HOUSE BILL 306

Unofficial Copy HB 480/02 - CGM 2003 Regular Session 3lr1550 CF 3lr1549

By: Delegate McIntosh
Introduced and read first time: January 31, 2003
Assigned to: Health and Government Operations

30 construction project delivery; and

	A BILL ENTITLED
1	AN ACT concerning
2 3	Procurement - Construction Contracts - Maryland Construction Quality Assurance Act
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	scope of this Act; defining certain terms; requiring the adoption of certain regulations; establishing that this Act is to be known as the Maryland Construction Quality Assurance Act; and generally relating to the Maryland
15 16 17 18 19	Section 13-102 Annotated Code of Maryland
20 21 22 23 24 25	Section 13-501 through 13-510, inclusive, to be under the new subtitle "Subtitle 5. Maryland Construction Quality Assurance Act" Annotated Code of Maryland
26	Preamble
	WHEREAS, The purpose of the Construction Quality Assurance Act is to authorize competitive best value contracting for certain public construction contracts in order to provide State procurement units with an effective policy for improving

34

36

37

35 subtitle;

(1)

(2)

(3)

2	HOUSE BILL 306
3	WHEREAS, Extensive experience with this procurement method by federal government agencies, the Maryland Stadium Authority, and local contracting agencies demonstrates that competitive best value contracting provides substantial benefits for State agencies, the contracting community, and taxpayers; and
7	WHEREAS, By permitting State contracting agencies to consider and evaluate important performance and qualification factors, best value contracting enables procurement units to improve quality and cost-efficiency and obtain better overall value in State construction contracts; and
11 12	WHEREAS, Evaluation of key qualification factors, including past performance, management capabilities and project staffing, can assist contracting agencies in achieving the most advantageous results for the State, while promoting greater accountability among the contractors and subcontractors who benefit from public works projects; and
16	WHEREAS, Competitive best value contracting gives procurement units an effective tool for promoting the use of minority-owned businesses, woman-owned businesses and small businesses in Maryland, important public policy goals established by Title 14, Subtitle 3 of the State Finance and Procurement Article; and
20	WHEREAS, Use of the competitive best value method encourages construction firms to maintain high performance operations by promoting investments in areas such as apprenticeship training, quality control, and safety, and such investments benefit State projects and the contracting community; and
24 25	WHEREAS, Future public works contracts for the State will be used to renovate and expand Maryland's infrastructure system and procurement units responsible for administering these vital contracts must have access to the most effective procurement methods available to obtain the best results for the State; now, therefore,
27 28	SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
29	Article - State Finance and Procurement
30	13-102.
	(a) Except as provided in [Subtitle 3 and Subtitle 4] SUBTITLES 3, 4, AND 5 of this title, all procurement by units shall be by competitive sealed bids unless one of the following methods specifically is authorized:

competitive sealed proposals under § 13-104 or § 13-105 of this

noncompetitive negotiation under § 13-106 of this subtitle;

sole source procurement under § 13-107 of this subtitle;

1	[(4)	emergency or expedited procurement under § 13-108 of this subtitle;
2	2	(5)	small procurement under § 13-109 of this subtitle;
3	3 14 13-110 of th	(6) is subtitle	an intergovernmental cooperative purchasing agreement under § e;
5	5	(7)	auction bids under § 13-111 of this subtitle; or
6	5	(8)	an unsolicited proposal under § 13-107.1 of this subtitle.
	(-)		In awarding a procurement contract for human, social, cultural, or he preferred method is by competitive sealed proposals under § e.
1		(2) ethod is l	In awarding a procurement contract for a lease of real property, the by competitive sealed proposals under § 13-105 of this subtitle.
1	3 agreement i 4 price as the	result of	Procurement under an intergovernmental cooperative purchasing riate in situations where the State is expected to achieve a better economies of scale or to otherwise benefit by purchasing in other governmental entity.
1	6		SUBTITLE 5. MARYLAND CONSTRUCTION QUALITY ASSURANCE ACT.
1	7 13-501.		
1	8 (A) 9 INDICATE		S SUBTITLE THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS
2 2	0 (B) 1 PROCURE		PETITIVE BEST VALUE CONTRACTING" MEANS A METHOD OF THAT:
2	2 3 AND	(1)	UTILIZES THE SOLICITATION OF COMPETITIVE SEALED PROPOSALS;
	5 PROPOSAL		REQUIRES THE EVALUATION OF PRICE PROPOSALS AND TECHNICAL IAKE CONTRACT AWARDS THAT REPRESENT THE BEST VALUE TO INT UNIT AND THE STATE.
	8 CONSTRU	CTION (AGEMENT PLAN" MEANS A PLAN FOR MANAGING THE CONTRACT WHICH DEMONSTRATES THE OFFEROR'S TECHNICAL AND COMPETENCY FOR THE PROJECT AND INCLUDES:
3	0	(1)	KEY MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL PROPOSED FOR THE PROJECT;
3	1	(2)	PROPOSED PROJECT SCHEDULE;
3	2	(3)	QUALITY CONTROL PROGRAMS; AND
3	3	(4)	SAFETY PROGRAMS.

HOUSE BILL 306

- 1 (D) "MBE" MEANS A MINORITY BUSINESS ENTERPRISE CERTIFIED BY THE 2 STATE UNDER TITLE 14, SUBTITLE 3 OF THIS ARTICLE.
- 3 (E) "PAST PERFORMANCE" MEANS INFORMATION AND DATA ON A
- 4 CONTRACTOR OR SUBCONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE ON CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS
- 5 SIMILAR IN SIZE AND SCOPE TO THE PROCUREMENT CONTRACT FOR THE PAST 3
- 6 YEARS AND INCLUDES THE DEGREE TO WHICH THE CONTRACTOR OR
- 7 SUBCONTRACTOR:
- 8 (1) COMPLETED PROJECTS SAFELY, ON TIME AND ON BUDGET;
- 9 (2) COMPLIED WITH PROJECT PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS;
- 10 (3) FULFILLED CONTRACTING GOALS ESTABLISHED BY TITLE 14, 11 SUBTITLE 3 OF THIS ARTICLE; AND
- 12 (4) COMPLIED WITH APPLICABLE LAWS AND REGULATIONS.
- 13 (F) "PROJECT STAFFING PLAN" MEANS A PLAN DEMONSTRATING A
- 14 CONTRACTOR'S OR SUBCONTRACTOR'S CAPABILITY TO RECRUIT AND RETAIN
- 15 SUFFICIENT NUMBERS OF QUALIFIED CONSTRUCTION CRAFT PERSONNEL FOR THE
- 16 PROCUREMENT PROJECT AND SHALL INCLUDE:
- 17 (1) SOURCES TO BE USED BY THE CONTRACTOR OR SUBCONTRACTOR 18 FOR OBTAINING CRAFT PERSONNEL;
- 19 (2) TYPES OF TRAINING PROVIDED BY THE CONTRACTOR OR 20 SUBCONTRACTOR;
- 21 (3) IDENTIFICATION OF TRAINING PROVIDERS:
- 22 (4) THE DEGREE TO WHICH APPRENTICESHIP TRAINING PROGRAMS
- 23 REGISTERED WITH THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR OR STATE APPRENTICESHIP
- 24 COUNCIL ARE USED; AND
- 25 (5) THE AMOUNT OF TIME AND RESOURCES INVESTED IN
- 26 APPRENTICESHIP PROGRAMS AND OTHER CRAFT TRAINING PROGRAMS.
- 27 (G) "TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA" MEANS PAST PERFORMANCE,
- 28 MANAGEMENT PLAN, PROJECT STAFFING PLAN, PROPOSED PLAN FOR MEETING THE
- 29 CONTRACTING GOALS ESTABLISHED BY TITLE 14, SUBTITLE 3 OF THIS ARTICLE, OR
- 30 OTHER TECHNICAL CRITERIA LISTED IN THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS ISSUED
- 31 UNDER THIS SUBTITLE.
- 32 (H) "TECHNICAL PROPOSAL" MEANS A PROPOSAL CONTAINING INFORMATION
- 33 AND DATA REGARDING THE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA OF AN OFFEROR AND
- 34 THE OFFEROR'S PRELISTED SUBCONTRACTORS FOR THE PROCUREMENT CONTRACT.

- 1 13-502.
- 2 DUE TO THE INHERENT COMPLEXITIES AND UNIQUE DEMANDS OF
- 3 CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTING, INCLUDING THE NEED IN THE CONTRACTOR
- 4 SELECTION PROCESS TO CAREFULLY CONSIDER AND EVALUATE CERTAIN
- 5 PERFORMANCE AND QUALIFICATION FACTORS, IT IS THE POLICY OF THE STATE TO
- 6 UTILIZE COMPETITIVE BEST VALUE CONTRACTING FOR CONSTRUCTION
- 7 PROCUREMENT CONTRACTS TO THE GREATEST EXTENT POSSIBLE.
- 8 13-503.
- 9 A PRIMARY PROCUREMENT UNIT MAY UTILIZE THE COMPETITIVE BEST VALUE
- 10 CONTRACTING METHOD FOR CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS VALUED AT \$2,500,000 OR
- 11 MORE.
- 12 13-504.
- 13 (A) WHEN A PROCUREMENT IS BASED ON COMPETITIVE BEST VALUE
- 14 CONTRACTING, A PRIMARY PROCUREMENT UNIT SHALL SEEK COMPETITIVE SEALED
- 15 PROPOSALS BY ISSUING A REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS UNDER THIS SECTION.
- 16 (B) A REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL INCLUDE:
- 17 (1) THE DATE, TIME, AND PLACE FOR SUBMITTING THE PROPOSAL:
- 18 (2) A STATEMENT REQUIRING AN OFFEROR TO SUBMIT A SEPARATE
- 19 PRICE PROPOSAL AND TECHNICAL PROPOSAL ACCORDING TO THE FORMAT SET
- 20 FORTH IN THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS;
- 21 (3) THE PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS; AND
- 22 (4) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN § 13-505 OF THIS ARTICLE, THE FOLLOWING
- 23 PRICE AND TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA AND THEIR RESPECTIVE WEIGHTS
- 24 FOR EVALUATION PURPOSES:
- 25 (I) PRICE 70%;
- 26 (II) PAST PERFORMANCE 13%;
- 27 (III) MANAGEMENT PLAN 5%;
- 28 (IV) PROJECT STAFFING PLAN 5%; AND
- 29 (V) FULFILLMENT OF CONTRACTING GOALS ESTABLISHED BY
- 30 TITLE 14, SUBTITLE 3 OF THIS ARTICLE 7%.
- 31 (C) A PRIMARY PROCUREMENT UNIT SHALL GIVE PUBLIC NOTICE OF A
- 32 REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS UNDER THIS SECTION IN THE SAME MANNER AS
- 33 REQUIRED FOR AN INVITATION FOR COMPETITIVE SEALED BIDS UNDER § 13-103 OF
- 34 THIS ARTICLE.

- 1 13-505.
- 2 (A) THE PROCUREMENT OFFICER MAY DETERMINE, IN WRITING, THAT IT IS IN
- 3 THE INTEREST OF THE STATE TO INCLUDE ADDITIONAL TECHNICAL EVALUATION
- 4 CRITERIA OR ASSIGN WEIGHTS TO THE CRITERIA DIFFERENT THAN THOSE SET
- 5 FORTH IN § 13-504(B)(4) OF THIS SUBTITLE, SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING
- 6 REQUIREMENTS:
- $7\,$ (1) THE RELATIVE WEIGHT OF PRICE MAY NOT BE LESS THAN 50% OF 8 THE TOTAL WEIGHT;
- 9 (2) THE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA SET FORTH IN § 13-504(B)(4) 10 OF THIS SUBTITLE SHALL BE INCLUDED; AND
- 11 (3) ANY ADDITIONAL TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA SHALL BE
- 12 RELEVANT TO THE SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION OF THE CONTRACT OR OTHERWISE IN
- 13 THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE STATE.
- 14 (B) TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA AND RELATIVE WEIGHTS ASSIGNED
- 15 UNDER THIS SECTION MUST BE CLEARLY SET FORTH IN THE REQUEST FOR
- 16 PROPOSALS.
- 17 13-506.
- 18 (A) TECHNICAL PROPOSALS SUBMITTED UNDER THIS SUBTITLE MUST
- 19 INCLUDE THE QUALIFICATIONS AND CAPABILITIES OF THE OFFEROR AND ITS
- 20 PRELISTED SUBCONTRACTOR AND BE RESPONSIVE TO THE TECHNICAL EVALUATION
- 21 CRITERIA ESTABLISHED IN THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS.
- 22 (B) IN ADDITION TO ALL OTHER INFORMATION RESPONSIVE TO THE
- 23 REQUIREMENTS IDENTIFIED IN THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL, AN OFFEROR
- 24 SUBMITTING A PROPOSAL UNDER THIS SUBTITLE MUST INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING:
- 25 (1) A LIST OF ALL SUBCONTRACTORS PROPOSED FOR THE
- 26 PROCUREMENT CONTRACT WHOSE SUBCONTRACTS ARE VALUED AT \$500,000 OR
- 27 MORE;
- 28 (2) A LIST OF MBE FIRMS AND OTHER FIRMS THAT THE OFFEROR
- 29 PROPOSES FOR MEETING THE CONTRACTING GOALS ESTABLISHED BY TITLE 14,
- 30 SUBTITLE 3 OF THIS ARTICLE; AND
- 31 (3) AN IDENTIFICATION OF THE TYPE OF WORK TO BE PERFORMED BY
- 32 EACH PRELISTED SUBCONTRACTOR.
- 33 (C) AN OFFEROR MAY NOT PRELIST ALTERNATE SUBCONTRACTORS.
- 34 (D) AN OFFEROR MAY NOT SUBSTITUTE AN ALTERNATE SUBCONTRACTOR
- 35 FOR A PRELISTED SUBCONTRACTOR WITHOUT THE EXPRESS, WRITTEN
- 36 AUTHORIZATION OF THE PROCUREMENT OFFICER PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT
- 37 OF WORK.

- 1 13-507.
- 2 (A) PRICE PROPOSALS SHALL REMAINED SEALED UNTIL ALL TECHNICAL 3 PROPOSALS HAVE BEEN EVALUATED.
- 4 (B) (1) TECHNICAL PROPOSALS SHALL BE EVALUATED AND SCORED BY A
- 5 TECHNICAL EVALUATION TEAM CONSISTING OF AT LEAST THREE PERSONS
- 6 EXPERIENCED IN CONSTRUCTION PROCUREMENT.
- 7 (2) EACH TECHNICAL FACTOR SPECIFIED IN THE REOUEST FOR
- 8 PROPOSALS SHALL BE GIVEN A NUMERICAL SCORE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE
- 9 WEIGHT ASSIGNED TO IT IN THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS BASED ON THE
- 10 EVALUATION PROCESS CONDUCTED BY THE TECHNICAL EVALUATION TEAM.
- 11 (3) TECHNICAL EVALUATION SCORES SHALL BE BASED ON AN
- 12 EVALUATION OF THE OFFEROR AND ITS PRELISTED SUBCONTRACTORS BASED ON
- 13 RELEVANT INFORMATION AND DATA OBTAINED BY THE TECHNICAL EVALUATION
- 14 TEAM.
- 15 (4) IF AN OFFEROR OR A LISTED SUBCONTRACTOR IS A NEW BUSINESS
- 16 AND DOES NOT HAVE A PERFORMANCE RECORD SUFFICIENT TO EVALUATE ITS PAST
- 17 PERFORMANCE. THE TECHNICAL EVALUATION TEAM SHALL CONSIDER THE PAST
- 18 PERFORMANCE OF THE OFFEROR'S OR SUBCONTRACTOR'S OFFICERS, MANAGEMENT,
- 19 AND OWNERS OR PARTNERS.
- 20 (5) WHEN AN OFFEROR IS A JOINT VENTURE, THE TECHNICAL
- 21 EVALUATION TEAM SHALL CONSIDER THE QUALIFICATIONS OF ALL ENTITIES
- 22 INCLUDED IN THE JOINT VENTURE.
- 23 (6) A TOTAL TECHNICAL EVALUATION SCORE SHALL BE OBTAINED BY
- 24 ADDING THE SCORES ON ALL TECHNICAL EVALUATION FACTORS.
- 25 (C) ONCE A TOTAL TECHNICAL EVALUATION SCORE HAS BEEN DETERMINED,
- 26 PRICE PROPOSALS SHALL BE OPENED AND SCORED AS FOLLOWS:
- 27 (1) THE OFFEROR SUBMITTING THE LOWEST PRICE SHALL RECEIVE THE
- 28 MAXIMUM PRICE SCORE, ACCORDING TO THE PERCENTAGE VALUE STATED FOR
- 29 PRICE IN THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS; AND
- 30 (2) THE PRICE PROPOSED BY EACH SUCCESSIVE OFFEROR SHALL BE
- 31 SCORED RELATIVE TO THE LOWEST PRICE, ACCORDING TO THE FOLLOWING
- 32 FORMULA:
- 33 (I) THE LOWEST PRICE OFFERED SHALL BE DIVIDED BY THE NEXT
- 34 LOWEST PRICE;
- 35 (II) THE RESULTING FIGURE SHALL BE MULTIPLIED BY THE
- 36 PERCENTAGE VALUE FOR PRICE STATED IN THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS; AND

- 1 (III) THE PRICE SCORE OF EACH ADDITIONAL OFFEROR SHALL BE 2 CALCULATED IN THE SAME MANNER.
- 3 (D) THE PRICE SCORE AND FINAL TECHNICAL SCORE OF EACH PROPOSAL
- 4 SHALL BE COMBINED FOR A TOTAL SCORE.
- 5 (E) THE OFFEROR WITH THE HIGHEST TOTAL SCORE SHALL BE AWARDED
- 6 THE PROCUREMENT CONTRACT, PROVIDED ITS PROPOSAL IS RESPONSIVE TO THE
- 7 REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS AND SPECIFICATIONS AND IT IS DETERMINED TO BE A
- 8 RESPONSIBLE CONTRACTOR.
- 9 13-508.
- 10 (A) AN UNSUCCESSFUL OFFEROR SHALL RECEIVE, AT THE REQUEST OF THE
- 11 OFFEROR, A DEBRIEFING BY THE PROCUREMENT OFFICER WHO SHALL PROVIDE, AT
- 12 A MINIMUM:
- 13 (1) THE PRICE AND FINAL TECHNICAL SCORE OF THE SUCCESSFUL
- 14 OFFEROR:
- 15 (2) THE FINAL TECHNICAL SCORE OF THE OFFEROR REQUESTING THE
- 16 DEBRIEFING: AND
- 17 (3) THE OVERALL RANKING OF ALL OFFERORS, IF A RANKING WAS
- 18 DEVELOPED.
- 19 (B) THE DEBRIEFING BY THE PROCUREMENT OFFICER MAY NOT DISCLOSE
- 20 ANY INFORMATION PROHIBITED FROM DISCLOSURE BY LAW.
- 21 13-509.
- 22 (A) TO THE EXTENT THAT THE REGULATIONS SET FORTH IN COMAR 21.05.03
- 23 GOVERNING COMPETITIVE SEALED PROPOSALS ARE CONSISTENT WITH THIS
- 24 SUBTITLE, THESE REGULATIONS SHALL APPLY.
- 25 (B) THE BOARD MAY DEVELOP ADDITIONAL REGULATIONS NECESSARY TO
- 26 CARRY OUT THE PROVISIONS OF THIS SUBTITLE.
- 27 13-510.
- 28 THIS SUBTITLE MAY BE CITED AS THE "MARYLAND CONSTRUCTION QUALITY
- 29 ASSURANCE ACT".
- 30 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take
- 31 effect October 1, 2003.