

Department of Legislative Services

Maryland General Assembly

2003 Session

FISCAL AND POLICY NOTE

House Bill 1145

(Delegate O'Donnell)

Judiciary

Child Custody and Visitation - Child Abduction

This bill expands the crime of child abduction by prohibiting a relative who knows that another individual has lawful visitation rights with a child under the age of 16 from harboring or hiding the child with the intent of keeping the whereabouts of the child unknown to the individual with lawful visitation rights. A relative is also prohibited from acting as an accessory to the harboring or hiding of a child.

Notification of the whereabouts of a child to the individual with lawful visitation rights within 30 days is a complete defense to any action brought for child abduction. Current penalty provisions relating to child abduction and removing a child from the State apply.

Fiscal Summary

State Effect: Potential minimal increase in general fund revenues and expenditures due to the bill's penalty provisions.

Local Effect: Potential minimal increase in revenues and expenditures due to the bill's penalty provisions.

Small Business Effect: None.

Analysis

Bill Summary: The bill applies to both custodial and noncustodial parents, grandparents or other ancestors, siblings, aunts, uncles, and anyone who was a lawful custodian prior to violating a custody order. A relative who hides a child to prevent court-ordered

visitation is subject to the same penalties as a relative who fails to return a child to the custodial parent following a visit.

Current Law: Current prohibitions apply only to a parent or other relative who abducts or does not return a child to the person with legal custody.

A person who harbors a child inside the State in violation of a lawful custody order for longer than 48 hours is guilty of a misdemeanor, subject to a fine not to exceed \$250 or imprisonment not exceeding 30 days. If an abducted child is taken outside the State for not longer than 30 days, the act is a felony, subject to the same penalties. Taking the child outside the State for longer than 30 days is a felony, with maximum penalties of a \$1,000 fine, one year in prison, or both.

Background: Abduction of a child by a parent or other relative was traditionally considered a family rather than a criminal matter. A parent who abducted or hid a child in violation of a lawful custody order could be cited for contempt of court, but any penalties imposed were usually not severe.

In the 1960s and 1970s, a rapidly-increasing divorce rate led to a correspondingly higher number of children who were subject to custody orders. This in turn led to an increasing number of parental abductions, or “custodial interference” cases. The federal Parental Kidnapping Prevention Act was enacted in 1980 to help custodial parents whose children had been taken across state lines regain custody of those children.

The majority of states follow the approach taken in this bill: penalties apply when either parent, or another covered relative, hides a child, whether or not that person has lawful custody.

State Revenues: General fund revenues could increase minimally as a result of the bill’s monetary penalty provisions from cases heard in the District Court. The bill is not expected to result in a significant number of additional convictions.

State Expenditures: General fund expenditures could increase minimally due to more people being committed to Division of Correction (DOC) facilities and increased payments to counties for reimbursement of inmate costs.

Persons serving a sentence of one year or less in a jurisdiction other than Baltimore City are sentenced to a local detention facility. The State reimburses counties for part of their incarceration costs, on a per diem basis, after a person has served 90 days. State per diem reimbursements for fiscal 2004 are estimated to range from \$14 to \$59 per inmate, depending upon the jurisdiction. Persons sentenced to such a term in Baltimore City are

generally incarcerated in a DOC facility. Currently, the DOC average total cost per inmate, including overhead, is estimated at \$1,850 per month. The average cost of housing a new DOC inmate, excluding overhead (food, medical care, etc.) is \$350 per month.

Local Revenues: The bill is not expected to materially increase court caseloads. Revenues could increase minimally under the bill's monetary penalty provisions from cases heard in the circuit courts.

Local Expenditures: Expenditures could increase minimally due to more people being committed to local detention facilities. Counties pay the full cost of incarceration for the first 90 days of the sentence, plus part of the per diem cost after 90 days. Per diem operating costs of local detention facilities are expected to range from \$28 to \$84 per inmate in fiscal 2004.

Additional Information

Prior Introductions: HB 633 of 1999, HB 941 of 1998, and HB 1025 of 1997 each received an unfavorable report from the House Judiciary Committee.

Cross File: None.

Information Source(s): Judiciary (Administrative Office of the Courts), Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services, Department of Legislative Services

Fiscal Note History: First Reader - March 14, 2003
ncs/cer

Analysis by: Rita A. Reimer

Direct Inquiries to:
(410) 946-5510
(301) 970-5510