# **Department of Legislative Services**

Maryland General Assembly 2005 Session

#### FISCAL AND POLICY NOTE

House Bill 899 Ways and Means (Delegate Marriott, et al.)

#### **Education - Geographic Cost of Education Index - Funding**

This bill changes the Geographic Cost of Education Index (GCEI) formula from a discretionary State aid program to a mandated State aid program.

The bill takes effect July 1, 2005.

### **Fiscal Summary**

**State Effect:** General fund expenditures could increase by \$53.6 million in FY 2006 to provide funding for the GCEI formula. Mandated future year expenditure estimates reflect the phase-in of the formula. Revenues would not be affected.

(\$ in millions)	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010
Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
GF Expenditure	53.6	71.1	92.2	108.8	128.8
Net Effect	(\$53.6)	(\$71.1)	(\$92.2)	(\$108.8)	(\$128.8)

Note:() = decrease; GF = general funds; FF = federal funds; SF = special funds; - = indeterminate effect

**Local Effect:** Local school revenues from State aid could increase by \$53.6 million in FY 2006 and would increase by an estimated \$128.8 million in FY 2010.

Small Business Effect: None.

### **Analysis**

**Current Law:** The GCEI formula is established in statute but is not mandated.

**Background:** One of the recommendations of the Commission on Education Finance, Equity, and Excellence (Thornton Commission) was to adjust State aid to reflect regional

differences in the cost of education that are outside the control of local jurisdictions. The Thornton Commission defined adequate funding as revenues sufficient to acquire the resources needed to reasonably expect that students can meet the State's academic performance standards. Because the cost of these resources may vary by jurisdiction, the Thornton Commission recommended that State aid be adjusted to account for the variations. However, the commission did not believe that an acceptable GCEI existed at the time it was completing its work. The commission recommended that the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) contract with a private consultant to develop a Maryland-specific index to be used to adjust State aid beginning in fiscal 2005. This recommendation was codified in the Bridge to Excellence in Public Schools Act of 2002.

The consultants hired by MSDE submitted a final report entitled *Adjusting for Regional Differences in the Cost of Educational Provision in Maryland* on December 31, 2003. The report includes a GCEI with index values that range from 0.948 in Garrett County to 1.048 in Prince George's County. **Exhibit 1** shows the index that appears in the report. The index was used to establish a discretionary GCEI adjustment formula that was set in statute last year. The formula increases aid for counties with above average costs (*i.e.*, GCEI values greater than 1.0) but does not reduce aid for counties that have below average costs (*i.e.*, GCEI values less than 1.0). Funding for the GCEI formula was not included in the proposed fiscal 2006 State budget.

**Exhibit 1 Geographic Cost of Education Index** 

School System	GCEI <u>Value</u>	School System	GCEI <u>Value</u>
A 11	0.050	II C 1	0.002
Allegany	0.959	Harford	0.992
Anne Arundel	1.018	Howard	1.015
Baltimore City	1.042	Kent	1.010
Baltimore	1.008	Montgomery	1.034
Calvert	1.021	Prince George's	1.048
Caroline	1.000	Queen Anne's	1.011
Carroll	1.014	St. Mary's	1.002
Cecil	0.989	Somerset	0.973
Charles	1.020	Talbot	0.991
Dorchester	0.978	Washington	0.974
Frederick	1.024	Wicomico	0.971
Garrett	0.948	Worcester	0.959

Source: Adjusting for Regional Differences in the Cost of Educational Provision in Maryland

**State Expenditures:** General fund expenditures would increase by \$53.6 million in fiscal 2006 if the bill results in funding for GCEI being added to the fiscal 2006 State budget. The funding would be distributed to local school systems in accordance with the GCEI formula, which provides additional education aid to 13 local school systems.

Beginning in fiscal 2007, funding for the GCEI formula would be mandated and would increase from an estimated \$71.1 million in fiscal 2007 to an estimated \$128.8 million in fiscal 2010. GCEI funding estimates for the 13 local school systems that would receive additional State aid are shown in **Exhibit 2**. The large funding increases from one fiscal year to the next are due to the implementation schedule for the GCEI formula, which phases in over five years from fiscal 2006 to 2010. After fiscal 2010, annual increases in funding would be driven by inflation and enrollment changes.

Exhibit 2
GCEI Formula Funding
Fiscal 2006 to 2010
(\$ in Thousands)

School System	<b>FY 2006</b>	<b>FY 2007</b>	<b>FY 2008</b>	FY 2009	<b>FY 2010</b>
Anne Arundel	\$3,544	\$4,677	\$6,065	\$7,174	\$8,483
<b>Baltimore City</b>	9,888	12,889	16,491	19,078	22,265
Baltimore	2,253	2,983	3,847	4,518	5,328
Calvert	975	1,312	1,720	2,063	2,442
Carroll	1,085	1,443	1,871	2,216	2,642
Charles	1,373	1,842	2,410	2,895	3,472
Frederick	2,520	3,384	4,462	5,361	6,416
Howard	1,934	2,587	3,385	4,062	4,841
Kent	65	85	107	122	141
Montgomery	12,563	16,767	21,873	26,073	31,148
Prince George's	17,061	22,704	29,423	34,614	40,812
Queen Anne's	218	295	385	463	556
St. Mary's	86	<u>114</u>	149	<u> 177</u>	212
Total	\$53,566	\$71,081	\$92,189	\$108,818	\$128,757

**Local Revenues:** Local school revenues from State aid could increase by an estimated \$53.6 million in fiscal 2006. Beginning in fiscal 2007, the State aid increases would be

mandatory. The estimated funding increases for the 13 school systems that would be affected by the bill are shown in Exhibit 2.

## **Additional Information**

Prior Introductions: None.

Cross File: None.

Information Source(s): Maryland State Department of Education, Department of

Legislative Services

**Fiscal Note History:** First Reader - March 1, 2005

ncs/hlb

Analysis by: Mark W. Collins Direct Inquiries to:

(410) 946-5510 (301) 970-5510