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House Bill 848
Environmental Matters

## Transportation - School Vehicles - Safety Standards

This bill requires a person who transports a child under the age of 18 years to or from school, a school-related activity, a child care center, or a civic, educational, athletic, social, or recreational activity, including day or summer camp, to use a vehicle that conforms to federal school bus safety standards under 49 U.S.C. § 30125(b) and applicable federal regulations. The bill provides for certain exceptions. Nonconforming vehicles can be used until October 1, 2008.

## Fiscal Summary

State Effect: State education and transportation agencies could comply with the bill's requirements with existing resources.

Local Effect: Potential minimal increase in local school expenditures for student transportation. Revenues would not be affected.

Small Business Effect: Potential meaningful. While the bill provides certain exceptions for day care providers and other small businesses that transport children under age 18, any businesses not exempted would face higher costs to replace nonconforming vehicles. A Type I school bus can cost in the range of $\$ 52,500$ to $\$ 75,000$, depending upon the specifications. A Type II school vehicle can cost approximately $\$ 35,000$ to $\$ 40,000$.

## Analysis

Bill Summary: A person who transports a child under the age of 18 years to or from school, a school-related activity, a child care center, or a civic, educational, athletic,
social, or recreational activity, including a day or summer camp, shall transport the children in a vehicle that conforms to federal school bus safety standards under 49 U.S.C. $\S 30125(\mathrm{~b})$ and applicable federal regulations.

The bill exempts the following from the conforming requirement: (1) a common carrier that is not primarily engaged in the transporting of children under the age of 18 years from transporting a child under the age of 18 years in a vehicle designed to carry at least 28 passengers; (2) a privately-owned vehicle while it is carrying members of the vehicle owner's household and not operated for compensation; (3) a licensed taxicab under contract with a local board of education; (4) a privately-owned vehicle of a registered family child care provider; or (5) a transportation service that uses a vehicle that does not conform to 49 U.S.C. § $30125(\mathrm{~b})$ and applicable federal regulations under a private contract with a parent or guardian of a child or a person who supervises a child under an out-of-home placement program.

If a vehicle that does not comply with this bill was used to transport a child under the age of 18 years to or from school, a school-related activity, a child care center, or a civic, educational, social, or recreational activity, including a day or summer camp, before October 1, 2003, the vehicle may be used to transport a child under the age of 18 years until October 1, 2008. Beginning October 1, 2008, a vehicle that does not comply with this bill can be used to transport children if the vehicle is used to transport no more than ten persons, including the driver.

The bill requires the regulations adopted by the Motor Vehicle Administration (MVA) for the safe operation of school vehicles to include safety standards for school buses and to comply with federal school bus safety standards under 49 U.S.C. § 30125(b) and applicable federal regulations.

Current Law: State law requires the MVA, with the advice of the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), to adopt and enforce rules and regulations not inconsistent with the Maryland Vehicle Law to govern the safe operation of all school vehicles. The following are subject to the rules and regulations adopted under this section: (1) every school or school district and its officers and employees; (2) every person employed under contract by a school or school district; and (3) every person who owns or operates a school vehicle.

Under 49 U.S.C. § 30125(b), the federal Secretary of Transportation shall prescribe motor vehicle safety standards for school buses and school bus equipment manufactured in, or imported into, the United States. Standards shall include minimum performance requirements for:

- emergency exits;
- $\quad$ interior protection for occupants;
- floor strength;
- $\quad$ seating systems;
- $\quad$ crashworthiness of body and frame (including protection against rollover hazards);
- $\quad$ vehicle operating systems;
- $\quad$ windows and windshields; and
- fuel systems.

COMAR requires a Type I or Type II school vehicle to be used to transport students to and from school and school-related activities when school vehicle transportation services are provided. A nonconforming vehicle can be used to transport students to and from school and school-related activities upon obtaining special written approval from the State Superintendent of Schools.

State law defines "school vehicle" as any motor vehicle that: (1) is used regularly for the exclusive transportation of children, students, or teachers for educational purposes or in connection with a school activity; and (2) is either a Type I school vehicle or a Type II school vehicle.
"School vehicle" does not include: (1) a privately-owned vehicle while it is carrying members of its owner's household and not operated for compensation; or (2) a vehicle that is registered as a Class M (multipurpose) vehicle or a Class A (passenger) vehicle and used to transport children between one or more schools or licensed child care centers or to and from designated areas that are approved by the MVA if: (i) the vehicle is designed for carrying 15 persons or less, including the driver; (ii) the children are allowed to embark or exit the vehicle only at a school or child care center or a designated area approved by the MVA; (iii) the owner has obtained vehicle liability insurance or other security as required by law; and (iv) the vehicle is equipped with proper seat belts or safety seats so as to allow each child to be secured in a seat belt or a safety seat as required by law.

Background: School buses that conform to federal safety standards are the safest way to transport children. According to the Transportation Research Board of the National Academy of Sciences (NAS), approximately 800 school-aged children are killed each
year as passengers in other motor vehicles, or walking or riding bicycles during normal school transportation hours. According to NAS, most of these deaths could have been prevented if the children rode in school buses. Between 1990 and 2000, on average just six children each year died as school bus passengers. Children are 100 times more likely to be killed in a passenger car than in a school bus. According to the School Bus Information Council, the death rate per 100,000 passenger miles totals 0.94 for passenger cars and 0.01 for school buses.

A primary reason for the excellent safety record of school buses is that they are equipped with more safety equipment than any other vehicle on the road. The enhanced safety equipment is due to federal regulations. One of these required safety features not provided on nonconforming vans is reinforcement and roll-over protection standards which specify the minimum structural strength of buses in rollover-type accidents. This standard reduces the likelihood of a roof collapse and allows for operable emergency exits even after the roof is subject to extreme forces.

Nonconforming vans do not meet federal safety standards for school buses. These vans offer little resistance to side impacts or other accidents. According to State student transportation officials, nonconforming vans were originally intended for hauling cargo and only meet cargo and truck standards. In addition 15-passenger vans offer less protection than the smaller minivans which must meet passenger vehicle construction standards.

The National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) conducted a special investigation as a result of four fatal accidents in 1998 and 1999 involving nonconforming vehicles used to transport school children. These accidents resulted in 9 people dying and 36 people sustaining serious and minor injuries. Most of the victims, including eight fatalities, were children. NTSB found that some school districts, day care centers, Head Start facilities, and contract transportation companies are using vehicles for transportation that meet the federal definition for "bus" but do not meet the federal occupant crash protection standards of school vehicles. As a result of the report, NTSB recommended that states require all vehicles carrying more than ten passengers and transporting children to and from school and school-related activities, including Head Start programs and day care centers, to meet the school bus structural standards related to equipment such as emergency exits, windshields, and fuel systems.

Currently Pennsylvania, Delaware, West Virginia, and South Carolina have regulations prohibiting the use of nonconforming vans. Approximately one-half of the states have enacted legislation or are in the process of prohibiting the use of 10 - to 15 -passenger vans to transport children.

Federal law prohibits dealers from selling or leasing a new or used vehicle with a capacity of more than ten persons for transporting students to and from a school or school-related activity, unless the vehicle complies with applicable federal motor vehicle safety standards for school buses. Vans do not meet these standards. Penalties apply to the dealer in the event that a 10 - to $15-$ passenger van is sold or leased for the use of transporting children. The penalty is not assessed on the operator of the vehicle. Consequently, once a van is acquired, the user has no legal consequence for its use. The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration has stepped up enforcement efforts resulting in fines and other sanctions to dealers. One of the dealerships fined was located in Maryland.

## Student Transportation Services in Maryland

During the 1999-2000 school year, approximately 6,600 school buses were used to transport public school students. Local school systems operated $54 \%$ of the school buses and private contractors operated $46 \%$. Sixteen local school systems used a combination of publicly-owned and contract buses, six used only contract buses, and two used only publicly-owned buses. School systems that primarily use publicly-owned buses to transport students are Baltimore, Frederick, Montgomery, Prince George's, and Talbot counties. School systems that do not use publicly-owned buses are Calvert, Howard, Kent, Somerset, Wicomico, and Worcester counties. Local school systems spent \$331.5 million on student transportation in fiscal 2000, or $\$ 541$ per student transported. A Type I conventional school bus costs in the range of $\$ 52,500$ to $\$ 75,000$, depending upon the specifications.

Local Fiscal Effect: Except upon obtaining written approval from the State Superintendent of Schools, local school systems must use school vehicles that meet federal safety standards when transporting students. The use of nonconforming vehicles is not prevalent among local school systems in Maryland; most local school systems use only school buses that comply with federal safety standards to transport students. However, four local school systems currently use nonconforming vehicles to transport students in limited circumstances as shown in the following table. MSDE has not been notified of any other local school system utilizing nonconforming vehicles.

| County | Vehicle Type | Reason |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allegany | 1 Jeep Cherokee | Transport students to school bus stops that are not accessible to conventional school buses. |
| Charles | S | Transport students to school-related activities. |
| Somerset | 1 15-passenger va | Transport students on Smith Island. The van is not utilized on the mainland. |
| Worcester | 4 nine-passenger van | Transport students to athletic events, community service, and work-study. One van is provided to each of the three high schools in the county and the technology center. |
| Since local school systems do not use nonconforming vehicles as the primary mode of transporting public school children, existing school vehicles or a greater utilization of private school bus operators could be used to comply with the requirements in the legislation. Local school systems would not have to purchase additional conventional school buses. Furthermore, it is assumed that the local school systems affected by this legislation could phase out the use of nonconforming vehicles over a five-year period with minimal costs. |  |  |
| Additional Comments: The purpose of revising the fiscal note is to provide additional information on the cost of purchasing a Type I and Type II school vehicle. Type I school vehicles are a conventional style school bus that has a gross vehicle weight of more than 15,000 pounds. Type II school vehicles are a van-conversion style school bus that has a gross vehicle weight of 15,000 pounds or less. Local school systems use primarily Type I school vehicles to transport children. |  |  |
| The cost to purchase a Type I conventional school bus in Montgomery County is \$68,000 for a 48 -passenger bus with wheelchair lift and $\$ 75,000$ for a 72 -passenger transit style rear engine bus. In Prince George's County, the cost is $\$ 56,000$ for a 64 -passenger bus, $\$ 60,600$ for a 34 -passenger bus with special seating for prekindergarten children, and $\$ 68,200$ for a 21 -passenger bus with wheelchair lift. Prince George's County does not purchase Type II school vehicles. In Baltimore County, the cost is $\$ 52,500$ for a $64-$ passenger bus, and $\$ 58,000$ for a 48 -passenger bus with wheelchair lift. Baltimore County does not purchase Type II school vehicles. |  |  |

The cost to purchase a Type II school vehicle ranges from $\$ 35,000$ to $\$ 40,000$. This estimate includes a vehicle with air conditioning and a diesel engine. The cost of a Type II school vehicle without air conditioning and with a gasoline engine ranges from $\$ 30,000$ to $\$ 35,000$.

## Additional Information

Prior Introductions: A similar bill was introduced at the 2002 session as HB 872. The bill received an unfavorable report by the House Commerce and Government Matters Committee.

Cross File: None.
Information Source(s): Maryland State Department of Education, Department of Transportation, National Transportation Safety Board, School Bus Information Council, National Academy of Sciences, Department of Legislative Services
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