UNOFFICIAL COPY OF HOUSE BILL 1250

EMERGENCY BILL

M1

5lr2117 CF 5lr1034

By: Delegates Frush and V. Clagett Introduced and read first time: February 11, 2005 Assigned to: Environmental Matters Committee Report: Favorable with amendments House action: Adopted Read second time: March 21, 2005		
1 A	N ACT concerning	
2	Natural Resources - Nonnative Oysters - Introduction	
3 F ⁶ 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	OR the purpose of prohibiting a person from introducing a nonnative oyster into State waters without a permit issued by the Department of Natural Resources; restricting the Department from introducing a nonnative oyster into State waters or from issuing a permit to another person for an introduction unless certain conditions are met; requiring the Department to satisfy certain conditions before introducing a nonnative oyster into State waters or before issuing a permit to another person for an introduction; providing for certain criminal and civil penalties for certain persons who violate this Act; making this Act an emergency measure; and generally relating to the introduction of a nonnative oyster into State waters.	
13 E 14 15 16 17 18	Article - Natural Resources Section 4-1008 to be Section 4-1008.1 Annotated Code of Maryland (2000 Replacement Volume and 2004 Supplement)	
19 E 20 21 22 23	Article - Natural Resources Section 4-1008 Annotated Code of Maryland (2000 Replacement Volume and 2004 Supplement)	

2	UNOFFICIAL COPY OF HOUSE BILL 1250
1	Preamble
	WHEREAS, In this region and across the entire continent, the Chesapeake Bay is considered a unique and invaluable natural, historic, cultural, and recreational treasure; and
5 6	WHEREAS, The Maryland economy and that of the entire region depend on a balanced and healthy Bay ecosystem; and
7 8	WHEREAS, The continued ecological and economic productivity of the Bay depends upon sound, science-based management decisions; and
11	WHEREAS, Various government resource management agencies are now considering the introduction of a nonnative oyster species, Crassostrea ariakensis, into the waters of the Chesapeake Bay, and recent indications are that this introduction may occur in Maryland as soon as the current calendar year; and
15 16 17 18	WHEREAS, Several independent fishery experts who speak with great authority in the scientific community, most notably the National Research Council of the National Academies of Science and the Scientific and Technical Advisory Committee of the federal Chesapeake Bay Program, have concluded that, at this time, there is insufficient scientific evidence upon which to base a decision to introduce this nonnative oyster species or even to expect that such an introduction would yield a positive net benefit; and
	WHEREAS, These experts advise that additional research is absolutely necessary in order to ascertain a basic working knowledge of this species and its likely ecological impact on the Bay and the entire East Coast; and
23 24	WHEREAS, A most significant factor about which little data exists is the likely impact of this species on the already vulnerable native oyster population; and
27 28	WHEREAS, Among the most elementary characteristics of this species about which little is now certain are: reproductive capabilities; larval behaviors that would indicate potential dispersal patterns and susceptibility to predation; the ability to build reefs or deal with limitations such as lack of habitat, competition for space, poor water quality, and high sedimentation rates; and susceptibility to disease; and
	WHEREAS, It is apparent that introduction of a nonnative oyster would be premature without the additional research advocated by the National Research Council and the Scientific and Technical Advisory Committee; and
	WHEREAS, Such an introduction carries major risk of far reaching and irreversible ecological and economic harm a risk of irreversible ecological and economic impacts; and
38	WHEREAS, Because of the critical nature and potentially grave impact of a decision to introduce a nonnative oyster into the Bay ecosystem, this decision is best made in the context of widespread public notice and participation by the citizens of Maryland; now, therefore,

- **UNOFFICIAL COPY OF HOUSE BILL 1250** 1 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF 2 MARYLAND, That Section(s) 4-1008 of Article - Natural Resources of the Annotated 3 Code of Maryland be renumbered to be Section(s) 4-1008.1. SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That the Laws of Maryland 5 read as follows: **Article - Natural Resources** 6 7 4-1008. IN THIS SECTION THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS 8 (A) (1) 9 INDICATED. 10 "INTRODUCTION" MEANS THE INTENTIONAL OR ACCIDENTAL 11 PLACEMENT IN STATE WATERS OF A NONNATIVE OYSTER FOR ANY PURPOSE OTHER 12 THAN SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH THAT IS CONDUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH 13 STANDARDS DEVELOPED BY THE CHESAPEAKE BAY PROGRAM UNDER THE U.S. 14 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY. "NONNATIVE" MEANS A SPECIES OF OYSTER OTHER THAN THE 15 16 CRASSOSTREA VIRGINICA. EXCEPT FOR THE DEPARTMENT, A PERSON MAY NOT INTRODUCE A 17 18 NONNATIVE OYSTER INTO STATE WATERS UNLESS THE PERSON HAS A PERMIT 19 ISSUED BY THE DEPARTMENT. 20 THE DEPARTMENT MAY NOT INTRODUCE A NONNATIVE OYSTER (C) 21 INTO STATE WATERS OR ISSUE A PERMIT TO ANOTHER PERSON FOR AN 22 INTRODUCTION UNLESS: 23 THE RECOMMENDATIONS SET FORTH IN THE 2004 REPORT, (I) 24 "NONNATIVE OYSTERS IN THE CHESAPEAKE BAY", PRODUCED BY THE COMMITTEE 25 ON NONNATIVE OYSTERS IN THE CHESAPEAKE BAY, OCEAN STUDIES BOARD, 26 DIVISION ON EARTH AND LIFE STUDIES OF THE NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL, 27 HAVE BEEN SATISFIED MET TO THE EXTENT FEASIBLE FOR THE STATE OF 28 MARYLAND; AND THE SPECIFIC RESEARCH PRIORITIES RECOMMENDATIONS SET 29 (II)30 FORTH IN "IDENTIFYING AND PRIORITIZING RESEARCH REQUIRED TO EVALUATE 31 ECOLOGICAL RISKS AND BENEFITS OF INTRODUCING DIPLOID CRASSOTREA 32 ARIKENSIS TO RESTORE OYSTERS TO THE CHESAPEAKE BAY" (STAC PUBLICATION 33 04-002), HAVE BEEN FULLY SATISFIED MET; AND 34 (III) A DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT CONDUCTED 35 IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS SPECIFIED IN SUBPARAGRAPHS (I)

- 36 AND (II) OF THIS PARAGRAPH HAS BEEN COMPLETED; AND
- AN INDEPENDENT OYSTER ADVISORY PANEL OF SCIENTIFIC 37 (IV)
- 38 EXPERTS APPOINTED BY THE SECRETARY HAS:

- **UNOFFICIAL COPY OF HOUSE BILL 1250** REVIEWED AND APPROVED THE SUFFICIENCY OF THE 1 2 DATA AND ASSESSMENTS USED TO IDENTIFY THE ECOLOGICAL AND ECONOMIC 3 RISKS AND BENEFITS OF INTRODUCING A NONNATIVE OYSTER INTO STATE WATERS 4 AND THE DEGREE OF RISK ASSOCIATED WITH IMPLEMENTING EACH OYSTER 5 RESTORATION ALTERNATIVE IDENTIFIED IN THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT 6 STATEMENT PREPARED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ITEM (III) OF THIS PARAGRAPH; AND **IDENTIFIED ANY ADDITIONAL RESEARCH THAT THE** 7 8 PANEL RECOMMENDS TO REDUCE THE LEVEL OF RISK AND UNCERTAINTY. BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT MAY INTRODUCE A NONNATIVE OYSTER 10 INTO STATE WATERS OR ISSUE A PERMIT TO ANOTHER PERSON FOR AN 11 INTRODUCTION, THE DEPARTMENT SHALL: 12 (I) SUBJECT TO § 2-1246 OF THE STATE GOVERNMENT ARTICLE, 13 SUBMIT A REPORT TO THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY DEMONSTRATING THAT THE 14 DEPARTMENT HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS 15 SUBSECTION; CONDUCT PUBLIC HEARINGS REGARDING THE DEPARTMENT'S 16 (II)17 DECISION TO INTRODUCE OR TO ISSUE A PERMIT TO INTRODUCE A NONNATIVE 18 OYSTER INTO STATE WATERS: FOR AT LEAST 30 DAYS FOLLOWING THE LAST PUBLIC HEARING 19 20 CONDUCTED UNDER ITEM (II) OF THIS PARAGRAPH, RECEIVE WRITTEN PUBLIC 21 COMMENTS ON THE DEPARTMENT'S DECISION TO INTRODUCE OR TO ISSUE A PERMIT 22 TO INTRODUCE; AND 23 ISSUE AND PUBLISH A FINAL DECISION, INCLUDING A 24 FULL SCIENTIFIC RATIONALE FOR THE FINAL DECISION, A SUMMARY OF ORAL AND 25 WRITTEN COMMENTS RECEIVED UNDER ITEMS (II) AND (III) OF THIS PARAGRAPH, 26 AND THE DEPARTMENT'S RESPONSE TO THESE COMMENTS, IN THE MARYLAND 27 REGISTER; AND 28 PUBLISH THE FINAL DECISION, INCLUDING ALL 29 ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENTATION UNDER ITEM 1 OF THIS ITEM, ON THE 30 DEPARTMENT'S WEBSITE.
- INTRODUCTION OF A NONNATIVE OYSTER MAY NOT OCCUR UNTIL 31
- 32 AT LEAST 60 DAYS AFTER ISSUANCE OF A FINAL DECISION UNDER PARAGRAPH (2)(IV)
- 33 OF THIS SUBSECTION.
- 34 (D) IN ADDITION TO ANY OTHER PENALTY PROVIDED UNDER LAW. A PERSON
- 35 WHO VIOLATES SUBSECTION (B) OF THIS SECTION IS:
- GUILTY OF A MISDEMEANOR AND ON CONVICTION IS SUBJECT TO 36
- 37 IMPRISONMENT NOT EXCEEDING 2 YEARS OR A FINE NOT EXCEEDING \$25,000 OR
- 38 BOTH, WITH COSTS IMPOSED IN THE DISCRETION OF THE COURT; AND

- 1 (2) LIABLE FOR THE IMPOSITION OF A CIVIL PENALTY UP TO \$25,000
- 2 AND, IN THE DISCRETION OF THE COURT, THE ACTUAL COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH
- 3 REMEDIATION OF THE INTRODUCTION.
- 4 SECTION 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act is an emergency
- 5 measure, is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public health or safety,
- 6 has been passed by a yea and nay vote supported by three-fifths of all the members
- 7 elected to each of the two Houses of the General Assembly, and shall take effect from
- 8 the date it is enacted.