# Department of Legislative Services <br> Maryland General Assembly <br> 2004 Session <br> FISCAL AND POLICY NOTE 

House Bill 271
(Delegate Hixson, et al.)
Ways and Means

## Sales and Use Tax - Rate

This bill raises the general sales and use tax rate from $5 \%$ to $6 \%$.
The bill takes effect July 1, 2004.

## Fiscal Summary

State Effect: Sales tax revenues could increase by $\$ 547.7$ million in FY 2005. Revenues would increase in the out-years based on annualization, projected sales tax growth, and a projected $1 \%$ decline in taxable sales attributable to the higher rate. The Comptroller's Office could administer the bill with existing budgeted resources.

| (\$ in millions) | FY 2005 | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| GF Revenue | $\$ 547.7$ | $\$ 568.1$ | $\$ 590.3$ | $\$ 610.5$ | $\$ 631.4$ |
| Expenditure | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| Net Effect | $\$ 547.7$ | $\$ 568.1$ | $\$ 590.3$ | $\$ 610.5$ | $\$ 631.4$ |

Note : () = decrease; GF = general funds; FF = federal funds; $S F=$ special funds; - = indeterminate effect
Local Effect: None.
Small Business Effect: Meaningful. To the extent that the additional sales tax rate encourages consumers to shift purchases to out-of-state or remote sellers and away from Maryland retailers that are small businesses, these small businesses could experience a meaningful negative impact. Small businesses may also experience minimal additional costs to reprogram their cash registers.

## Analysis

Current Law: The general sales and use tax rate is $5 \%$. Revenues go to the general fund. There is a separate sales tax rate for short-term vehicle rentals that is not affected by the bill (the revenues which are shared between the general fund and the Transportation Trust Fund).

Background: The sales tax rates for neighboring states are as follows: District of Columbia (5.75\%), West Virginia (6\%), Pennsylvania (6\%, plus local $1 \%$ sales tax in certain local jurisdictions; no sales tax on clothing), Delaware (none, but a gross receipts tax on retailers), and Virginia ( $4.5 \%$ ), includes $1 \%$ for local governments. Appendix 1 sets forth the sales tax rates for all states.

## Sales Tax Incidence

Tax incidence studies are often used to estimate the amount of tax paid by individuals or households. During the 2003 interim, the Department of Legislative Services examined the amount of sales tax paid by Maryland households at various income levels, based on the Bureau of Labor Statistics 2001 Consumer Expenditure Survey, the 2000 United States Census, and the Income Tax Summary Report for tax year 2002. Exhibits 1 and 2 show the distribution of households among income classes, the average income (MD AGI), sales tax paid by household, sales tax as a percent of income, and sales tax as a percent of total sales tax at both the current $5 \%$ rate and the proposed $6 \%$ rate.


|  | Exhibit 2 <br> Sales Tax Incidence at 6\% Rate |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Household Distribution | Average Income | Sales Tax by Household | Sales Tax as \% of Income | Percent of Total $\underline{\text { Sales Tax* }}$ |
| Under \$5,000 | 1.9\% | \$2,636 | \$395 | 15.0\% | 0.9\% |
| \$5,000-\$9,999 | 4.0\% | \$8,077 | 268 | 3.3\% | 1.3\% |
| \$10,000-\$14,999 | 4.3\% | 12,495 | 332 | 2.7\% | 1.7\% |
| \$15,000-\$19,999 | 4.5\% | 17,454 | 407 | 2.3\% | 2.2\% |
| \$20,000-\$29,999 | 10.3\% | 24,801 | 436 | 1.8\% | 5.4\% |
| \$30,000-\$39,999 | 11.0\% | 34,744 | 555 | 1.6\% | 7.4\% |
| \$40,000-\$49,999 | 10.2\% | 44,699 | 652 | 1.5\% | 8.1\% |
| \$50,000-\$69,999 | 18.0\% | 59,474 | 828 | 1.4\% | 18.1\% |
| Over \$70,000 | 35.8\% | 127,954 | 1,264 | 1.0\% | 54.9\% |

Exhibit 3 shows the increase in sales tax paid by household resulting from the proposed $6 \%$ rate.

## Exhibit 3 <br> Sales Tax Increase by Household Resulting from a 6\% Rate

Less than $\$ 5,000$ ..... \$66
\$5,000-\$9,999 ..... 45
\$10,000-\$14,999 ..... 55
\$15,000-\$19,999 ..... 68
\$20,000-\$29,999 ..... 73
\$30,000-\$39,999 ..... 92
\$40,000-\$49,999 ..... 109
\$50,000-\$69,999 ..... 138
Over \$70,000 ..... 211

State Fiscal Effect: Increasing the sales tax by one percentage point would generate $\$ 547.7$ million in additional general fund revenues in fiscal 2005 and $\$ 568.1$ million in fiscal 2006. This estimate reflects currently projected sales tax growth and a $1 \%$ decline in taxable sales due to the higher sales tax. Exhibit $\mathbf{4}$ shows increased sales tax revenues for fiscal 2005 through 2009.

## Exhibit 4 <br> Projected Increase in Sales and Use Tax Revenues (\$ in Millions)

| Current Estimates | FY 2005 | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| General Fund Sales Tax Revenue (less <br> vehicle rentals) | $\$ 2,913.4$ | $\$ 3,021.6$ | $\$ 3,140.1$ | $\$ 3,247.6$ | $\$ 3,358.7$ |
| Implied Taxable Sales at 5\% Rate | $58,268.0$ | $60,432.0$ | $62,802.0$ | $64,952.0$ | $67,174.0$ |
| Implied Taxable Sales with 1\% Decline | $57,685.3$ | $59,827.7$ | $62,174.0$ | $64,302.5$ | $66,502.3$ |
| Sales Tax Revenue at 6\% Rate | $3,461.1$ | $3,589.7$ | $3,730.4$ | $3,858.1$ | $3,990.1$ |
| Increased Sales Tax Revenue | $\mathbf{\$ 5 4 7 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 6 8 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 9 0 . 3}$ | $\$ \mathbf{6 1 0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 3 1 . 4}$ |

The $1 \%$ decline in taxable sales reflects sales that no longer are subject to Maryland sales tax for three reasons: (1) the sale does not take place at all because the marginal cost dissuades the purchaser (minimal); (2) the sale is diverted to a neighboring state where the sales tax rate is lower; or (3) the sale is diverted to a remote seller, such as an Internet or mail order retailer. To the extent that sales decline more or less than projected as a result of the tax increase, sales tax revenues would change correspondingly.

Small Business Effect: As discussed above, increasing the sales tax in Maryland may result in a decline in consumer purchases in the State. Residents may make more purchases in neighboring states that have a lower tax rate or on the Internet. Based on annual taxable sales of approximately $\$ 60$ billion, a $1 \%$ decline in sales would result in a decline of $\$ 600$ million in gross sales. Many Maryland retailers are small businesses.

Additional Comments: The bill, like Maryland's current sales tax law, is in conflict with the terms of the Streamlined Sales Tax Agreement, which requires mathematical rounding for sales tax calculations.

## Additional Information

Prior Introductions: This bill was introduced as HB 1053 in the 2003 session. No action was taken by the House Ways and Means Committee.

Cross File: None.

Information Source(s): Maryland Chamber of Commerce, Comptroller's Office, Department of Legislative Services

Fiscal Note History: First Reader - February 16, 2004
ncs/mdr

Analysis by: Michael Sanelli
Direct Inquiries to:
(410) 946-5510
(301) 970-5510

## Appendix 1

## Major Features of State Sales Taxes

Comparison with Other States
(as of January 1, 2004)

| State | States Exempting |  |  |  | States Exempting |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Tax Rate | Food | Prescr. <br> Drugs | State | \% Tax Rate | Food | Prescr. <br> Drugs |
| Alabama | $\frac{4}{4}$ |  | E | Montana |  | State S |  |
| Alaska |  | State Sale |  | Nebraska | 5.5 | E | E |
| Arizona | 5.6 | E | E | Nevada | 6.5 | E | E |
| Arkansas | 5.125 |  | E | New Hampshire |  | State S |  |
| California | $7.25{ }^{1}$ | E | E | New Jersey | 6 | E | E |
| Colorado | 2.9 | E | E | New Mexico* | 5 |  | E |
| Connecticut* | 6 | E | E | New York | 4.25 | E | E |
| Delaware |  | State Sale |  | North Carolina | 4.5 | $\mathrm{E}^{4}$ | E |
| D.C.* | 5.75 | $\mathrm{E}^{3}$ | E | North Dakota | 5 | E | E |
| Florida | 6 | E | E | Ohio | 6 | E | E |
| Georgia | 4 | E | E | Oklahoma | 4.5 |  | E |
| Hawaii | 4 |  | E | Oregon |  | State Sa |  |
| Idaho | 6 |  | E | Pennsylvania | 6 | E | E |
| Illinois | 6.25 | 1\% | 1\% | Rhode Island* | 7 | E | E |
| Indiana* | 6 | E | E | South Carolina | 5 |  | E |
| Iowa | 5 | E | E | South Dakota | 4 |  | E |
| Kansas | 5.3 |  | E | Tennessee | 7 | 6\% | E |
| Kentucky | 6 | E | E | Texas | 6.25 | E | E |
| Louisiana | 4 | E | E | Utah | 4.75 |  | E |
| Maine* | 5 | E | E | Vermont | 6 | E | E |
| Maryland* | 5 | E | E | Virginia | $4.5{ }^{1}$ | ** | E |
| Massachusetts* | 5 | E | E | Washington | 6.5 | E | E |
| Michigan* | 6 | E | E | West Virginia* | 6 |  | E |
| Minnesota | 6.5 | E | E | Wisconsin | 5 | E | E |
| Mississippi* | 7 |  | E | Wyoming | 4 |  | E |
| Missouri | $4.225^{2}$ | 1.225\% | E | U.S. |  | 28 | 45 |
| * Local sales/use taxes not authorized or imposed. <br> **Rate decreased to 3.5\% on April 1, 2003. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E-Exempt from sales tax. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Includes statewide local tax and $1.25 \%$ in California and $1.0 \%$ in Virginia. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Rate decreases to $4.125 \%$ on November 8, 2008. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ Snack foods excluded from exemption. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{4}$ Food sales are subject to local sales taxes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

