## **Department of Legislative Services**

Maryland General Assembly 2006 Session

## FISCAL AND POLICY NOTE Revised

Senate Bill 1092

(Senator Green, et al.)

Finance

#### **Electric Industry - Local Aggregation**

This bill authorizes a county or municipal corporation or a group of counties or municipal corporations to act as an aggregator that purchases electricity on behalf of customers under specified conditions.

Uncodified language that directs the Public Service Commission (PSC) to establish standards and procedures to implement the bill by October 1, 2006 is effective June 1, 2006. The remainder of the bill is effective October 1, 2006.

## **Fiscal Summary**

**State Effect:** Potential minimal increase in special fund revenues. PSC could handle any additional work resulting from the bill's provisions with existing budgeted resources.

**Local Effect:** The bill is enabling in nature and is not expected to have a net effect on expenditures or revenues for counties or municipal corporations that become aggregators. It is assumed that aggregation would generally be performed on a cost-recovery basis, including administrative costs.

Small Business Effect: None.

# **Analysis**

**Bill Summary:** A county or municipal corporation (local aggregator) that chooses to act as an aggregator may initiate the aggregation of up to 25% of the historic demand, as determined by PSC, in the service area of the existing electric company providing distribution services. The county or municipal corporation must first notify PSC of its

intent to become or join a local aggregator and submit a draft ordinance forming or joining a local aggregator.

A local aggregator must give, or cause the electricity supplier to give, a written notice to all residential customers in the jurisdiction which includes:

- the identity of the selected supplier;
- terms and conditions of service;
- new rates for service;
- comparison of the new rates and the rates under the current standard offer of service; and
- the total renewable component of the portfolio of the electricity supplier that exceeds the requirements of statute, if any.

The notice must provide the customer the opportunity to refuse participation by return mailing and may offer customers who have previously selected another supplier the ability to participate in the aggregation.

A customer is deemed to have given permission to the county or municipal corporation to act as its aggregator if the customer explicitly grants permission by returned notice, or if the customer fails to return notice within 21 days of receipt. An eligible customer may opt into a county's or municipal corporation's aggregation activities at any time it chooses to discontinue services with another electric supplier even if it previously refused to participate.

A local aggregator may not assess any new fee, tax, or other charge in the aggregation charges or rates that is not related to the cost of providing the aggregation service. The aggregation fee may not exceed the cost of transmission of the electricity provided. PSC must identify a two-month period within which local aggregators may award contracts for competitive generation service. PSC is to review applicable fees, request formats, and data provided to facilitate the bill's provisions. An electric supplier selected by a local aggregator must comply with same regulations currently in effect regarding delinquent residential customers under the standard offer service.

PSC must establish a procedure by which any bill assistance credit that a customer is entitled to under the Electric Universal Service Program is received and establish regulations to protect the consumer rights of a residential customer participating in a local aggregation service. PSC must establish standards and procedures to implement the bill by October 1, 2006. In adopting regulations, PSC must consider: (1) whether to require a code of conduct for counties and municipalities to maintain separation between aggregation activities and other governmental activities so that benefits of aggregation are passed on to ratepayers; and (2) whether to define a priority system to determine SB 1092 / Page 2

which governmental entity has the first opportunity to act as aggregator for a shared customer base.

**Current Law:** Counties and municipal corporations may not act as aggregators for electricity services unless PSC determines there is insufficient competition within the boundaries of the county or municipal corporation.

**Background:** Of the 18 states that have actively deregulated the retail electric industry, most allow for local governments to act as aggregators on behalf of their citizens. Two program options are available: opt-in or opt-out. The opt-in program requires a resident to specifically choose to participate in the program, and the opt-out program requires a resident to specifically choose *not to* participate; otherwise, the resident is automatically included in the aggregation. According to NCSL, only four states authorize opt-out programs: California, Ohio, Massachusetts, and Rhode Island. Ohio and Massachusetts are the only states with any current local government aggregation activity.

**State Revenues:** PSC revenues could increase minimally if any county or municipal governments elected to act as an aggregator for its citizens. It is unclear under the provisions of the bill if the local government would be required to be licensed by PSC as an aggregator. The current aggregator license fee is \$400 and is nonrefundable. If required, it is anticipated that the number of local governments that would apply to become an aggregator would be relatively few and the increase in PSC revenues would be minimal.

#### **Additional Information**

**Prior Introductions:** HB 670 of 2005, a similar bill, was heard in the House Economic Matters Committee but was withdrawn.

**Cross File:** None.

**Information Source(s):** Maryland Municipal League, Maryland Association of Counties, Public Service Commission, Department of Legislative Services

**Fiscal Note History:** First Reader - March 17, 2006

mll/jr Revised - Clarification - March 21, 2006

Analysis by: Karen S. Benton Direct Inquiries to: (410) 946-5510

(301) 970-5510