Unofficial Copy K1

2004 Regular Session 4lr2923 CF 4lr1714

By: Senator Astle

Introduced and read first time: February 6, 2004

Assigned to: Finance

A BILL ENTITLED

4	4 % T	1 000	
1	AN	ACT	concerning

2 Workers' Compensation - Accidental Personal Injury - Definition

- 3 FOR the purpose of altering the definition of "accidental personal injury" under
- workers' compensation law to require that a compensable accidental injury must 4
- 5 arise on a specific date and at a specific time; requiring that a compensable
- 6 accidental injury must result from a risk that is causally connected to the
- 7 conditions of employment and not as the result of a risk that is purely personal
- 8 in nature; providing for the application of this Act; and generally relating to the
- 9 definition of "accidental personal injury" under workers' compensation law.
- 10 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 11 Article - Labor and Employment
- 12 Section 9-101(b)
- 13 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 14 (1999 Replacement Volume and 2003 Supplement)
- 15 Preamble
- 16 WHEREAS, The Maryland General Assembly believes it is sound public policy
- 17 to provide the highest level of compensation possible to injured workers in the most
- 18 cost-efficient manner possible without adversely impacting business in Maryland;
- 19 and
- WHEREAS, The Court of Appeals of Maryland in Harris v. Board of Education 20
- 21 of Howard County, 375 Md. 21 (2003), has overruled 75 years of case law defining
- 22 accidental injury as requiring an unusual occurrence or unusual condition of
- 23 employment; and
- 24 WHEREAS, The Court of Appeals of Maryland in Applied Industrial
- 25 Technologies v. Ludemann, 148 Md. App. 272 (2002), held that an injured worker does
- 26 not have to provide a specific date and time for an alleged accidental injury for the
- 27 claim to be compensable; and
- 28 WHEREAS, The Maryland General Assembly finds that the Harris and Applied
- 29 Industrial Technologies decisions are contrary to the State of Maryland's efforts to

	reduce the n State; and	umber of	frauduler	nt workers' compensation claims filed each year in the			
5	Applied Ind	ustrial Tec compensa	chnologie	ad General Assembly finds that the Harris and the es decisions are contrary to sound public policy ers' compensation injury to have a nexus to			
9	WHEREAS, In 1997 Maryland's workers' compensation system was rated as the fourth most cost-efficient in the country by the National Council on Compensation Insurance, but today Maryland is rated as the seventeenth most cost-efficient workers' compensation system in the country; and						
	1 WHEREAS, The Injured Workers Insurance Fund estimates that the Harris 2 decision will increase the cost of workers' compensation claims in Maryland by 3 \$60,000,000; and						
16	increased ri	sk doctrin termining	ie to be a	d General Assembly finds the codification of the nacceptable compromise between management and pensability, in part, of workers' compensation claims;			
18 19				CTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF of Maryland read as follows:			
20				Article - Labor and Employment			
21	9-101.						
2122	9-101. (b)	"Accide	ntal perso	onal injury" means:			
		"Accide	-	onal injury" means: lental injury that arises:			
22			-	• •			
2223			an accid	lental injury that arises:			
222324252627	(b)	(1) DITIONS	an accid (I) (II) (III) OF THE	lental injury that arises: out of and in the course of employment; ON A SPECIFIC DATE AND AT A SPECIFIC TIME; AND AS THE RESULT OF A RISK THAT IS CAUSALLY CONNECTED TO EMPLOYMENT AND NOT THE RESULT OF A RISK THAT IS			
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	(b) THE CONI	(1) DITIONS ERSONA (2) inst a cov	an accid (I) (II) (III) OF THE LL IN NA	lental injury that arises: out of and in the course of employment; ON A SPECIFIC DATE AND AT A SPECIFIC TIME; AND AS THE RESULT OF A RISK THAT IS CAUSALLY CONNECTED TO EMPLOYMENT AND NOT THE RESULT OF A RISK THAT IS			
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	(b) THE CONI PURELY P directed aga employee; of	(1) DITIONS ERSONA (2) uinst a covor (3)	an accid (I) (II) (III) OF THE LIN NA an injury ered emp	dental injury that arises: out of and in the course of employment; ON A SPECIFIC DATE AND AT A SPECIFIC TIME; AND AS THE RESULT OF A RISK THAT IS CAUSALLY CONNECTED TO EMPLOYMENT AND NOT THE RESULT OF A RISK THAT IS ATURE; y caused by a willful or negligent act of a third person			

- 1 (ii) frostbite or sunstroke caused by a weather condition.
- SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall be 2
- 3 construed to apply only prospectively and may not be applied or interpreted to have 4 any effect on or application to any accidental personal injury occurring before the
- 5 effective date of this Act.
- 6 SECTION 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect
- 7 October 1, 2004.