Department of Legislative Services

Maryland General Assembly 2006 Session

FISCAL AND POLICY NOTE

House Bill 1335 (Delegate McDonough, *et al.*) Health and Government Operations

English Language - Formal Recognition

This bill establishes English as the official language of government in Maryland. State and local governments must write and publish each official document in English and conduct each meeting and other official oral communication in English.

Fiscal Summary

State Effect: Establishing English as the official language of government should not affect State operations. State agencies must still comply with federal laws prohibiting discrimination based on national origin. Federal laws mandate that recipients of federal funds, including State and local governments, take reasonable steps to ensure that individuals with limited English proficiency (LEP) have meaningful access to government programs and activities. In certain circumstances, State and local governments are required to provide language assistance to LEP individuals.

Local Effect: None. Local governments must comply with federal laws prohibiting discrimination based on national origin.

Small Business Effect: None.

Analysis

Current Law: Both the federal government and the State of Maryland have enacted laws that assist LEP individuals with accessing government programs and activities. Federal laws include Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Voting Rights Act, and Executive Order 13166. Maryland enacted legislation in 2002 that requires State

agencies to take reasonable steps in providing equal access to public services for LEP individuals.

Federal Requirements

Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, and national origin in programs receiving federal financial assistance. National origin discrimination includes discrimination on the basis of LEP. According to the U.S. Department of Justice, in certain circumstances, failing to ensure that LEP individuals can effectively participate in or benefit from federally assisted programs and activities or imposing additional burdens on LEP individuals is national origin discrimination.

The Voting Rights Act as amended in 1975 generally requires State and local governments to provide language assistance to voters if more than 5% of the voting age citizens are members of a single-language minority group who do not speak or understand English adequately enough to participate in the electoral process. The U.S. Census Bureau Director has the responsibility to determine which states and localities are subject to the minority language assistance provisions. Montgomery County, the only jurisdiction in Maryland subject to these provisions, must provide language assistance to Spanish speaking individuals.

Executive Order 13166, signed in 2000, requires federal agencies to establish guidelines on how entities can provide meaningful access to LEP individuals in compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. Recipients of federal funds, including State and local governments, must take reasonable steps to ensure that LEP individuals have meaningful access to government programs and activities.

State Requirements

Chapter 141 of 2002 requires State agencies to take reasonable steps to provide equal access to public services for LEP individuals. Equal access is defined as the provision of oral language services for individuals who cannot adequately understand or express themselves in spoken or written English and the translation of vital documents ordinarily provided to the public into any language spoken by any LEP population that constitutes 3% of the overall State population within the geographic area served by a local office of a State program as measured by the U.S. Census.

Pursuant to this statute, 35 State agencies, departments, and commissions must take reasonable steps to provide equal access to public services to LEP individuals. **Exhibit 1** lists the agencies and the time period during which they must comply with the equal access requirement. Other State departments, agencies, or programs not listed in the

exhibit must monitor their operations to determine if reasonable steps are needed to achieve equal access to public services for LEP individuals.

Exhibit 1 Equal Access Compliance Deadline for State Agencies

July 1, 2003	<u>July 1, 2004</u>	July 1, 2005	<u>July 1, 2006</u>
Human Resources	Aging	Comptroller	Agriculture
Labor, Licensing, and Regulation	Public Safety and Correctional Services	Housing and Community Development	Business and Economic Development
Juvenile Justice	Transportation (MDOT)	Natural Resources	Veteran Affairs
Health and Mental Hygiene	Human Relations Commission	Maryland State Department of Education	5 independent agencies, boards, and commissions
Workers' Compensation Commission	State Police	Attorney General	Environment
	5 independent agencies, boards, and commissions	Maryland Transit Administration (MDOT)	
		5 independent agencies, boards, and commissions	

Background: Based on the U.S. Census Bureau 2004 Community Survey, 13.8% of Marylanders older than five speak a language other than English at home. Statewide, almost 270,000 Marylanders are LEP (cannot speak English very well), representing 5.3% of the State's population. Montgomery and Prince George's counties are home to 65.9% of LEP individuals in Maryland. In Montgomery County 13.0% of county residents are LEP, the highest percentage in the State. **Appendix 1** shows the number of LEP individuals in each jurisdiction and their percentage of the county's population. The information is from the 2000 Census, the most recent data for all counties.

States with Official English Laws

English is the official language in 27 states. The U.S. government has not established an official language. **Exhibit 2** lists the states that have enacted official English laws and the year in which the law was enacted.

Exhibit 2 States with Official English Laws

Alabama (1990) Alaska (1998)	Indiana (1984) Iowa (2002)	New Hampshire (1995) North Carolina (1987)
Arkansas (1987)	Kentucky (1984)	North Dakota (1987)
California (1986)	Louisiana (1811)	South Carolina (1987)
Colorado (1988)	Massachusetts (1975)	South Dakota (1995)
Florida (1988)	Mississippi (1987)	Tennessee (1984)
Georgia (1986,1996)	Missouri (1998)	Utah (2000)
Hawaii (1978)	Montana (1995)	Virginia (1981,1996)
Illinois (1969)	Nebraska (1920)	Wyoming (1996)

Federal Enforcement Actions

In August 2000, the President signed Executive Order 13166 that stipulated that LEP individuals should have meaningful access to federal funded programs and activities. Executive Order 13166 requires each federal agency that provides financial assistance to nonfederal entities (State and local governments) to establish guidelines on how entities can provide meaningful access to LEP individuals in compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. Federal agencies must design and implement a plan to ensure such access is provided to LEP individuals. The U.S. Department of Justice submitted guidelines on January 16, 2001 that included a four-factor test that federal agencies and other entities can use in the determination of "meaningful access." These factors include:

- the number or proportion of LEP individuals eligible to be served or likely to be encountered by the program;
- the frequency with which LEP individuals come in contact with the program;
- the nature and importance of the program, activity, or service provided by the program to individual's lives; and
- the resources available to the agency and costs.

The Federal Interagency Working Group on Limited English Proficiency was created in 2002 at the request of the Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights and includes members representing over 35 federal agencies. The purpose of the federal working group is to build awareness of the need and methods to ensure that LEP individuals have meaningful access to important federal and federally assisted programs, and to ensure implementation of language access requirements under Title VI, the Title VI regulations, and Executive Order 13166 in a consistent and effective manner across agencies.

The federal working group has developed a publication entitled *Know Your Rights* that outlines certain examples of possible discrimination by government agencies. The publication, which is available in nine languages, states that "if you are mistreated because you are LEP, it may be national origin discrimination." The following are examples of possible national origin discrimination by government agencies as cited in the publication:

- an emergency dispatcher failing to help a LEP individual;
- public schools refusing to provide information to a parent in Spanish and suggesting that the child interpret the information for the LEP parent; and
- social services telling a LEP individual who is applying for federal food stamps to come back to the office with an interpreter when the application is only in English.

At a recent meeting before the federal working group, the U.S. Assistant Attorney General (U.S. Department of Justice Civil Rights Division) commented that since most federal agencies have successfully completed work on their LEP guidance documents, they will be able to devote more time and attention to issues of compliance and enforcement.

The Maryland Department of Human Resources (DHR) advises that the federal government places a high level of attention on linguistic access to federal funded services, whether in a State or local government agency. DHR was audited in fiscal 2005 for linguistic access to services at several local departments of social services by the Regional Office of Civil Rights of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The local departments were found to be in compliance at that time. If DHR and local departments of social services had failed to provide access to their programs and services to LEP individuals, the federal government could declare the departments out of compliance with federal requirements thus jeopardizing \$229.1 million in TANF (Temporary Assistance to Needy Families) funding and \$316.3 million in federal Food Stamps.

Official federal government information relating to the requirements under Executive Order 13166 is available online at www.LEP.gov or www.usdoj.gov.

Additional Information

Prior Introductions: Similar bills were introduced at the 2005, 1998, and 1995 sessions. HB 1152 of 2005 received an unfavorable report by the House Health and Government Operations Committee. SB 236 of 1998 received a favorable with amendments report by the Senate Economic and Environmental Affairs Committee; however, the bill was recommitted to the committee and no subsequent action was taken on the bill. HB 443 of 1998 received a favorable with amendments report from the House Commerce and Government Matters Committee and was approved by the House. The Senate Economic and Environmental Affairs Committee did not take action on the bill. HB 657 of 1995 was approved by the General Assembly but was vetoed by the Governor.

Cross File: None.

Information Source(s): Federal Interagency Working Group on Limited English Proficiency, U.S. Census Bureau, U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, U.S. Department of Justice, Department of Budget and Management, Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, Department of Human Resources, Maryland Department of Transportation, Judiciary (Administrative Office of the Courts), Office of the Attorney General, Montgomery County, Department of Legislative Services

Fiscal Note History: First Reader - March 6, 2006

ncs/rhh

Analysis by: Hiram L. Burch Jr. Direct Inquiries to:

(410) 946-5510 (301) 970-5510

Appendix 1 Limited English Proficient Individuals in Maryland

Ranking by Ranking by **Number of Individuals Percent of Population Limited English Proficient Individuals** 1990 2000 % Chg. 2000 2000 County County County 435 585 34.5% Montgomery 1. Montgomery 12.9% Allegany 105,001 7,315 Prince George's 7.2% 56.1% Prince George's Anne Arundel 11,416 53,743 16.0% 3. Baltimore 25,526 3. Howard 4.8% **Baltimore City** 15,616 18,113 **Baltimore City** Baltimore 16.158 25,526 58.0% 18.113 **Baltimore** 3.6% 4. Calvert 371 774 108.6% Anne Arundel 11.416 **Baltimore City** 3.0% 213 614 2.9% Caroline 188.3% 6. Howard 11.063 Wicomico 937 1,737 2.5% 85.4% 7. Harford 3,413 7. Anne Arundel Carroll Cecil 652 862 32.2% 8. Frederick 2,939 8. Caroline 2.2% 972 98.4% Wicomico 2,324 9. Kent 2.0% Charles 1,928 403 419 4.0% Charles 1.9% Dorchester 10. 1,928 10. St. Mary's 1,378 2,939 113.3% Carroll 1,737 1.9% Frederick 11. Worcester Garrett 328 276 -15.9% 12. St. Mary's 1.525 12. Talbot 1.8% 1,318 Harford 2,426 3,413 40.7% 13. Washington Charles 1.7% Harford Howard 4.510 11.063 145.3% 14. Cecil 862 14. 1.7% Kent 462 367 -20.6% 15. Worcester 858 Frederick 1.6% Montgomery 60,308 105,001 74.1% 16. Calvert 774 Oueen Anne's 1.5% Prince George's 72.9% 31,091 53,743 17. Caroline 614 17. Dorchester 1.4% Oueen Anne's 307 562 83.1% 18. **Talbot** 591 18. Somerset 1.4% St. Mary's 1,381 1,525 10.4% Allegany 585 19. Carroll 1.2% 288 333 15.6% 20. 1.1% Somerset Oueen Anne's 562 Calvert 591 Talbot 303 95.0% 21. Dorchester 419 21. Cecil 1.1% 22. 1.217 1.318 8.3% Kent 367 Washington 1.1% Washington 2,324 Wicomico 924 151.5% Somerset 333 23. Garrett 1.0% 23. 858 Worcester 498 72.3% 24. Garrett 276 24. Allegany 0.8% 246,287 65.9% Maryland 148,493 Maryland 5.0%

United States

8.1%

52.5%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

United States

13,982,502

21,320,407