6lr3146 CF 6lr3086

By: Delegates Branch, Anderson, Benson, Brown, Burns, Cane, Carter, Conroy, Conway, C. Davis, D. Davis, Doory, Gaines, Goodwin, Griffith, Harrison, Haynes, Holmes, Howard, Jones, Kelley, Kirk, Marriott, McHale, Murray, Nathan-Pulliam, Oaks, Paige, Parker, Patterson, Petzold, Proctor, Pugh, Ramirez, Rosenberg, Ross, F. Turner, V. Turner, and Vaughn Introduced and read first time: February 13, 2006

Assigned to: Rules and Executive Nominations Re-referred to: Economic Matters, February 27, 2006

Committee Report: Favorable with amendments House action: Adopted Read second time: April 2, 2006

CHAPTER____

1 AN ACT concerning

2

Procurement - Commercial Nondiscrimination Policy

3 FOR the purpose of establishing a "Commercial Nondiscrimination Policy" under the

4 State procurement law; specifying the purpose, intent, and scope of the policy;

5 defining terms; specifying rules of construction; <u>establishing a period of</u>

6 <u>limitations during which a person must file a certain discrimination complaint;</u>

7 authorizing certain persons to file certain complaints alleging discrimination;

8 specifying investigation procedures, duties, and responsibilities for handling

9 complaints; providing an opportunity for hearings and the adjudication of10 complaints; specifying certain remedies and sanctions; providing for judicial

review of certain decisions; specifying certain contract clauses relating to

12 nondiscrimination; specifying certain disclosure requirements; requiring the

13 Attorney General to establish a certain expert pool; providing for the debarment

14 of certain persons for certain violations of procurement law; providing for the

15 application of this Act; and generally relating to the "Commercial

16 Nondiscrimination Policy" under the State procurement law.

17 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,

18 Article - State Finance and Procurement

19 Section 16-203(a)

20 Annotated Code of Maryland

1 (2001 Replacement Volume and 2005 Supplement)

2 (2006 Replacement Volume)

3 BY adding to

- 4 Article State Finance and Procurement
- Section 19-101 through 19-121 19-120, inclusive, to be under the new title
 "Title 19. Commercial Nondiscrimination Policy"
- 7 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 8 (2001 Replacement Volume and 2005 Supplement)
- 9 (2006 Replacement Volume)

10

Preamble

11 WHEREAS, The purposes of this Act are:

12 (1) to establish a clear policy against discrimination in business on the

13 basis of sex, race, age, color, creed, or national origin, race, color, religion, ancestry or

- 14 national origin, sex, age, marital status, sexual orientation, or on the basis of
- 15 disability or any other form of unlawful discrimination;

16 (2) to establish a clear policy for the State not to enter into contracts 17 with business entities that discriminate in the solicitation, selection, or <u>commercial</u> 18 treatment of vendors, suppliers, subcontractors, or commercial customers; and

19 (3) to establish a formal complaint process and investigation process for 20 alleged violations of this policy, providing due process for hearing evidence, rendering 21 findings, and imposing sanctions for policy violations; and

WHEREAS, The General Assembly finds that in order to avoid becoming a passive participant in private sector commercial discrimination, and consistent with the requirements of the State procurement law, it is necessary to establish and firmly enforce a clear policy against discrimination in business on the basis of sex, race, age, color, creed, or national origin, <u>race</u>, color, religion, ancestry or national origin, <u>sex</u>, age, marital status, <u>sexual orientation</u>, or on the basis of disability or any other form of unlawful discrimination; and

WHEREAS, Under this policy, it is the intent of the State that it not contract with business entities that discriminate in the solicitation, selection, hiring, or treatment of vendors, suppliers, subcontractors, or commercial customers in contravention of the standards specified under the State procurement law; and

WHEREAS, Such a commercial nondiscrimination policy approach has been
favorably commented on by the United States Supreme Court in City of Richmond v.
J. A. Croson, 488 U.S. 469, 509-510 (1989), and by other federal courts; and

WHEREAS, The General Assembly has further determined that it has a
compelling interest in assuring that public funds do not serve to finance private
prejudice on the basis of sex, race, age, color, creed, or national origin race, color,

1 religion, ancestry or national origin, sex, age, marital status, sexual orientation, or on

2 the basis of disability or any other form of unlawful discrimination; and

3 WHEREAS, It is in the best interests of the State to enhance competition on

4 State projects by promoting equal opportunity and the full participation of all

5 segments of the community in a marketplace environment that is free from the effects

 $6\;$ of discrimination, in that the State is likely to benefit from a discrimination-free

7 marketplace through increased business activity, lower prices, and higher State8 revenues; and

9 WHEREAS, Through enactment of this Act, the State provides a formal 10 mechanism for receiving, investigating, and resolving complaints of discrimination 11 filed against businesses that have submitted a bid or proposal for, have been selected 12 to engage in, or are engaged in providing goods or services to the State; and

WHEREAS, The State also gives fuller meaning and effect to the goals and
objectives of this Act by including enforcement provisions that may subject violators
of the Act to possible contract termination, disqualification from participation in
State contracts and projects, or other remedial actions; now, therefore,

SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OFMARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

Article - State Finance and Procurement

20 16-203.

19

21 (a) A person may be debarred from entering into a contract with the State if 22 the person, an officer, partner, controlling stockholder or principal of that person, or 23 any other person substantially involved in that person's contracting activities has:

24 (1) been convicted under the laws of the State, another state or the 25 United States of:

26 (i) a criminal offense incident to obtaining, attempting to obtain, or 27 performing a public or private contract, except as provided in § 16-202; or

(ii) fraud, embezzlement, theft, forgery, falsification or destruction
of records, or receiving stolen property;

30 (2) been convicted of a criminal violation of an antitrust statute of the
31 State, another state, or the United States;

32 (3) been convicted of a violation of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt
33 Organization Act, or the Mail Fraud Act, for acts in connection with the submission of
34 bids or proposals for a public or private contract;

35 (4) been convicted of a violation of § 14-308 of this article;

been convicted of conspiracy to commit any act or omission that 1 (5)2 would constitute grounds for conviction under any of the laws or statutes described in 3 paragraphs (1), (2), (3), or (4) of this subsection; [or] 4 been found civilly liable under an antitrust statute of the State, (6)5 another state, or the United States for acts or omissions in connection with the 6 submission of bids or proposals for a public or private contract; OR BEEN FOUND IN A FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE ADJUDICATED DECISION 7 (7)8 TO HAVE VIOLATED THE COMMERCIAL NONDISCRIMINATION POLICY UNDER TITLE 9 19 OF THIS ARTICLE WITH REGARD TO A PUBLIC OR PRIVATE CONTRACT. 10 TITLE 19. COMMERCIAL NONDISCRIMINATION POLICY. 11 19-101. 12 (A) IT IS THE POLICY OF THE STATE NOT TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH 13 ANY BUSINESS ENTITY THAT HAS DISCRIMINATED IN THE SOLICITATION, 14 SELECTION, HIRING, OR COMMERCIAL TREATMENT OF VENDORS, SUPPLIERS, 15 SUBCONTRACTORS, OR COMMERCIAL CUSTOMERS ON THE BASIS OF SEX. RACE, AGE, 16 COLOR, CREED, OR NATIONAL ORIGIN, RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, ANCESTRY OR 17 NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, OR ON THE 18 BASIS OF DISABILITY OR ANY OTHERWISE UNLAWFUL USE OF CHARACTERISTICS 19 REGARDING THE VENDOR'S, SUPPLIER'S, OR COMMERCIAL CUSTOMER'S EMPLOYEES 20 OR OWNERS. 21 **(B)** NOTHING IN THIS TITLE SHALL BE CONSTRUED TO PROHIBIT OR LIMIT

21 (B) NOTHING IN THIS ITTLE SHALL BE CONSTRUED TO PROHIBIT OR LIMIT 22 OTHERWISE LAWFUL EFFORTS TO REMEDY THE EFFECTS OF DISCRIMINATION THAT 23 HAVE OCCURRED OR ARE OCCURRING IN THE MARKETPLACE.

24 (C) CONDUCT THAT HAS OCCURRED MORE THAN 4 YEARS PRIOR TO THE
25 FILING OF A COMPLAINT ABOUT CONDUCT THAT IS NOT ACTIONABLE UNDER THIS
26 TITLE MAY NOT BE SUBJECT TO THE FILING OF A COMPLAINT NOR BE SUBJECT TO
27 THE IMPOSITION OF SANCTIONS OR REMEDIES UNDER THIS TITLE.

28 (C) <u>A COMPLAINT OF DISCRIMINATION SHALL BE FILED WITHIN 4 YEARS</u>
 29 <u>AFTER THE DATE THE CAUSE OF ACTION ACCRUES.</u>

30 19-102.

IT IS THE INTENT OF THE STATE TO AVOID BECOMING A PASSIVE PARTICIPANT
IN PRIVATE SECTOR COMMERCIAL DISCRIMINATION BY REFUSING TO PROCURE
GOODS AND SERVICES FROM BUSINESS ENTITIES THAT DISCRIMINATE IN THE
SOLICITATION, SELECTION, HIRING, OR <u>COMMERCIAL</u> TREATMENT OF VENDORS,
SUPPLIERS, SUBCONTRACTORS, OR COMMERCIAL CUSTOMERS ON THE BASIS OF SEX,
RACE, AGE, COLOR, CREED, OR NATIONAL ORIGIN, <u>RACE, COLOR, RELIGION,</u>
<u>ANCESTRY OR NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS, SEXUAL</u>
<u>ORIENTATION,</u> OR ON THE BASIS OF DISABILITY OR OTHER UNLAWFUL FORMS OF
DISCRIMINATION BY PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR RECEIVING, INVESTIGATING,

AND RESOLVING COMPLAINTS OF DISCRIMINATION FILED AGAINST BUSINESS
 ENTITIES THAT:

3 (1) HAVE SUBMITTED A BID OR PROPOSAL; OR

4 (2) HAVE BEEN SELECTED TO ENGAGE IN, OR ARE ENGAGED IN 5 PROVIDING GOODS OR SERVICES TO THE STATE.

6 19-103.

7 (A) IN THIS TITLE THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS INDICATED.

8 (B) "ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE" MEANS THE INDIVIDUAL ASSIGNED BY
9 THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS TO CONDUCT A HEARING UNDER THIS
10 TITLE.

11 (C) "ATTORNEY GENERAL" MEANS THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MARYLAND, 12 OR A DESIGNEE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL.

(D) (C) (1) "BUSINESS ENTITY" MEANS ANY PERSON, FIRM, SOLE
 PROPRIETORSHIP, PARTNERSHIP, CORPORATION, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, OR
 OTHER BUSINESS ENTITY OR A COMBINATION OF ANY OF THESE ENTITIES,
 INCLUDING ANY FINANCIAL INSTITUTION, DEVELOPER, CONSULTANT, PRIME
 CONTRACTOR, SUBCONTRACTOR, SUPPLIER, OR VENDOR, THAT HAS SUBMITTED A
 BID OR PROPOSAL FOR, HAS BEEN SELECTED TO ENGAGE IN, OR IS ENGAGED IN
 PROVIDING GOODS OR SERVICES TO THE STATE.

20 (2) "BUSINESS ENTITY" INCLUDES:

21(I)SELLING OR LEASING SUPPLIES OR GOODS, OR PROVIDING22CONSTRUCTION, REAL ESTATE DEVELOPMENT, FINANCIAL, INSURANCE,

23 PROFESSIONAL, OR OTHER SERVICES, IN RETURN FOR A FEE OR ANY OTHER FORM

24 OF COMPENSATION PAID OR PROVIDED BY THE STATE; AND

25 (II) ANY SUBCONTRACTOR OF THE BUSINESS ENTITY.

28(2)"BUSINESS ENTITY" DOES NOT INCLUDE ANOTHER GOVERNMENTAL29ENTITY THAT IS SUBJECT TO TITLE VI OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964.

30 (E) (D) "COMMERCIAL CUSTOMER" MEANS A BUSINESS ENTITY THAT
 31 PROCURED OR ATTEMPTED TO PROCURE GOODS OR SERVICES FROM A BUSINESS
 32 ENTITY FOR BUSINESS AS OPPOSED TO PERSONAL, FAMILY, OR HOUSEHOLD USE.

33 (F) (E) "COMMERCIAL NONDISCRIMINATION POLICY" MEANS THE
 34 PROVISIONS CONTAINED UNDER THIS TITLE AND ANY REGULATIONS OR
 35 DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS ADOPTED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

MARYLAND HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION FOR THE OFFICE OF MINORITY
 AFFAIRS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS TITLE.

3 (F) "COMMISSION" MEANS THE MARYLAND HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION.

4 (G) "CONTRACT" MEANS AN AGREEMENT WITH A BUSINESS ENTITY THAT IS
5 LET BY OR ON BEHALF OF THE STATE FOR THAT BUSINESS ENTITY TO SELL OR
6 LEASE SUPPLIES OR GOODS, OR TO PROVIDE CONSTRUCTION, REAL ESTATE
7 DEVELOPMENT, FINANCIAL, INSURANCE, PROFESSIONAL, OR OTHER SERVICES TO
8 THE STATE IN RETURN FOR A FEE OR ANY OTHER FORM OF COMPENSATION TO BE
9 PAID OR PROVIDED BY THE STATE.

(H) (1) "DISCRIMINATION" MEANS ANY DISADVANTAGE, DIFFERENCE,
DISTINCTION, OR PREFERENCE IN THE SOLICITATION, SELECTION, HIRING, OR
<u>COMMERCIAL</u> TREATMENT OF A VENDOR, SUPPLIER, SUBCONTRACTOR, OR
COMMERCIAL CUSTOMER ON THE BASIS OF SEX, RACE, AGE, COLOR, CREED, OR
MATIONAL ORIGIN, RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, ANCESTRY OR NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX,
<u>AGE, MARITAL STATUS, SEXUAL ORIENTATION</u>, OR ON THE BASIS OF DISABILITY OR
ANY OTHERWISE UNLAWFUL USE OF CHARACTERISTICS REGARDING THE VENDOR'S,
SUPPLIER'S, OR COMMERCIAL CUSTOMER'S EMPLOYEES OR OWNERS.

18 (2) "DISCRIMINATION" DOES NOT INCLUDE LAWFUL EFFORTS TO
19 REMEDY THE EFFECTS OF DISCRIMINATION THAT HAVE OCCURRED OR ARE
20 OCCURRING IN THE MARKETPLACE.

21 (I) "ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROJECT" MEANS A REAL ESTATE
22 DEVELOPMENT, CONSTRUCTION, OR RENOVATION PROJECT FOR WHICH THE STATE
23 PROVIDES:

24 (1) FUNDING OR OTHER FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE, OTHER THAN 25 PAYMENTS IN EXCHANGE FOR GOODS OR SERVICES;

26 (2) LAND;

27 (3) ROAD IMPROVEMENTS;

28 (4) TAX CREDITS; OR

29 (5) A BELOW MARKET PURCHASE PRICE.

30 (J) (1) "FINANCIAL INSTITUTION" MEANS A PERSON:

(I) ENGAGED IN THE BUSINESS OF LENDING MONEY,
 GUARANTEEING LOANS, EXTENDING CREDIT, SECURING BONDS, OR PROVIDING
 VENTURE OR EQUITY CAPITAL; OR

34 (II) THAT OFFERS FINANCIAL SERVICES IN CONNECTION WITH
 35 STATE PROJECTS OR THE ADMINISTRATION OF STATE GOVERNMENT.

(2) "FINANCIAL INSTITUTION" INCLUDES BANKS, SAVINGS AND LOANS,
 VENTURE CAPITAL COMPANIES, INSURANCE COMPANIES, BONDING COMPANIES,
 MORTGAGE COMPANIES, CREDIT UNIONS, AND BROKERS.

4 (K) "OFFICE" MEANS THE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL EXECUTIVE
5 DIRECTOR OF THE MARYLAND HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION OR THE EXECUTIVE
6 DIRECTOR'S DESIGNEE.

7 (L) "PARTY" MEANS:

8 (1) THE PERSON WHO HAS FILED A COMPLAINT UNDER THIS TITLE;

9 (2) THE RESPONDENT BUSINESS ENTITY THAT HAS BEEN ALLEGED TO 10 HAVE VIOLATED THIS TITLE; AND

11(3)THE OFFICE THAT IS RESPONSIBLE FOR INVESTIGATING THE12COMPLAINT AND RENDERING THE INITIAL FINDINGS.

13 (M) "RETALIATE" MEANS TO TAKE ANY ACTION THAT HAS A MATERIAL
14 NEGATIVE EFFECT AGAINST ANY PERSON, BUSINESS OR OTHER ENTITY FOR
15 REPORTING ANY INCIDENT OF DISCRIMINATION, TESTIFYING AS A WITNESS AT A
16 HEARING, OR PROVIDING REQUESTED ASSISTANCE TO THE OFFICE IN ANY
17 INVESTIGATION OF AN INCIDENT OF DISCRIMINATION UNDER THIS TITLE.

18 (N) "SERVICES" INCLUDES CONSTRUCTION, REAL ESTATE DEVELOPMENT,19 FINANCIAL, INSURANCE, PROFESSIONAL, AND OTHER SERVICES.

20 (O) "STATE SUBCONTRACT" MEANS AN AGREEMENT FOR THE PROVISION OF
21 GOODS OR THE PERFORMANCE OF A PARTICULAR PORTION OF WORK TO BE
22 PERFORMED UNDER A CONTRACT WITH THE STATE, WHERE:

(1) THE PARTY PROVIDING THE GOODS OR SERVICES IS ON
24 REASONABLE NOTICE THAT THE WORK IS TO BE PERFORMED UNDER A STATE
25 CONTRACT; AND

26(2)THE AMOUNT TO BE PAID FOR SUCH SERVICE IS MATERIAL WITH27RESPECT TO THE OVERALL AMOUNT OF THE CONTRACT.

28 (P) "STATE SUBCONTRACTOR" MEANS THE PARTY PROVIDING GOODS OR
29 SERVICES UNDER A STATE SUBCONTRACT.

30 19-104.

31 (A) AS A CONDITION OF PARTICIPATING IN AN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
32 PROJECT, THE STATE SHALL REQUIRE THE GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY,
33 QUASI-GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY, CORPORATION, DEVELOPER, OR CONTRACTOR THAT
34 RECEIVES ASSISTANCE FROM THE STATE TO COMPLY WITH THIS TITLE:

35 (1) IN ADMINISTERING THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROJECT; AND

1(2)IN AWARDING CONTRACTS TO MANAGE OR PERFORM THE WORK2ENTAILED IN THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROJECT.

3 (B) (1) EACH CONTRACT AND SUBCONTRACT AWARDED IN CONNECTION
4 WITH AN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROJECT SHALL CONTAIN THE
5 NONDISCRIMINATION CLAUSE SET FORTH IN § 19-115 OF THIS TITLE.

6 (2) ANY CLAIM OF DISCRIMINATION RELATING TO THE ECONOMIC
7 DEVELOPMENT PROJECT SHALL BE SUBJECT TO INVESTIGATION AND
8 ADJUDICATION BY THE STATE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS TITLE.

9 (C) THIS TITLE <u>SHALL DOES</u> NOT APPLY TO:

10 (1) OTHER THAN A LEASE OF REAL ESTATE FOR THE STATE'S USE, ANY
11 REAL PROPERTY ACQUISITION BY THE STATE, INCLUDING PROPERTY SUBJECT TO
12 CONDEMNATION;

13 (2) SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION;

14 (3) EXCEPT FOR PROCEEDINGS TO ENFORCE THIS TITLE, SETTLEMENT
15 OF JUDICIAL OR ADMINISTRATIVE ENFORCEMENT PROCEEDINGS BY OR ON BEHALF
16 OF THE STATE; AND

(4) AGREEMENTS CONCERNING STANDARDS FOR LOCATING FACILITIES
 IN A STATE RIGHT-OF-WAY WHEN A BUSINESS ENTITY HAS A STATUTORY RIGHT TO
 BE IN THE RIGHT-OF-WAY; AND.

20(5)AGREEMENTS WITH NOT FOR PROFIT ENTITIES FOR THE PURPOSE21OF HAVING THE ENTITIES PERFORM FUNCTIONS THAT THE STATE IS AUTHORIZED22TO PERFORM.

23 19-105.

24 (A) THE PROVISIONS OF THIS TITLE ARE TO BE LIBERALLY CONSTRUED TO 25 ACCOMPLISH ITS OBJECTIVES AND PURPOSES.

26 (B) FOR PURPOSES OF ADMINISTRATION, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
 27 <u>COMMISSION</u> SHALL CONSTRUE THE PROVISIONS OF THIS TITLE.

28 (C) A DECISION OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL COMMISSION IS SUBJECT TO
 29 JUDICIAL REVIEW UNDER § 19-113 OF THIS TITLE.

30 19-106.

(A) ANY PERSON MAY FILE AN ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINT WITH THE
ATTORNEY GENERAL COMMISSION WITHIN THE LIMITATIONS PERIOD SET FORTH IN
§ 19-101(C) OF THIS TITLE STATING FACTS SHOWING OR TENDING TO SHOW THAT A
BUSINESS ENTITY HAS WITHIN THE PRECEDING 5-YEAR 4-YEAR PERIOD ENGAGED
IN DISCRIMINATION OR RETALIATION AGAINST THAT PERSON IN VIOLATION OF THIS
TITLE.

(B) WITHIN 10 BUSINESS DAYS, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL <u>COMMISSION</u> SHALL
 NOTIFY THE BUSINESS ENTITY AGAINST WHOM THE COMPLAINT WAS FILED THAT A
 COMPLAINT HAS BEEN RECEIVED.

4 19-107.

5 (A) THE OFFICE SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR INVESTIGATING6 DISCRIMINATION AND RETALIATION COMPLAINTS FILED UNDER THIS TITLE.

7 (B) (1) IN CONDUCTING ITS INVESTIGATION, THE OFFICE MAY ENLIST THE
8 ASSISTANCE OF ONE OR MORE INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE BEEN SELECTED BY THE
9 ATTORNEY GENERAL TO PARTICIPATE IN THE VOLUNTEER EXPERTS POOL
10 ESTABLISHED UNDER § 19 120 OF THIS TITLE.

11 (2) THE ROLE OF ANY WITNESSES SELECTED FROM THE VOLUNTEER
 12 EXPERTS POOL SHALL BE TO HELP THE OFFICE EVALUATE THE CLAIM BY
 13 PROVIDING INFORMATION REGARDING INDUSTRY CUSTOM AND PRACTICE IN A
 14 PARTICULAR AREA OF BUSINESS.

15 (C) (B) THE OFFICE MAY REQUEST THAT THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
16 <u>COMMISSION</u> PROVIDE ADDITIONAL STATE PERSONNEL OR OUTSIDE CONSULTANTS
17 AS MAY BE REASONABLY NECESSARY OR APPROPRIATE TO CONDUCT AN
18 INVESTIGATION.

19(C)(1)THE OFFICE SHALL EXERCISE REASONABLE JUDGMENT IN20SEEKING RELEVANT EVIDENCE FROM THE COMPLAINANT, THE RESPONDENT21BUSINESS ENTITY AND, AS NECESSARY, EXTERNAL SOURCES.

22 (2) THIS TITLE MAY NOT BE CONSTRUED TO REQUIRE THE STATE TO 23 FUND THE COST OF:

24 (I) HAVING STATE STAFF OR OTHER INDIVIDUALS TRAVEL
25 OUTSIDE THE MARYLAND METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA STATE TO
26 INVESTIGATE ANY CLAIM UNDER THIS TITLE; OR

27 (II) HAVING WITNESSES TRAVEL TO THE STATE FOR THE PURPOSE
28 OF INVESTIGATING A CLAIM OR TESTIFYING AT A HEARING OR PROCEEDING UNDER
29 THIS TITLE.

30(D)(1)CONSISTENT WITH THE PUBLIC INFORMATION ACT AND THE OPEN31MEETINGS ACT, THE OFFICE MAY ISSUE PROTECTIVE ORDERS FOR GOOD CAUSE TO32LIMIT, OR OTHERWISE IMPOSE CONDITIONS ON, ACCESS BY ANY PERSON TO ANY33DOCUMENT IN THE POSSESSION OF A PARTY.

34 (2) <u>A PROTECTIVE ORDER ISSUED UNDER PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS</u>
 35 <u>SUBSECTION MAY INCLUDE:</u>

36(I)A DOCUMENT IN THE POSSESSION OF THE STATE OR37OTHERWISE IN THE RECORD THAT IS NOT A PUBLIC RECORD; AND

1(II)INFORMATION DISCLOSED IN ACCORDANCE WITH § 19-116 OF2THIS SUBTITLE.
3 (E) THE OFFICE SHALL EXERCISE REASONABLE DISCRETION IN 4 DETERMINING THE EXTENT OF THE INVESTIGATION REQUIRED TO SUPPORT THE 5 ATTORNEY GENERAL'S <u>COMMISSION'S</u> INITIAL FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.
6 (F) THE OFFICE SHALL HAVE NO OBLIGATION TO INVESTIGATE COMPLAINTS 7 RELATING TO DISCRIMINATION THAT OCCURRED OUTSIDE THE MARYLAND 8 METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA, EXCEPT THAT THE OFFICE MAY DO SO IF THE 9 COMPLAINANT PROVIDES EVIDENCE OF A NEXUS BETWEEN THE DISCRIMINATION 10 THAT OCCURRED AND THE POTENTIAL FOR DISCRIMINATION IN THE MARYLAND 11 METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA.
12 (G) IN DETERMINING WHETHER DISCRIMINATION OCCURRED UNDER THIS 13 TITLE, AND IN EVALUATING THE FACTORS SET FORTH IN § 19-108(A) OF THIS TITLE, 14 THE OFFICE MAY CONSIDER EVIDENCE RELATING TO ACTS OR OMISSIONS THAT 15 OCCURRED DURING OR PRIOR TO THE 5 YEAR PERIOD BEFORE THE COMPLAINT WAS 16 FILED
17(1)THE OFFICE MAY INVESTIGATE AND ADJUDICATE A CLAIM OF18DISCRIMINATION UNDER THIS TITLE ONLY IF THE CLAIM ALLEGES THAT:
19 (I) THE DISCRIMINATION WAS COMMITTED BY A BUSINESS ENTITY 20 WITHIN THE LIMITATIONS PERIOD SET FORTH IN § 19-101(C) OF THIS TITLE; AND
21 (II) THE DISCRIMINATION OCCURRED IN THE STATE.
22 (2) DISCRIMINATION IS DEEMED TO HAVE OCCURRED IN THE STATE 23 ONLY IF:
24(I)EACH PARTY OPERATED A PLACE OF BUSINESS IN, OR RESIDED25IN, THE STATE AT THE TIME OF THE DISCRIMINATION; OR
26 (II) THE DISCRIMINATORY ACT WAS COMMITTED IN THE STATE.
27 19-108.
 (A) IN DETERMINING WHETHER TO PROCEED FURTHER WITH AN INVESTIGATION AND IN MAKING FINDINGS, THE OFFICE MAY CONSIDER ANY EVIDENCE PROVIDED BY THE COMPLAINANT OR THE RESPONDENT BUSINESS ENTITY AS TO THE FOLLOWING FACTORS:
32 (1) WHETHER THERE WAS AN INTENT TO DISCRIMINATE ON THE PART 33 OF THE RESPONDENT BUSINESS FIRM;
34 (2) WHETHER THERE WAS A PATTERN AND PRACTICE OF 25. DISCRIMINATION ON THE PART OF THE RESPONDENT PUSINESS ENTITY.

35 DISCRIMINATION ON THE PART OF THE RESPONDENT BUSINESS ENTITY;

1 (3) ANY ACTIONS TAKEN BY THE RESPONDENT BUSINESS ENTITY TO 2 REMEDY THE ALLEGED DISCRIMINATION;

3 (4) THE EFFECTIVENESS OF ANY PRIOR ATTEMPTS BY THE 4 RESPONDENT BUSINESS ENTITY TO REMEDY THE DISCRIMINATION;

5 (5) WHETHER THE RESPONDENT BUSINESS ENTITY HAS PROCURED
6 GOODS OR SERVICES FROM OR OTHERWISE ENGAGED IN BUSINESS WITH PERSONS
7 OR ENTITIES OF THE SAME PROTECTED CLASS AS THE COMPLAINANT TO AN EXTENT
8 SUFFICIENT TO DEMONSTRATE THAT THE RESPONDENT BUSINESS ENTITY HAS NOT
9 DISCRIMINATED AGAINST SUCH PROTECTED CLASS IN THE OVERALL CONTEXT OF
10 ITS BUSINESS; AND

(6) ANY OTHER EVIDENCE DEEMED RELEVANT BY THE ATTORNEY
 <u>GENERAL</u> <u>COMMISSION</u>.

13 (B) BASED ON THE OFFICE'S REVIEW AND INVESTIGATION, THE OFFICE
14 SHALL MAKE AN INITIAL FINDING OF EACH ALLEGATION STATED IN THE
15 COMPLAINT, THAT EITHER:

16 (1) THE INVESTIGATION PRODUCED SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO FIND
17 THAT THE ALLEGED DISCRIMINATION OR RETALIATION DID TAKE PLACE
18 ("SUSTAINED");

19(2)THE INVESTIGATION FAILED TO PRODUCE SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE20TO FIND WHETHER THATTHE ALLEGED DISCRIMINATION OR RETALIATION TOOK21PLACE ("NOT SUSTAINED");

22 (3) THE INVESTIGATION PRODUCED SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO FIND
 23 THAT THE ALLEGED DISCRIMINATION OR RETALIATION DID NOT TAKE PLACE
 24 ("UNFOUNDED");

(4) (3) THE INVESTIGATION PRODUCED SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO
ESTABLISH THAT THE COMPLAINANT KNOWINGLY MADE ONE OR MORE FALSE OR
FRIVOLOUS ALLEGATIONS, AND FURTHER INVESTIGATION DID NOT APPEAR LIKELY
TO PRODUCE SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE THAT THE ALLEGED DISCRIMINATION OR
RETALIATION DID TAKE PLACE ("FALSE OR FRIVOLOUS");

30(5)(4)THE ALLEGATION HAS BEEN SETTLED OR OTHERWISE31RESOLVED WITH THE AGREEMENT OF THE RESPONDENT BUSINESS ENTITY, THE32COMPLAINANT, AND THE STATE ("SETTLED"); OR

33(6)(5)THE ALLEGATION HAS BEEN WITHDRAWN WITH THE34APPROVAL OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL("WITHDRAWN").

35 (C) THE ATTORNEY GENERAL SHALL APPROVE THE WITHDRAWAL OF AN
36 ALLEGATION BY THE COMPLAINANT UNLESS THE ATTORNEY GENERAL DETERMINES
37 THAT PERMITTING THE WITHDRAWAL IS NOT IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE
38 STATE.

1 (D) IF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL DETERMINES THAT THE COMPLAINANT'S

2 WITHDRAWAL OF AN ALLEGATION IS NOT IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE STATE,

3 THEN THE ATTORNEY GENERAL MAY CONTINUE THE INVESTIGATION WITHOUT THE

4 COMPLAINANT'S PARTICIPATION AS A PARTY.

5(C)THE OFFICE SHALL MAKE THE INITIAL FINDINGS UNDER SUBSECTION (B)6OF THIS SECTION BASED ON A PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE.

7 (E) (D) ON COMPLETION OF ITS INITIAL INVESTIGATION, THE OFFICE SHALL
8 RECOMMEND TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL COMMISSION THE APPROPRIATE ACTION
9 TO BE TAKEN, INCLUDING:

10 (1) ADDITIONAL INVESTIGATION OF THE COMPLAINT;

11 (2) THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S <u>COMMISSION'S</u> ADOPTION OF THE 12 INITIAL FINDINGS RENDERED BY THE OFFICE;

13 (3) IMPOSITION OF SANCTIONS;

14 (4) IMPOSITION OF REMEDIES; OR

15 (5) OTHER ACTION CONSISTENT WITH THIS TITLE.

16 (F) (E) IN DETERMINING APPROPRIATE ACTION ON A DISCRIMINATION
17 CLAIM, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL COMMISSION MAY TAKE INTO ACCOUNT ANY
18 EVIDENCE PROVIDED OR UNCOVERED IN THE COURSE OF THE INVESTIGATION
19 REGARDING:

20 (1) THE IMPACT OF THE DISCRIMINATION ON AFFECTED PARTIES;

21(2)THE IMPACT OF ANY AUTHORIZED REMEDY ON THE STATE OR ANY22OTHER PARTY;

23 (3) ACTIONS TAKEN BY THE RESPONDENT BUSINESS ENTITY TO 24 REMEDY THE ALLEGED DISCRIMINATION;

25 (4) THE EFFECTIVENESS OF ANY PRIOR ATTEMPTS BY THE
26 RESPONDENT BUSINESS ENTITY TO REMEDY THE DISCRIMINATION;

(5) WHETHER THE RESPONDENT BUSINESS ENTITY HAS PROCURED
GOODS OR SERVICES FROM OR OTHERWISE ENGAGED IN BUSINESS WITH PERSONS
OR ENTITIES OF THE SAME PROTECTED CLASS AS THE COMPLAINANT TO AN EXTENT
SUFFICIENT TO DEMONSTRATE THAT THE RESPONDENT BUSINESS ENTITY HAS NOT
DISCRIMINATED AGAINST SUCH PROTECTED CLASS IN THE OVERALL CONTEXT OF
ITS BUSINESS;

33 (6) THE NUMBER AND SCOPE OF PRIOR VIOLATIONS OF THIS POLICY BY
 34 THE RESPONDENT BUSINESS ENTITY; AND

35 (7) ANY OTHER EVIDENCE DETERMINED TO BE RELEVANT BY THE
 36 ATTORNEY GENERAL COMMISSION.

(G) (F) (1) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN PARAGRAPH (2) OF THIS SUBSECTION,
 THE ATTORNEY GENERAL COMMISSION SHALL MAKE THE INITIAL FINDINGS AND
 ISSUE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION WITHIN 120 CALENDAR DAYS
 OF THE RECEIPT OF THE COMPLAINT.

5 (2) THE ATTORNEY GENERAL COMMISSION MAY:

6 (I) EXTEND THE TIME LIMIT SET FORTH IN PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS 7 SUBSECTION FOR GOOD CAUSE OR IF THE PARTIES AGREE TO MEDIATE A 8 SETTLEMENT TO THE COMPLAINT<u>; AND</u>

9 <u>(II)</u> <u>IF MEDIATION IS UNSUCCESSFUL, REFER THE MATTER TO THE</u> 10 <u>OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS</u>.

(H) (G) THE ATTORNEY GENERAL COMMISSION SHALL NOTIFY THE
 COMPLAINANT AND THE BUSINESS ENTITY WITHIN 5 BUSINESS DAYS OF THE
 ISSUANCE OF THE INITIAL FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS, INCLUDING AN
 EXPLANATION OF THE REASONS JUSTIFYING THE INITIAL FINDINGS.

(I) (1) FAILURE BY A PARTY TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS OR OTHER
 EVIDENCE RELEVANT TO AN INVESTIGATION UNDER THIS ARTICLE WITHIN 30 DAYS
 AFTER THE DOCUMENTS ARE REQUESTED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
 CONSTITUTES A VIOLATION OF THIS TITLE.

19(2)THE ATTORNEY GENERAL MAY RECOMMEND ANY REMEDY OR20SANCTION AUTHORIZED BY THIS TITLE AGAINST ANY PARTY WHO VIOLATES THIS21SUBSECTION.

22 19-109.

(A) IF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL COMMISSION DETERMINES THAT ONE OR
MORE ALLEGATIONS ARE SUSTAINED OR THAT A COMPLAINANT HAS SUBMITTED A
KNOWINGLY FALSE OR FRIVOLOUS COMPLAINT, THE BUSINESS ENTITY AGAINST
WHOM THE ALLEGATIONS WERE MADE OR THE COMPLAINANT WHO IS CLAIMED TO
HAVE SUBMITTED A KNOWINGLY FALSE OR FRIVOLOUS COMPLAINT SHALL BE
ENTITLED TO REQUEST A CONTESTED CASE HEARING IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE
PROCEDURES SPECIFIED IN THIS SECTION.

(B) (1) TO SUBMIT A MATTER TO AN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING AS A
(CONTESTED CASE UNDER THIS TITLE, THE BUSINESS ENTITY <u>OR THE COMPLAINANT</u>
MUST REQUEST A CONTESTED CASE HEARING BY FILING A WRITTEN NOTICE WITH
THE ATTORNEY GENERAL COMMISSION AND THE COMPLAINANT WITHIN 15
CALENDAR DAYS OF NOTICE OF THE INITIAL FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.

35 (2) THE NOTICE MUST CONTAIN THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION:

36 (I) A DEMAND THAT THE MATTER BE REFERRED TO THE OFFICE
37 OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR A CONTESTED CASE HEARING IN ACCORDANCE
38 WITH § 10-205(C)(2) OF THE STATE GOVERNMENT ARTICLE;

UNOFFICIAL COPY OF HOUSE BILL 1486 THE NAMES, ADDRESSES, AND TELEPHONE NUMBERS OF THE (II)2 ATTORNEY GENERAL COMMISSION, THE BUSINESS ENTITY'S REPRESENTATIVES, 3 AND THE COMPLAINANT OTHER PARTY; A REFERENCE TO THIS TITLE; AND (III) (IV)A SUMMARY OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S COMMISSION'S 6 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS THAT ARE BEING SUBMITTED FOR RESOLUTION 7 TO THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE FOR THE CONTESTED CASE HEARING. IF THE BUSINESS ENTITY FAILS TO PROPERLY REQUEST A CONTESTED (C) 9 CASE HEARING, THE INITIAL FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE ATTORNEY 10 GENERAL COMMISSION SHALL BECOME THE FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION OF 11 THE STATE, AND THE ATTORNEY GENERAL COMMISSION SHALL THEN BE 12 AUTHORIZED TO ENTER ANY ORDER AND TO TAKE ANY ACTION REASONABLY

13 NECESSARY OR CONVENIENT TO:

14 (1)IMPLEMENT REMEDIES UNDER § 19-110 OF THIS TITLE;

15 IMPOSE SANCTIONS UNDER § 19-111 OF THIS TITLE; AND (2)

GOVERN THE CONDUCT OF THE PARTIES IN THE MANNER 16 (3)17 DESCRIBED UNDER § 19-112 OF THIS TITLE SO THAT THE PURPOSES OF THIS TITLE 18 ARE ACHIEVED.

FOR EACH CONTESTED CASE HEARING PROPERLY REQUESTED 19 (D) (1)(I) 20 BY THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR BY THE COMPLAINANT, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 21 COMMISSION SHALL DELEGATE THE MATTER TO THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE 22 HEARINGS TO ASSIGN AN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE TO CONDUCT A HEARING IN 23 A MANNER CONSISTENT WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THIS SUBSECTION.

AT THE CONTESTED CASE HEARING, THE COMMISSION SHALL 24 (II) 25 HAVE THE BURDEN OF PROOF BY A PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE.

THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS SHALL CONDUCT ANY 26 (2)27 CONTESTED CASE HEARING IN ACCORDANCE WITH ITS RULES OF PROCEDURE 28 UNDER COMAR 28.02.01.

WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER NOTICE TO THE PARTIES OF THE 29 (2)30 COMMENCEMENT OF THE CONTESTED CASE HEARING BY THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW 31 JUDGE, THE BUSINESS ENTITY SHALL FILE A WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE ATTORNEY 32 GENERAL'S FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDED ACTIONS, ALONG WITH ANY CLAIMS 33 THAT THE BUSINESS ENTITY MAY HAVE UNDER THIS TITLE.

(3)THE RESPONSE OF THE BUSINESS ENTITY SHALL STATE IN DETAIL 34 35 ALL GROUNDS ON WHICH THE BUSINESS ENTITY CONTENDS THAT THE ATTORNEY 36 GENERAL'S FINDINGS OR RECOMMENDATIONS ARE NOT APPROPRIATE UNDER THIS 37 TITLE.

14

1

4

5

1(4)AT LEAST 20 DAYS BEFORE THE HEARING, EACH PARTY SHALL2PROVIDE TO THE OTHER PARTIES COPIES OF ALL DOCUMENTS THAT THE PARTY3INTENDS TO INTRODUCE AS EVIDENCE AT THE HEARING.	
4 (5) THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE MAY ENTER ANY ORDER THAT IS 5 DETERMINED TO BE REASONABLY NECESSARY OR CONVENIENT TO:	
6 (I) GOVERN THE CONDUCT OF THE HEARING AND THE PARTIES SO 7 THAT THE PURPOSES OF THIS TITLE ARE ACHIEVED;	
8 (II) CONCLUDE ANY HEARING AND ISSUE ANY DECISION WITHIN A 9 REASONABLE TIME; AND	
10(III)CONDUCT ANY HEARING IN A MANNER THAT IS CONSISTENT11WITH THIS TITLE AND ANY DUE PROCESS RIGHTS TO WHICH ANY PARTY IS12ENTITLED.	
13(6)THE HEARING SHALL AFFORD ALL PARTIES AN OPPORTUNITY TO14PRESENT WITNESSES, CONDUCT DIRECT AND CROSS EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES,15INTRODUCE RELEVANT EVIDENCE, SUBMIT BRIEFS, AND PRESENT ORAL ARGUMENT.	
 16 (7) THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE MAY ISSUE A PROTECTIVE ORDER 17 FOR GOOD CAUSE AS IS LAWFUL AND AS THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 18 DETERMINES TO BE APPROPRIATE TO: 	
 (I) LIMIT, OR OTHERWISE IMPOSE CONDITIONS ON, ACCESS BY ANY PERSON TO ANY DOCUMENT IN THE POSSESSION OF A PARTY, INCLUDING ANY DOCUMENT IN THE STATE'S POSSESSION OR IN THE RECORD OF THE HEARING THAT IS NOT A PUBLIC RECORD; AND 	
23(II)CLOSE ALL OR ANY PORTION OF THE HEARING OR OTHERWISE24IMPOSE CONDITIONS ON ACCESS TO THE HEARING BY ANY PERSON.	
 (8) WITHOUT LIMITING THE SCOPE OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S AUTHORITY TO ISSUE PROTECTIVE ORDERS, IT IS UNDERSTOOD THAT THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE MAY LIMIT, OR OTHERWISE IMPOSE CONDITIONS ON, A PARTY'S ACCESS TO RECORDS OR PRESENCE DURING THE HEARING ONLY TO THE EXTENT THE LIMITS OR CONDITIONS CAN BE IMPOSED IN A MANNER THAT IS CONSISTENT WITH THE RIGHT, IF ANY, A PARTY MAY HAVE TO ACCESS THE RECORDS OR BE PRESENT DURING THE HEARING UNDER APPLICABLE LAW, INCLUDING EXPRESS PROVISIONS OF THIS TITLE. 	
 33 (9) BASED ON THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED AT THE CONTESTED CASE 34 HEARING, AND WITHIN 30 CALENDAR DAYS AFTER THE HEARING, THE 35 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SHALL PREPARE A WRITTEN DECISION. 	
36(10)(3)(I)AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE CONTESTED CASE HEARING,37THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SHALL ISSUE A WRITTEN DECISION.	٤
38 (II) THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S WRITTEN DECISION MAY:	

1.

1 (J) 2 RECOMMENDATIONS:

3 (H) <u>2.</u> SUBSTITUTE DIFFERENT FINDINGS OR RECOMMEND 4 APPROPRIATE REMEDIES; OR

5 (HI) <u>3.</u> CONTINUE THE HEARING AND RETURN THE CASE TO THE
6 ATTORNEY GENERAL COMMISSION FOR FURTHER INVESTIGATION AND FINDINGS
7 AND TO REPORT TO THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ON THE RESULTS OF THE
8 INVESTIGATION WITHIN THE TIME FRAME THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE MAY
9 SPECIFY.

AFFIRM OR REJECT THE INITIAL FINDINGS AND

(11) (4) THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S WRITTEN DECISION SHALL
 BE SOLELY BASED ON A PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE CONTAINED IN THE
 RECORD OF THE CONTESTED CASE HEARING AND SHALL REFLECT THE
 EVIDENTIARY BASIS FOR ITS FINDINGS.

14 (E) NOTWITHSTANDING ANY CONTRARY PROVISION IN THIS TITLE, UNLESS
15 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE FINDS THAT ONE OR MORE ALLEGATIONS GIVING
16 RISE TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S COMMISSION'S FINDINGS OR THE BUSINESS
17 ENTITY'S CHALLENGE TO THE FINDINGS WERE FRIVOLOUS OR KNOWINGLY FALSE
18 WHEN MADE, EACH PARTY SHALL BEAR THE COST OF ITS OWN LEGAL
19 REPRESENTATION AND EXPERT WITNESS FEES.

(F) IF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE FINDS THAT ONE OR MORE
ALLEGATIONS GIVING RISE TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S COMMISSION'S FINDINGS
OR THE BUSINESS ENTITY'S CHALLENGE TO THE FINDINGS WAS FRIVOLOUS OR
KNOWINGLY FALSE WHEN MADE, THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE MAY REQUIRE
THE PARTY WHO MADE THE FRIVOLOUS OR KNOWINGLY FALSE ALLEGATIONS TO
BEAR ALL OR A PORTION OF THE OTHER PARTY'S LEGAL FEES AND EXPERT WITNESS
FEES.

(G) THE BUSINESS ENTITY, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL COMMISSION, AND THE
28 COMPLAINANT SHALL COOPERATE IN GOOD FAITH TO HAVE THE CONTESTED CASE
29 HEARING CONCLUDED WITHIN 90 180 DAYS AFTER THE BUSINESS ENTITY ISSUES ITS
30 NOTICE FOR A CONTESTED CASE HEARING.

31 (H) DURING THE CONTESTED CASE HEARING, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OR
32 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE MAY CALL AS WITNESSES ONE OR MORE
33 INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE BEEN SELECTED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO
34 PARTICIPATE IN THE VOLUNTEER EXPERT POOL AS DESCRIBED IN § 19 120 OF THIS
35 TITLE FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING TESTIMONY REGARDING INDUSTRY
36 CUSTOM AND PRACTICE IN A PARTICULAR AREA OF BUSINESS.

37 (I) (H) IF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL COMMISSION DETERMINES THAT ONE
38 OR MORE ALLEGATIONS ARE FALSE OR FRIVOLOUS, THE COMPLAINANT MAKING THE
39 ALLEGATIONS SHALL BE ENTITLED TO A CONTESTED CASE HEARING ON THE
40 ALLEGATIONS THAT ARE DETERMINED TO BE FALSE OR FRIVOLOUS IN ACCORDANCE
41 WITH THE PROCEDURES SET FORTH IN THIS SECTION, EXCEPT THAT ALL

REFERENCES TO THE BUSINESS ENTITY WITH RESPECT TO MATTERS OF CONTESTED
 CASE HEARING RULES AND PROCEDURE SHALL APPLY TO THE COMPLAINANT.

3 (J) (I) IF THE COMPLAINANT FAILS TO PROPERLY REQUEST A CONTESTED
4 CASE HEARING REGARDING A DETERMINATION OF A FALSE OR FRIVOLOUS
5 ALLEGATION AS PROVIDED IN THIS TITLE, THE INITIAL FINDINGS AND
6 RECOMMENDATIONS SHALL BECOME THE FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION OF THE
7 STATE IN ACCORDANCE WITH § 19-112 OF THIS TITLE.

8 (K) ABSENT GOOD CAUSE, FAILURE BY A PARTY TO PRODUCE RELEVANT
9 DOCUMENTS OR OTHER EVIDENCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH AN INITIAL
10 INVESTIGATION OR TO A CONTESTED CASE HEARING UNDER THIS TITLE WITHIN 30
11 DAYS AFTER THE DOCUMENTS ARE REQUESTED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OR
12 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SHALL CONSTITUTE A VIOLATION OF THIS TITLE,
13 AND IN THE EVENT OF A VIOLATION THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE MAY ORDER
14 ANY REMEDY, SANCTION, OR ANY COMBINATION OF BOTH AUTHORIZED BY THIS
15 TITLE.

16 (L) (J) (1) IF A TIMELY CONTESTED CASE HEARING IS NOT REQUESTED,
17 THE ATTORNEY GENERAL COMMISSION MAY VACATE THE OFFICE'S RECOMMENDED
18 REMEDY ON WRITTEN NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES WITHIN 5 BUSINESS DAYS AFTER THE
19 TIME FOR REQUESTING A CONTESTED CASE HEARING HAS EXPIRED.

20(2)IN THE ABSENCE OF NOTICE, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL COMMISSION21SHALL BE DEEMED TO HAVE APPROVED THE OFFICE'S RECOMMENDED REMEDY.

(M) (K) IF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL COMMISSION VACATES THE OFFICE'S
PROPOSED REMEDY, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL COMMISSION SHALL INITIATE A
CONTESTED CASE HEARING BY FILING A REQUEST FOR A CONTESTED CASE
HEARING WITH THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS IN COMPLIANCE WITH
THE REQUIREMENTS OF THIS TITLE.

27 (N) THE SCOPE OF THE CONTESTED CASE HEARING PROVIDED UNDER THIS
28 POLICY SHALL BE LIMITED TO WHETHER THIS TITLE HAS BEEN PROPERLY APPLIED,
29 AND ANY CHALLENGES TO THE LEGALITY OF THE TITLE ITSELF MUST BE BROUGHT
30 IN A COURT OF COMPETENT JURISDICTION.

31 (O) (L) TO THE EXTENT THAT PROCEDURES AND STANDARDS STATED IN
32 THIS TITLE DIFFER FROM THOSE CONTAINED IN TITLE 10, SUBTITLE 2 OF THE STATE
33 GOVERNMENT ARTICLE, THIS TITLE SHALL GOVERN BUT IN ALL OTHER RESPECTS,
34 THE PROVISIONS OF THE STATE GOVERNMENT ARTICLE SHALL GOVERN.

35 19-110.

36 (A) WHEN AN ALLEGATION IS SUSTAINED BY AN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW
37 JUDGE UNDER THIS TITLE, THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE MAY TAKE
38 ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE ON THE APPROPRIATE REMEDY TO BE RECOMMENDED,
39 INCLUDING EVIDENCE RELATING TO FACTORS SET FORTH IN § 19-108(F) OF THIS
40 SUBTITLE AND ANY OTHER EVIDENCE DEEMED RELEVANT BY THE ADMINISTRATIVE
41 LAW JUDGE.

(B) IF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SUSTAINS AN ALLEGATION, THE
 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SHALL MAY ORDER ANY ONE OR MORE OF THE
 FOLLOWING ACTIONS:

4 (1) ANY REMEDY THAT IS AGREED TO BY THE RESPONDENT BUSINESS
5 ENTITY, THE COMPLAINANT, AND THE ATTORNEY GENERAL COMMISSION;
6 PROVIDED THAT, IF THE COMPLAINANT OBJECTS TO THE REMEDY AGREED TO BY
7 THE RESPONDENT BUSINESS ENTITY AND THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, THE REMEDY
8 MAY BE CONSIDERED AS APPROVED BY THE STATE ONLY IF THE ATTORNEY
9 GENERAL APPROVES THE REMEDY AFTER AFFORDING THE COMPLAINANT AN
10 OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS THE ATTORNEY GENERAL ORALLY OR IN WRITING
11 REGARDING THE MERITS OR LACK OF MERIT OF THE PROPOSED REMEDY;

12(2)REFERRAL OF THE RESPONDENT BUSINESS ENTITY TO THE BOARD13OF PUBLIC WORKS FOR A DETERMINATION OF DEBARMENT PURSUANT TO §§ 16-30614AND 16-307 OF THIS ARTICLE TO PRECLUDE THE BUSINESS ENTITY FROM:

15(I)BIDDING ON OR RECEIVING CONTRACT AWARDS ON STATE16 PROJECTS; AND

17 (II) PARTICIPATING IN STATE CONTRACTS AS A SUBCONTRACTOR,
18 VENDOR, OR SUPPLIER FOR A PERIOD OF NOT MORE THAN 3 YEARS;

19(3)RESCISSION, SUSPENSION, OR TERMINATION OF ANY CURRENT20CONTRACT BETWEEN THE RESPONDENT BUSINESS ENTITY AND THE STATE UNDER21THE TERMS OF THAT CONTRACT;

(4) EXERCISE OF ANY OTHER RIGHTS OR REMEDIES AVAILABLE TO THE
STATE UNDER ANY CURRENT CONTRACT BETWEEN THE RESPONDENT BUSINESS
ENTITY AND THE STATE, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, LIQUIDATED DAMAGES;

(5) FINDING THAT THE RESPONDENT BUSINESS ENTITY IS NOT A
"RESPONSIBLE BIDDER" WITHIN THE MEANING OF THIS ARTICLE WITH RESPECT TO
SPECIFIC CONTRACTS THAT THE STATE HAS PUT OUT FOR BIDS OR INTENDS TO PUT
OUT FOR BIDS; OR

29 (6) REFERRAL OF THE MATTER FOR CRIMINAL PROSECUTION OF FRAUD
30 AND OTHER VIOLATIONS UNDER STATE LAW IF APPROPRIATE UNDER THE
31 CIRCUMSTANCES; OR

32 <u>(7)</u> <u>MEDIATION</u>.

33 19-111.

(A) IF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL COMMISSION DETERMINES THAT ONE OR
MORE ALLEGATIONS OF A COMPLAINT FILED UNDER THIS TITLE ARE FALSE AND
THAT THE COMPLAINANT KNEW THEM TO BE FALSE WHEN FILED, OR THAT ONE OR
MORE OF THE ALLEGATIONS OF A COMPLAINT ARE SO FRIVOLOUS THAT THEY ARE
WHOLLY AND WITHOUT MERIT, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL COMMISSION MAY REFUSE

TO REVIEW OR INVESTIGATE ANY SUBSEQUENT COMPLAINT FILED BY THAT
 COMPLAINANT FOR A PERIOD OF UP TO 3 YEARS.

3 (B) WHEN THE TOTALITY OF THE EVIDENCE CLEARLY WARRANTS
4 EXTRAORDINARY ACTION TO DETER FUTURE ABUSE OF THE PROTECTIONS MADE
5 AVAILABLE UNDER THIS TITLE, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL COMMISSION MAY ALSO
6 RECOMMEND TO THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:

7 (1) THAT MONETARY SANCTIONS BE IMPOSED AGAINST THE
8 COMPLAINANT IN THE AMOUNT OF THE COSTS INCURRED BY THE STATE AND THE
9 BUSINESS ENTITY IN THE INVESTIGATION AND REVIEW OF THE FALSE OR
10 FRIVOLOUS COMPLAINT, INCLUDING REASONABLE ATTORNEYS' FEES; AND

(2) THAT THE COMPLAINANT BE DISQUALIFIED FROM BIDDING AND
 CONTRACT AWARDS ON STATE PROJECTS FOR A PERIOD OF NOT MORE THAN 3
 YEARS.

14 19-112.

15 (A) ABSENT A REQUEST FOR A CONTESTED CASE HEARING, THE ATTORNEY
16 GENERAL'S COMMISSION'S FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS SHALL BECOME THE
17 FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION OF THE STATE, SUBJECT TO SUCH JUDICIAL
18 REVIEW AS IS PROVIDED FOR IN A CONTESTED CASE UNDER § 10-222 OF THE STATE
19 GOVERNMENT ARTICLE.

(B) IN CASES WHERE A CONTESTED CASE HEARING HAS BEEN REQUESTED,
THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S WRITTEN DECISION SHALL BECOME THE FINAL
ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION OF THE STATE SUBJECT TO JUDICIAL REVIEW AS
PROVIDED FOR IN A CONTESTED CASE UNDER § 10-222 OF THE STATE GOVERNMENT
ARTICLE.

25 (C) ON ISSUANCE OF A FINAL DECISION, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
26 <u>COMMISSION</u> OR THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE MAY ENTER ANY ORDER
27 REASONABLY NECESSARY OR CONVENIENT TO GOVERN THE CONDUCT OF THE
28 STATE AND THE PARTIES SO THAT THE PURPOSES OF THIS TITLE ARE ACHIEVED.

29 19-113.

ANY PARTY WHO, AFTER HAVING EXHAUSTED ALL ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES
 AVAILABLE, IS AGGRIEVED BY A FINAL DECISION OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
 <u>COMMISSION</u> OR THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE MAY SEEK JUDICIAL REVIEW OF
 SUCH DECISION UNDER § 10-222 OF THE STATE GOVERNMENT ARTICLE.

34 19-114.

EVERY CONTRACT AND SUBCONTRACT SHALL CONTAIN A NONDISCRIMINATION
 CLAUSE THAT READS AS FOLLOWS:

37 "AS A CONDITION OF ENTERING INTO THIS AGREEMENT, THE COMPANY
38 REPRESENTS AND WARRANTS THAT IT WILL FULLY COMPLY WITH THE STATE'S

1 COMMERCIAL NONDISCRIMINATION POLICY. AS DESCRIBED UNDER TITLE 19 OF THE 2 STATE FINANCE AND PROCUREMENT ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF 3 MARYLAND, AND CONSENTS TO BE BOUND BY ANY FINAL DECISION RENDERED 4 THEREUNDER. AS PART OF SUCH COMPLIANCE, THE COMPANY MAY NOT 5 DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF SEX, RACE, AGE, COLOR, CREED, OR NATIONAL 6 ORIGIN, RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, ANCESTRY OR NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, 7 MARITAL STATUS, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, OR ON THE BASIS OF DISABILITY OR 8 OTHER UNLAWFUL FORMS OF DISCRIMINATION IN THE SOLICITATION, SELECTION, 9 HIRING, OR COMMERCIAL TREATMENT OF SUBCONTRACTORS, VENDORS, SUPPLIERS, 10 OR COMMERCIAL CUSTOMERS, NOR SHALL THE COMPANY RETALIATE AGAINST ANY 11 PERSON FOR REPORTING INSTANCES OF SUCH DISCRIMINATION. THE COMPANY 12 SHALL PROVIDE EQUAL OPPORTUNITY FOR SUBCONTRACTORS, VENDORS, AND 13 SUPPLIERS TO PARTICIPATE IN ALL OF ITS PUBLIC SECTOR AND PRIVATE SECTOR 14 SUBCONTRACTING AND SUPPLY OPPORTUNITIES, PROVIDED THAT NOTHING 15 CONTAINED IN THIS CLAUSE SHALL PROHIBIT OR LIMIT OTHERWISE LAWFUL 16 EFFORTS TO REMEDY THE EFFECTS OF MARKETPLACE DISCRIMINATION THAT HAVE 17 OCCURRED OR ARE OCCURRING IN THE MARKETPLACE. THE COMPANY 18 UNDERSTANDS AND AGREES THAT A MATERIAL VIOLATION OF THIS CLAUSE SHALL 19 BE CONSIDERED A MATERIAL BREACH OF THIS AGREEMENT AND MAY RESULT IN 20 TERMINATION OF THIS AGREEMENT, DISOUALIFICATION OF THE COMPANY FROM 21 PARTICIPATING IN STATE CONTRACTS, OR OTHER SANCTIONS. THIS CLAUSE IS NOT 22 ENFORCEABLE BY OR FOR THE BENEFIT OF, AND CREATES NO OBLIGATION TO, ANY 23 THIRD PARTY.".

24 19-115.

ALL REQUESTS FOR BIDS OR PROPOSALS ISSUED FOR STATE CONTRACTS SHALL
 INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING CERTIFICATION TO BE COMPLETED BY THE BIDDER:

27 "THE UNDERSIGNED BIDDER HEREBY CERTIFIES AND AGREES THAT THE28 FOLLOWING INFORMATION IS CORRECT:

29 IN PREPARING ITS BID ON THIS PROJECT, THE BIDDER HAS CONSIDERED ALL 30 PROPOSALS SUBMITTED FROM QUALIFIED, POTENTIAL SUBCONTRACTORS AND 31 SUPPLIERS, AND HAS NOT ENGAGED IN "DISCRIMINATION" AS DEFINED IN § 19-103 32 OF THE STATE GOVERNMENT ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND; TO 33 WIT: DISCRIMINATION IN THE SOLICITATION, SELECTION, OR COMMERCIAL 34 TREATMENT OF ANY SUBCONTRACTOR, VENDOR, SUPPLIER, OR COMMERCIAL 35 CUSTOMER ON THE BASIS OF SEX, RACE, AGE, COLOR, CREED, OR NATIONAL ORIGIN, 36 RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, ANCESTRY OR NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, MARITAL 37 STATUS, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, OR ON THE BASIS OF DISABILITY OR OTHER 38 UNLAWFUL FORMS OF DISCRIMINATION. WITHOUT LIMITING THE FOREGOING, 39 "DISCRIMINATION" ALSO INCLUDES RETALIATING AGAINST ANY PERSON OR OTHER 40 ENTITY FOR REPORTING ANY INCIDENT OF "DISCRIMINATION". WITHOUT LIMITING 41 ANY OTHER PROVISION OF THE SOLICITATION FOR BIDS ON THIS PROJECT, IT IS 42 UNDERSTOOD AND AGREED THAT, IF THIS CERTIFICATION IS FALSE, SUCH FALSE 43 CERTIFICATION WILL CONSTITUTE GROUNDS FOR THE STATE TO REJECT THE BID 44 SUBMITTED BY THE BIDDER ON THIS PROJECT, AND TERMINATE ANY CONTRACT 45 AWARDED BASED ON THE BID. AS A CONDITION OF CONTRACTING WITH THE STATE,

1 THE BIDDER AGREES TO PROMPTLY PROVIDE TO THE STATE ALL INFORMATION AND 2 DOCUMENTATION THAT MAY BE REQUESTED BY THE STATE FROM TIME TO TIME 3 REGARDING THE SOLICITATION AND SELECTION OF SUBCONTRACTORS. FAILURE TO 4 MAINTAIN OR FAILURE TO PROVIDE THE INFORMATION CONSTITUTES GROUNDS 5 FOR THE STATE TO REJECT THE BID SUBMITTED BY THE BIDDER AND TERMINATE 6 ANY CONTRACT AWARDED ON THE BID. AS PART OF ITS BID OR PROPOSAL, THE 7 BIDDER SHALL PROVIDE TO THE STATE A LIST OF ALL INSTANCES WITHIN THE 8 IMMEDIATE PAST 5 4 YEARS WHERE A COMPLAINT WAS FILED OR PENDING AGAINST 9 THE BIDDER THERE HAS BEEN A FINAL ADJUDICATED DETERMINATION IN A LEGAL 10 OR ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING ALLEGING IN THE STATE OF MARYLAND THAT 11 THE BIDDER DISCRIMINATED AGAINST ITS SUBCONTRACTORS, VENDORS, 12 SUPPLIERS, OR COMMERCIAL CUSTOMERS, AND A DESCRIPTION OF THE STATUS OR 13 RESOLUTION OF THAT COMPLAINT, INCLUDING ANY REMEDIAL ACTION TAKEN. AS A 14 CONDITION OF SUBMITTING A BID OR PROPOSAL TO THE STATE, THE BIDDER 15 AGREES TO COMPLY WITH THE STATE'S COMMERCIAL NONDISCRIMINATION POLICY 16 AS DESCRIBED UNDER TITLE 19 OF THE STATE FINANCE AND PROCUREMENT 17 ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND, AND CONSENTS TO BE BOUND BY 18 ANY FINAL DECISION ISSUED THEREUNDER.".

19 19-116.

20 EVERY CONTRACT THAT THE STATE ENTERS INTO SHALL INCLUDE THE 21 FOLLOWING LANGUAGE:

22 "AS A CONDITION OF ENTERING INTO THIS AGREEMENT, UPON THE MARYLAND 23 HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION'S REQUEST, AND ONLY AFTER THE FILING OF A 24 COMPLAINT AGAINST THE COMPANY UNDER TITLE 19 OF THE STATE FINANCE AND 25 PROCUREMENT ARTICLE, AS AMENDED FROM TIME TO TIME, THE COMPANY AGREES 26 TO: (A) PROMPTLY PROVIDE TO THE STATE ALL INFORMATION AND DOCUMENTATION 27 THAT MAY BE REQUESTED BY THE STATE FROM TIME TO TIME REGARDING THE 28 SOLICITATION, SELECTION, TREATMENT AND PAYMENT OF SUBCONTRACTORS IN 29 CONNECTION WITH THIS AGREEMENT; AND (B) IF REQUESTED, PROVIDE TO THE 30 STATE WITHIN 60 DAYS AFTER THE REQUEST A TRUTHFUL AND COMPLETE LIST OF 31 THE NAMES OF ALL SUBCONTRACTORS, VENDORS, AND SUPPLIERS THAT THE 32 COMPANY HAS USED IN THE PAST 5 4 YEARS ON ANY OF ITS CONTRACTS THAT WERE 33 UNDERTAKEN WITHIN THE STATE OF MARYLAND STATE METROPOLITAN 34 STATISTICAL AREA, INCLUDING THE TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT PAID BY THE 35 CONTRACTOR ON EACH SUBCONTRACT OR SUPPLY CONTRACT. THE COMPANY 36 FURTHER AGREES TO FULLY COOPERATE IN ANY INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED BY 37 THE STATE PURSUANT TO THE STATE'S COMMERCIAL NONDISCRIMINATION POLICY 38 AS SET FORTH UNDER TITLE 19 OF THE STATE FINANCE AND PROCUREMENT 39 ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND, TO PROVIDE ANY DOCUMENTS 40 RELEVANT TO ANY INVESTIGATION THAT IS REQUESTED BY THE STATE, AND TO BE 41 BOUND BY ANY FINAL DECISION ISSUED UNDER THAT POLICY. THE COMPANY 42 UNDERSTANDS AND AGREES THAT VIOLATION OF THIS CLAUSE SHALL BE 43 CONSIDERED A MATERIAL BREACH OF THIS AGREEMENT AND MAY RESULT IN 44 CONTRACT TERMINATION, DISQUALIFICATION BY THE STATE FROM PARTICIPATING 45 IN STATE CONTRACTS, AND OTHER SANCTIONS.".

1 19-117.

THE REMEDIES PROVIDED BY THIS TITLE ARE IN ADDITION TO ANY OTHER
STATUTORY, LEGAL, OR EQUITABLE REMEDIES THAT MAY BE AVAILABLE AND ARE
NOT INTENDED TO BE PREREQUISITE TO OR EXCLUSIVE OF ANY OTHER REMEDIES.

5 19-118.

6 THE FILING, INVESTIGATION, HEARING, AND APPEAL OF A COMPLAINT UNDER
7 THIS TITLE MAY NOT HINDER OR AFFECT THE <u>ELIGIBILITY FOR BIDDING</u>, AWARD OF,
8 PERFORMANCE OF, OR PAYMENT ON A CONTRACT PRIOR TO A FINAL
9 ADMINISTRATIVE ADJUDICATED DECISION THAT ESTABLISHES A VIOLATION.

10 19-119.

(A) THE ATTORNEY GENERAL <u>COMMISSION</u> SHALL RECOMMEND
 REGULATIONS AS MAY BE REQUIRED FROM TIME TO TIME TO IMPLEMENT THIS
 TITLE.

14 (B) THE ATTORNEY GENERAL COMMISSION MAY ESTABLISH
15 DOCUMENTATION AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS TO FURTHER THE PURPOSES
16 AND INTENT OF THIS TITLE.

17 19-120.

(A) (1) WITHIN 60 DAYS AFTER THIS TITLE BECOMES LAW, THE ATTORNEY
 GENERAL SHALL APPOINT A VOLUNTEER EXPERTS POOL TO SERVE AS ADVISORS TO
 THE ATTORNEY GENERAL IN INVESTIGATING DISCRIMINATION CLAIMS AND AS
 EXPERT WITNESSES IN HEARINGS UNDER THIS TITLE.

22(2)AS REQUESTED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OR THE23ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE, THE VOLUNTEER EXPERT POOL SHALL:

26 (II) PROVIDE TESTIMONY AT HEARINGS UNDER THIS TITLE ON
 27 MATTERS RELATING TO INDUSTRY CUSTOM AND PRACTICE IN A PARTICULAR LINE
 28 OF BUSINESS.

29 (B) (1) NOTICE OF VOLUNTEER OPPORTUNITIES IN THE VOLUNTEER
 30 EXPERT POOL SHALL BE POSTED IN LOCAL NEWSPAPERS AT LEAST 15 DAYS PRIOR TO
 31 THE DEADLINE FOR SUBMITTING APPLICATIONS.

32 (2) THE VOLUNTEER EXPERT POOL SHALL BE LIMITED TO 15
 33 INDIVIDUALS, PROVIDED THAT THE ATTORNEY GENERAL MAY INCREASE THE
 34 NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS IN THE POOL FROM TIME TO TIME TO PROVIDE SPECIFIC
 35 EXPERTISE THAT MAY POTENTIALLY BE NEEDED TO ASSIST IN EVALUATING A
 36 DISCRIMINATION CLAIM IN A MATTER UNDER THIS TITLE.

1 19 121.

2 THIS TITLE AND ANY REGULATIONS ADOPTED UNDER THIS TITLE MAY BE 3 CITED AS THE "COMMERCIAL NONDISCRIMINATION POLICY".

4 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall be 5 construed to apply only prospectively and may not be applied or interpreted to have 6 any effect on or application to any conduct that has occurred before the effective date 7 of this Act.

8 SECTION 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect 9 July 1, 2006 October 1, 2006.