Unofficial Copy E4

2004 Regular Session 4lr2020

By: Delegates Cadden, Barkley, Bates, Bohanan, Branch, Conway, Niemann, and Paige Introduced and read first time: February 11, 2004 Assigned to: Judiciary					
Committee Report: Favorable with amendments House action: Adopted Read second time: March 23, 2004					
CHAPTER					
1 AN ACT concerning					
2 Maryland Commission on Correctional Standards - Sanction	ıs				
3 FOR the purpose of requiring the Maryland Commission on Correctional Standards to					
4 send a letter of reprimand compliance plan if the Commission determines that a					
5 correctional facility is in violation of certain minimum mandatory standards;					
specifying the contents of the letter <u>plan</u> ; requiring the Commission to assess a					
7 monetary fine against a correctional facility under certain circumstances;					
8 requiring the Commission to consider certain factors when determining the					
9 amount of the fine; providing that the amount of the fine shall increase for each					
10 week, up to a certain number of weeks, if a correctional facility fails to meet the 11 minimum mandatory standards reinspect a correctional facility and send a					
11 minimum mandatory standards reinspect a correctional facility and send a 12 letter of reprimand if the Commission determines that the correctional facility is					

- 14 <u>letter of reprimand</u>; requiring the Commission to conduct a full standards and 15 performance audit of a correctional facility under certain circumstances;
- requiring the Commission to examine certain issues when conducting the audit;

<u>letter of reprimand if the Commission determines that the correctional facility is</u> in violation of the minimum mandatory standards; specifying the contents of the

- 17 requiring that a correctional facility reimburse the Commission for certain
- 18 expenses incurred during an audit; requiring the Commission to send a certain
- letter and conduct an unannounced inspection following the completion of an
- audit; requiring the Commission to seek a court order against a correctional
- 21 facility or close all or part of a correctional facility under certain circumstances;
- and generally relating to the sanctions that the Maryland Commission on
- 23 Correctional Standards can impose on a correctional facility.
- 24 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 25 Article Correctional Services
- 26 Section 8-114

13

1

Annotated Code of Maryland

2	(1999 Volume and 2003 Supplement)
3	SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
5	Article - Correctional Services
6	8-114.
9	(A) (1) IF THE COMMISSION DETERMINES THAT A CORRECTIONAL FACILITY IS IN VIOLATION OF THE MINIMUM MANDATORY STANDARDS, THE COMMISSION SHALL SEND A LETTER OF REPRIMAND COMPLIANCE PLAN TO THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY.
11	(2) THE LETTER OF REPRIMAND COMPLIANCE PLAN SHALL STATE:
12 13	(I) WHICH MINIMUM MANDATORY STANDARDS THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY HAS VIOLATED;
14 15	(II) THE TIME, TO BE DETERMINED BY THE COMMISSION, THAT THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY HAS TO ADDRESS THE VIOLATIONS; AND
	(III) THE DATE THAT THE COMMISSION SHALL REINSPECT THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY TO DETERMINE IF THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY HAS COMPLIED WITH THE MINIMUM MANDATORY STANDARDS.
	(3) THE COMMISSION SHALL SEND A COPY OF THE LETTER OF REPRIMAND COMPLIANCE PLAN TO THE EXECUTIVE AND LEGISLATIVE BODY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY.
24 25	(B) (1) IF, AFTER SENDING A LETTER OF REPRIMAND COMPLIANCE PLAN AND REINSPECTING A CORRECTIONAL FACILITY UNDER SUBSECTION (A) OF THIS SECTION, THE COMMISSION DETERMINES THAT THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY IS IN VIOLATION OF THE MINIMUM MANDATORY STANDARDS, THE COMMISSION SHALL ASSESS A MONETARY FINE AGAINST THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY.
27 28	(2) (I) THE AMOUNT OF THE FINE SHALL BE DETERMINED BY THE COMMISSION.
29 30	(II) WHEN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT OF THE FINE, THE COMMISSION SHALL CONSIDER:
31	1. THE SEVERITY OF THE VIOLATION;
32	2. THE SIZE AND BUDGET OF THE FACILITY; AND
33 34	3. WHETHER THE FACILITY HAS VIOLATED THE SAME MINIMUM MANDATORY STANDARDS WITHIN THE LAST 5 YEARS.

## HOUSE BILL 971

	UP TO A MAXIMUI THE MINIMUM MA		THE AMOUNT OF THE FINE SHALL INCREASE FOR EACH WEEK, VEEKS, THAT THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY FAILS TO MEET RY STANDARDS.
4 5	( <del>3)</del> GENERAL OPERAT		NES COLLECTED BY THE COMMISSION SHALL REVERT TO THE ND OF THE STATE.
		, THE CO	ORRECTIONAL FACILITY FAILS TO PAY A FINE ASSESSED BY OMMISSION SHALL PETITION A CIRCUIT COURT WITH EDING TO COMPEL COMPLIANCE.
11	IMPOSES A FINE O	LE FOR OR A CO	OMMISSION SHALL NOTIFY THE EXECUTIVE AND LEGISLATIVE THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY WHEN THE COMMISSION RRECTIONAL FACILITY FAILS TO PAY A FINE. SEND A TO THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY.
13	<u>(2)</u>	THE LE	ETTER OF REPRIMAND SHALL STATE:
14 15	HAS VIOLATED;	<u>(I)</u>	WHICH MINIMUM STANDARDS THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY
	TO EXCEED 60 DA		THE TIME, TO BE DETERMINED BY THE COMMISSION BUT NOT AT THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY HAS TO ADDRESS THE
			THE DATE THAT THE COMMISSION WILL REINSPECT THE Y TO DETERMINE IF THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY HAS NIMUM MANDATORY STANDARDS.
20 21 22 23	COMPLIED WITH (3)	FACILITY THE MIN THE CO	Y TO DETERMINE IF THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY HAS NIMUM MANDATORY STANDARDS.  DIMMISSION SHALL SEND A COPY OF THE LETTER OF CUTIVE AND LEGISLATIVE BODY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	(3) REPRIMAND TO T CORRECTIONAL F  (C) (1) AGAINST SENT A SUBSECTION (B) C COMMISSION DET THE MINIMUM MA	FACILITY THE MIN THE CO HE EXECT FACILITY IF, AFT LETTER OF THIS FERMINI ANDATO	Y TO DETERMINE IF THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY HAS NIMUM MANDATORY STANDARDS.  DIMMISSION SHALL SEND A COPY OF THE LETTER OF CUTIVE AND LEGISLATIVE BODY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	(3) REPRIMAND TO T CORRECTIONAL F  (C) (1) AGAINST SENT A SUBSECTION (B) C COMMISSION DET THE MINIMUM M. STANDARDS AND	THE COUNTY THE MINITHE MINITHE EXEMINITE THE	Y TO DETERMINE IF THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY HAS NIMUM MANDATORY STANDARDS.  DMMISSION SHALL SEND A COPY OF THE LETTER OF CUTIVE AND LEGISLATIVE BODY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE Y.  ER THE COMMISSION HAS ASSESSED 4 WEEKS OF FINES OF REPRIMAND TO A CORRECTIONAL FACILITY UNDER SECTION AND REINSPECTED THE FACILITY, THE ES THAT THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY IS IN VIOLATION OF DRY STANDARDS, THE COMMISSION SHALL CONDUCT A FULL
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	(3) REPRIMAND TO T CORRECTIONAL F  (C) (1) AGAINST SENT A SUBSECTION (B) C COMMISSION DET THE MINIMUM M. STANDARDS AND	THE COUNTY THE MINITHE MINITHE EXEMINITE THE	Y TO DETERMINE IF THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY HAS NIMUM MANDATORY STANDARDS.  DMMISSION SHALL SEND A COPY OF THE LETTER OF CUTIVE AND LEGISLATIVE BODY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE Y.  ER THE COMMISSION HAS ASSESSED 4 WEEKS OF FINES OF REPRIMAND TO A CORRECTIONAL FACILITY UNDER SECTION AND REINSPECTED THE FACILITY, THE ES THAT THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY IS IN VIOLATION OF DRY STANDARDS, THE COMMISSION SHALL CONDUCT A FULL RMANCE AUDIT OF THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY.  CONDUCTING A FULL STANDARDS AND PERFORMANCE AUDIT
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	(3) REPRIMAND TO T CORRECTIONAL F  (C) (1) AGAINST SENT A SUBSECTION (B) C COMMISSION DET THE MINIMUM M. STANDARDS AND	THE CONTROLLITY THE CONTROLLITY THE CONTROLLITY IF, AFT LETTER OF THIS TERMINITATION ANDATO PERFORM WHEN NAL FACT (I) (II)	Y TO DETERMINE IF THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY HAS NIMUM MANDATORY STANDARDS.  DMMISSION SHALL SEND A COPY OF THE LETTER OF CUTIVE AND LEGISLATIVE BODY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE Y.  ER THE COMMISSION HAS ASSESSED 4 WEEKS OF FINES OF REPRIMAND TO A CORRECTIONAL FACILITY UNDER SECTION AND REINSPECTED THE FACILITY, THE ES THAT THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY IS IN VIOLATION OF DRY STANDARDS, THE COMMISSION SHALL CONDUCT A FULL RMANCE AUDIT OF THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY.  CONDUCTING A FULL STANDARDS AND PERFORMANCE AUDIT CILITY, THE COMMISSION SHALL EXAMINE:  THE PHYSICAL CONDITION OF THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY;  THE SAFETY AND TREATMENT OF INMATES AT THE

- **HOUSE BILL 971** (IV)WHETHER THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY HAS 2 ADMINISTRATIVE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES IN PLACE AS REQUIRED BY THE 3 MINIMUM MANDATORY STANDARDS; AND (IV) WHETHER THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY IS FOLLOWING 5 THE REQUIRED ADMINISTRATIVE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES. WHEN CONDUCTING A FULL STANDARDS AND PERFORMANCE 6 7 AUDIT, THE COMMISSION SHALL HAVE UNRESTRICTED ACCESS TO THE PERSONNEL 8 AND RECORDS OF THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY. 9 IF THE COMMISSION LACKS THE EXPERTISE NECESSARY TO (4) (I)10 PERFORM A PART OF THE FULL STANDARDS AND PERFORMANCE AUDIT, THE 11 COMMISSION MAY CONTRACT WITH AN OUTSIDE EXPERT OBTAIN ASSISTANCE FROM 12 SOURCES WITH EXPERTISE IN THE SPECIFIC STANDARD. IF THE COMMISSION NEEDS TO CONTRACT WITH AN OUTSIDE 13 (II)14 EXPERT OBTAIN ASSISTANCE, THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY THAT IS BEING 15 AUDITED SHALL REIMBURSE THE COMMISSION FOR THE ANY COST OF OBTAINING 16 THE EXPERT INCURRED. AFTER COMPLETING A FULL STANDARDS AND PERFORMANCE 17 (5) (I)18 AUDIT, THE COMMISSION SHALL SEND A LETTER TO THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY. 19 THE LETTER SHALL CONTAIN: (II)A COPY OF THE AUDIT FINDINGS, INCLUDING DETAILS ON 21 ALL AREAS WHERE THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY FAILS TO COMPLY WITH THE 22 MINIMUM MANDATORY STANDARDS; 23 A STATEMENT OF WHAT ACTIONS THE CORRECTIONAL 24 FACILITY MUST TAKE IN ORDER TO COMPLY WITH THE AUDIT FINDINGS: 25 A DATE WHEN THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY MUST 3. 26 COMPLY WITH THE AUDIT FINDINGS; AND 27 A STATEMENT THAT THE COMMISSION WILL CONDUCT AN 28 UNANNOUNCED INSPECTION OF THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY WITHIN A 29 REASONABLE AMOUNT OF TIME AFTER THE DATE OF SPECIFIED FOR COMPLIANCE 30 AND THAT IF THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY FAILS TO COMPLY, THE COMMISSION 31 CAN MAY SEEK A COURT ORDER REQUIRING COMPLIANCE OR ORDER ALL OR PART 32 OF THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY TO CEASE OPERATIONS.
- THE COMMISSION SHALL SEND A COPY OF THE LETTER TO THE 33
- 34 EXECUTIVE AND LEGISLATIVE BODY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CORRECTIONAL
- 35 FACILITY.
- 36 WITHIN A REASONABLE TIME AFTER THE DATE OF SPECIFIED FOR
- 37 COMPLIANCE, THE COMMISSION SHALL CONDUCT AN UNANNOUNCED INSPECTION

- 1 TO VERIFY THAT THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY HAS COMPLIED WITH THE AUDIT 2 FINDINGS.
- 3 [(a)] (D) (1) If, after PERFORMING AN AUDIT AND UNANNOUNCED
- 4 INSPECTION UNDER SUBSECTION (C) OF THIS SECTION AND holding a hearing on the
- 5 issue, the Commission determines that a correctional facility [is in violation of the
- 6 minimum mandatory standards] HAS NOT COMPLIED WITH THE AUDIT FINDINGS,
- 7 the Commission [may] SHALL:
- 8 (I) PETITION A CIRCUIT COURT WITH VENUE OVER THE
- 9 PROCEEDING FOR A COURT ORDER REQUIRING THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY TO
- 10 COMPLY WITH THE AUDIT FINDINGS; OR
- 11 (II) issue an order to cease operation of the correctional facility or
- 12 any of its correctional elements, procedures, or functions.
- 13 [(b)] (2) The Commission shall provide to a correctional facility reasonable
- 14 notice of a hearing under [subsection (a) of this section] PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS
- 15 SUBSECTION.
- 16 [(c)] (3) The Commission may subpoen a witnesses and hold public hearings
- 17 in accordance with Title 10, Subtitle 2 of the State Government Article before making
- 18 a final decision on [the closure of] WHETHER TO SEEK A COURT ORDER OR CLOSE a
- 19 correctional facility or any of its correctional elements, procedures, or functions.
- 20 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect
- 21 October 1, 2004.