auditor's time.

Small Business Effect: According to the 1998 Survey of U.S. Business by the U.S. Census Department, $91.7 \%$ of the retail firms in Maryland had less than 50 employees. This bill could cause a net increase in sales for small businesses to the extent that sales would be made in Maryland during the period that would otherwise have been made out-of-state, through the Internet, or by mail order. Small businesses located in shopping malls or other areas with a number of stores in close proximity may experience increased sales for nonapparel and/or non-school supply items because of increased foot traffic due to the tax-free week. On the other hand, compliance costs for small businesses could increase, if changes to cash register programming and accounting systems are required. The net effect would vary from business to business, but it is likely to be positive.

## Additional Information

Prior Introductions: None. See discussion above of prior tax-free weeks.
Cross File: None. HB 76 (Delegate Cryor) is a substantially similar bill.
Information Source(s): Comptroller's Office, Department of Legislative Services
Fiscal Note History: First Reader - January 31, 2002
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