Unofficial Copy P2 SB 238/03 - EHE 2004 Regular Session 4lr1404 CF 4lr1521

# By: Senator Stone

Introduced and read first time: January 28, 2004 Assigned to: Education, Health, and Environmental Affairs

## A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2	Procurement - Construction Contracts - Maryland Construction Quality			
3	Assurance Act			
4	FOR the purpose of authorizing the best value contracting method of procurement for			
5	certain construction contracts; requiring a best value request for proposals to			
6	contain certain information; requiring proposals submitted in response to a best			
7	value request for proposals to contain certain information, including information			
8	pertaining to certain required prelisted subcontractors; requiring proposals			
9	submitted in response to a best value request for proposals to be evaluated in a			
10				
11	scope of this Act; defining certain terms; requiring the adoption of certain			
12				
13				
14	Construction Quality Assurance Act.			
15	BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,			
15				
10				
17				
10				
19	(2001 Replacement Volume and 2005 Supplement)			
20 BY adding to				
21				
22	Section 13-501 through 13-510, inclusive, to be under the new subtitle "Subtitle			
23				
24				
25	•			

26

### Preamble

27 WHEREAS, The purpose of the Maryland Construction Quality Assurance Act is

28 to authorize competitive best value contracting for certain public construction

29 contracts in order to provide State procurement units with an effective policy for

30 improving construction project delivery; and

1 WHEREAS, Extensive experience with this procurement method by federal

2 government agencies, the Maryland Stadium Authority, and local contracting

3 agencies demonstrates that competitive best value contracting provides substantial

4 benefits for State agencies, the contracting community, and taxpayers; and

5 WHEREAS, By permitting State contracting agencies to consider and evaluate
6 important performance and qualification factors, best value contracting enables
7 procurement units to improve quality and cost-efficiency and obtain better overall
8 value in State construction contracts; and

WHEREAS, Evaluation of key qualification factors, including past performance,
management capabilities, and project staffing, can assist contracting agencies in
achieving the most advantageous results for the State, while promoting greater
accountability among the contractors and subcontractors who benefit from public
works projects; and

WHEREAS, Competitive best value contracting gives procurement units an
effective tool for promoting the use of minority-owned businesses, woman-owned
businesses, and small businesses in Maryland, important public policy goals
established by Title 14, Subtitle 3 of the State Finance and Procurement Article; and

18 WHEREAS, Use of the competitive best value method encourages construction 19 firms to maintain high performance operations by promoting investments in areas 20 such as apprenticeship training, quality control, and safety, and such investments

21 benefit State projects and the contracting community; and

22 WHEREAS, Future public works contracts for the State will be used to renovate

23 and expand Maryland's infrastructure system, and procurement units responsible for

24 administering these vital contracts must have access to the most effective

25 procurement methods available to obtain the best results for the State; now, 26 therefore,

27 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF28 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

# Article - State Finance and Procurement

30 13-102.

29

(a) Except as provided in [Subtitle 3 and Subtitle 4] SUBTITLES 3, 4, AND 5 of
this title, all procurement by units shall be by competitive sealed bids unless one of
the following methods specifically is authorized:

34 35 subtitle;	(1)	competitive sealed proposals under § 13-104 or § 13-105 of this
36	(2)	noncompetitive negotiation under § 13-106 of this subtitle;

37 (3) sole source procurement under § 13-107 of this subtitle;

3		SENATE BILL 215
1	(4)	emergency or expedited procurement under § 13-108 of this subtitle;
2	(5)	small procurement under § 13-109 of this subtitle;
3 4	(6) 13-110 of this subtit	an intergovernmental cooperative purchasing agreement under § le;
5	(7)	auction bids under § 13-111 of this subtitle; or
6	(8)	an unsolicited proposal under § 13-107.1 of this subtitle.
	(b) (1) educational service, 13-104 of this subtit	In awarding a procurement contract for human, social, cultural, or the preferred method is by competitive sealed proposals under § le.
10 11		In awarding a procurement contract for a lease of real property, the by competitive sealed proposals under § 13-105 of this subtitle.
14	agreement is approp price as the result of	Procurement under an intergovernmental cooperative purchasing briate in situations where the State is expected to achieve a better deconomies of scale or to otherwise benefit by purchasing in other governmental entity.
16		SUBTITLE 5. MARYLAND CONSTRUCTION QUALITY ASSURANCE ACT.
17	13-501.	
18 19	(A) IN THI INDICATED.	IS SUBTITLE THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS
20 21	(B) "COM PROCUREMENT T	PETITIVE BEST VALUE CONTRACTING" MEANS A METHOD OF THAT:
22 23	(1) AND	UTILIZES THE SOLICITATION OF COMPETITIVE SEALED PROPOSALS;
	PROPOSALS TO M	REQUIRES THE EVALUATION OF PRICE PROPOSALS AND TECHNICAL MAKE CONTRACT AWARDS THAT REPRESENT THE BEST VALUE TO ENT UNIT AND THE STATE.
	CONSTRUCTION	AGEMENT PLAN" MEANS A PLAN FOR MANAGING THE CONTRACT WHICH DEMONSTRATES THE OFFEROR'S TECHNICAL S AND COMPETENCY FOR THE PROJECT AND INCLUDES:
30	(1)	KEY MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL PROPOSED FOR THE PROJECT;
31	(2)	PROPOSED PROJECT SCHEDULE;
32	(3)	QUALITY CONTROL PROGRAMS; AND
33	(4)	SAFETY PROGRAMS.

1 (D) "MBE" MEANS A MINORITY BUSINESS ENTERPRISE CERTIFIED BY THE 2 STATE UNDER TITLE 14, SUBTITLE 3 OF THIS ARTICLE.

3 (E) "PAST PERFORMANCE" MEANS INFORMATION AND DATA ON A
4 CONTRACTOR'S OR SUBCONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE ON CONSTRUCTION
5 PROJECTS SIMILAR IN SIZE AND SCOPE TO THE PROCUREMENT CONTRACT FOR THE
6 PAST 3 YEARS AND INCLUDES THE DEGREE TO WHICH THE CONTRACTOR OR
7 SUBCONTRACTOR:

8 (1) COMPLETED PROJECTS SAFELY, ON TIME, AND ON BUDGET;

9 (2) COMPLIED WITH PROJECT PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS;

10 (3) FULFILLED CONTRACTING GOALS ESTABLISHED BY TITLE 14, 11 SUBTITLE 3 OF THIS ARTICLE; AND

12 (4) COMPLIED WITH APPLICABLE LAWS AND REGULATIONS.

13 (F) "PROJECT STAFFING PLAN" MEANS A PLAN DEMONSTRATING A
14 CONTRACTOR'S OR SUBCONTRACTOR'S CAPABILITY TO RECRUIT AND RETAIN
15 SUFFICIENT NUMBERS OF QUALIFIED CONSTRUCTION CRAFT PERSONNEL FOR THE
16 PROCUREMENT PROJECT AND SHALL INCLUDE:

17 (1) SOURCES TO BE USED BY THE CONTRACTOR OR SUBCONTRACTOR 18 FOR OBTAINING CRAFT PERSONNEL;

19 (2) TYPES OF TRAINING PROVIDED BY THE CONTRACTOR OR 20 SUBCONTRACTOR;

21 (3) IDENTIFICATION OF TRAINING PROVIDERS;

(4) THE DEGREE TO WHICH APPRENTICESHIP TRAINING PROGRAMS
 REGISTERED WITH THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR OR STATE APPRENTICESHIP
 COUNCIL ARE USED; AND

25(5)THE AMOUNT OF TIME AND RESOURCES INVESTED IN26APPRENTICESHIP PROGRAMS AND OTHER CRAFT TRAINING PROGRAMS.

(G) "TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA" MEANS PAST PERFORMANCE,
MANAGEMENT PLAN, PROJECT STAFFING PLAN, PROPOSED PLAN FOR MEETING THE
CONTRACTING GOALS ESTABLISHED BY TITLE 14, SUBTITLE 3 OF THIS ARTICLE, OR
OTHER TECHNICAL CRITERIA LISTED IN THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS ISSUED
UNDER THIS SUBTITLE.

(H) "TECHNICAL PROPOSAL" MEANS A PROPOSAL CONTAINING INFORMATION
AND DATA REGARDING THE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA OF AN OFFEROR AND
THE OFFEROR'S PRELISTED SUBCONTRACTORS FOR THE PROCUREMENT CONTRACT.

1 13-502.

DUE TO THE INHERENT COMPLEXITIES AND UNIQUE DEMANDS OF
CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTING, INCLUDING THE NEED IN THE CONTRACTOR
SELECTION PROCESS TO CAREFULLY CONSIDER AND EVALUATE CERTAIN
PERFORMANCE AND QUALIFICATION FACTORS, IT IS THE POLICY OF THE STATE TO
UTILIZE COMPETITIVE BEST VALUE CONTRACTING FOR CONSTRUCTION
PROCUREMENT CONTRACTS TO THE GREATEST EXTENT POSSIBLE.

8 13-503.

9 A PRIMARY PROCUREMENT UNIT MAY UTILIZE THE COMPETITIVE BEST VALUE
10 CONTRACTING METHOD FOR CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS VALUED AT \$2,500,000 OR
11 MORE.

12 13-504.

13 (A) WHEN A PROCUREMENT IS BASED ON COMPETITIVE BEST VALUE
14 CONTRACTING, A PRIMARY PROCUREMENT UNIT SHALL SEEK COMPETITIVE SEALED
15 PROPOSALS BY ISSUING A REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS UNDER THIS SECTION.

16 (B) A REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL INCLUDE:

17 (1) THE DATE, TIME, AND PLACE FOR SUBMITTING THE PROPOSAL;

(2) A STATEMENT REQUIRING AN OFFEROR TO SUBMIT A SEPARATE
 PRICE PROPOSAL AND TECHNICAL PROPOSAL ACCORDING TO THE FORMAT SET
 FORTH IN THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS;

21 (3) THE PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS; AND

(4) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN § 13-505 OF THIS SUBTITLE, THE
FOLLOWING PRICE AND TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA AND THEIR RESPECTIVE
WEIGHTS FOR EVALUATION PURPOSES:

25 (I) PRICE - 70%;

26 (II) PAST PERFORMANCE - 13%;

27 (III) MANAGEMENT PLAN - 5%;

28 (IV) PROJECT STAFFING PLAN - 5%; AND

29 (V) FULFILLMENT OF CONTRACTING GOALS ESTABLISHED BY 30 TITLE 14, SUBTITLE 3 OF THIS ARTICLE - 7%.

31 (C) A PRIMARY PROCUREMENT UNIT SHALL GIVE PUBLIC NOTICE OF A

32 REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS UNDER THIS SECTION IN THE SAME MANNER AS

33 REQUIRED FOR AN INVITATION FOR COMPETITIVE SEALED BIDS UNDER § 13-103 OF 34 THIS TITLE.

1 13-505.

2 (A) THE PROCUREMENT OFFICER MAY DETERMINE, IN WRITING, THAT IT IS IN
3 THE INTEREST OF THE STATE TO INCLUDE ADDITIONAL TECHNICAL EVALUATION
4 CRITERIA OR ASSIGN WEIGHTS TO THE CRITERIA DIFFERENT FROM THOSE SET
5 FORTH IN § 13-504(B)(4) OF THIS SUBTITLE, SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING
6 REQUIREMENTS:

7 (1) THE RELATIVE WEIGHT OF PRICE MAY NOT BE LESS THAN 50% OF 8 THE TOTAL WEIGHT;

9 (2) THE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA SET FORTH IN § 13-504(B)(4) 10 OF THIS SUBTITLE MAY NOT BE EXCLUDED; AND

(3) ANY ADDITIONAL TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA THAT ARE
 RELEVANT TO THE SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION OF THE CONTRACT OR OTHERWISE IN
 THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE STATE.

14 (B) TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA AND RELATIVE WEIGHTS ASSIGNED
15 UNDER THIS SECTION MUST BE CLEARLY SET FORTH IN THE REQUEST FOR
16 PROPOSALS.

17 13-506.

18 (A) TECHNICAL PROPOSALS SUBMITTED UNDER THIS SUBTITLE MUST
19 INCLUDE THE QUALIFICATIONS AND CAPABILITIES OF THE OFFEROR AND ANY
20 PRELISTED SUBCONTRACTOR AND BE RESPONSIVE TO THE TECHNICAL EVALUATION
21 CRITERIA ESTABLISHED IN THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS.

(B) IN ADDITION TO ALL OTHER INFORMATION RESPONSIVE TO THE
REQUIREMENTS IDENTIFIED IN THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL, AN OFFEROR
SUBMITTING A PROPOSAL UNDER THIS SUBTITLE SHALL INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING:

25 (1) A LIST OF ALL SUBCONTRACTORS PROPOSED FOR THE
 26 PROCUREMENT CONTRACT WHOSE SUBCONTRACTS ARE VALUED AT \$500,000 OR
 27 MORE;

28 (2) A LIST OF MBE FIRMS AND OTHER FIRMS THAT THE OFFEROR
29 PROPOSES FOR MEETING THE CONTRACTING GOALS ESTABLISHED BY TITLE 14,
30 SUBTITLE 3 OF THIS ARTICLE; AND

31 (3) AN IDENTIFICATION OF THE TYPE OF WORK TO BE PERFORMED BY 32 EACH PRELISTED SUBCONTRACTOR.

33 (C) AN OFFEROR MAY NOT PRELIST ALTERNATE SUBCONTRACTORS.

34 (D) AN OFFEROR MAY NOT SUBSTITUTE AN ALTERNATE SUBCONTRACTOR
35 FOR A PRELISTED SUBCONTRACTOR WITHOUT THE EXPRESS WRITTEN
36 AUTHORIZATION OF THE PROCUREMENT OFFICER PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT
37 OF WORK.

1 13-507.

2 (A) PRICE PROPOSALS SHALL REMAIN SEALED UNTIL ALL TECHNICAL 3 PROPOSALS HAVE BEEN EVALUATED.

4 (B) (1) TECHNICAL PROPOSALS SHALL BE EVALUATED AND SCORED BY A
5 TECHNICAL EVALUATION TEAM CONSISTING OF AT LEAST THREE PERSONS
6 EXPERIENCED IN CONSTRUCTION PROCUREMENT.

7 (2) EACH TECHNICAL FACTOR SPECIFIED IN THE REQUEST FOR
8 PROPOSALS SHALL BE GIVEN A NUMERICAL SCORE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE
9 WEIGHT ASSIGNED TO IT IN THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS BASED ON THE
10 EVALUATION PROCESS CONDUCTED BY THE TECHNICAL EVALUATION TEAM.

(3) TECHNICAL EVALUATION SCORES SHALL BE BASED ON AN
 EVALUATION OF THE OFFEROR AND ITS PRELISTED SUBCONTRACTORS BASED ON
 RELEVANT INFORMATION AND DATA OBTAINED BY THE TECHNICAL EVALUATION
 TEAM.

(4) IF AN OFFEROR OR A LISTED SUBCONTRACTOR IS A NEW BUSINESS
 AND DOES NOT HAVE A PERFORMANCE RECORD SUFFICIENT TO EVALUATE ITS PAST
 PERFORMANCE, THE TECHNICAL EVALUATION TEAM SHALL CONSIDER THE PAST
 PERFORMANCE OF THE OFFEROR'S OR SUBCONTRACTOR'S OFFICERS, MANAGEMENT,
 AND OWNERS OR PARTNERS.

20 (5) WHEN AN OFFEROR IS A JOINT VENTURE, THE TECHNICAL
21 EVALUATION TEAM SHALL CONSIDER THE QUALIFICATIONS OF ALL ENTITIES
22 INCLUDED IN THE JOINT VENTURE.

23 (6) A TOTAL TECHNICAL EVALUATION SCORE SHALL BE OBTAINED BY 24 ADDING THE SCORES ON ALL TECHNICAL EVALUATION FACTORS.

25 (C) ONCE A TOTAL TECHNICAL EVALUATION SCORE HAS BEEN DETERMINED,
26 PRICE PROPOSALS SHALL BE OPENED AND SCORED AS FOLLOWS:

27 (1) THE OFFEROR SUBMITTING THE LOWEST PRICE SHALL RECEIVE THE
28 MAXIMUM PRICE SCORE, ACCORDING TO THE PERCENTAGE VALUE STATED FOR
29 PRICE IN THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS; AND

30 (2) THE PRICE PROPOSED BY EACH SUCCESSIVE OFFEROR SHALL BE
 31 SCORED RELATIVE TO THE LOWEST PRICE, ACCORDING TO THE FOLLOWING
 32 FORMULA:

33 (I) THE LOWEST PRICE OFFERED SHALL BE DIVIDED BY THE NEXT34 LOWEST PRICE;

35(II)THE RESULTING FIGURE SHALL BE MULTIPLIED BY THE36PERCENTAGE VALUE FOR PRICE STATED IN THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS; AND

1 (III) THE PRICE SCORE OF EACH ADDITIONAL OFFEROR SHALL BE 2 CALCULATED IN THE SAME MANNER.

3 (D) THE PRICE SCORE AND FINAL TECHNICAL SCORE OF EACH PROPOSAL 4 SHALL BE COMBINED FOR A TOTAL SCORE.

5 (E) THE OFFEROR WITH THE HIGHEST TOTAL SCORE SHALL BE AWARDED
6 THE PROCUREMENT CONTRACT, PROVIDED THE OFFEROR'S PROPOSAL IS
7 RESPONSIVE TO THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS AND SPECIFICATIONS AND THE
8 OFFEROR IS DETERMINED TO BE A RESPONSIBLE CONTRACTOR.

9 13-508.

10 (A) AN UNSUCCESSFUL OFFEROR SHALL RECEIVE, AT THE REQUEST OF THE 11 OFFEROR, A DEBRIEFING BY THE PROCUREMENT OFFICER, WHO SHALL PROVIDE, AT 12 A MINIMUM:

13 (1) THE PRICE AND FINAL TECHNICAL SCORE OF THE SUCCESSFUL 14 OFFEROR;

15 (2) THE FINAL TECHNICAL SCORE OF THE OFFEROR REQUESTING THE 16 DEBRIEFING; AND

17(3)THE OVERALL RANKING OF ALL OFFERORS, IF A RANKING WAS18 DEVELOPED.

19 (B) THE DEBRIEFING BY THE PROCUREMENT OFFICER MAY NOT DISCLOSE20 ANY INFORMATION PROHIBITED FROM DISCLOSURE BY LAW.

21 13-509.

(A) TO THE EXTENT THAT THE REGULATIONS SET FORTH IN COMAR 21.05.03
23 GOVERNING COMPETITIVE SEALED PROPOSALS ARE CONSISTENT WITH THIS
24 SUBTITLE, THESE REGULATIONS SHALL APPLY.

25 (B) THE BOARD MAY DEVELOP ADDITIONAL REGULATIONS NECESSARY TO 26 CARRY OUT THE PROVISIONS OF THIS SUBTITLE.

27 13-510.

THIS SUBTITLE MAY BE CITED AS THE "MARYLAND CONSTRUCTION QUALITYASSURANCE ACT".

30 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take 31 effect October 1, 2004.