Department of Legislative Services

Maryland General Assembly 2006 Session

FISCAL AND POLICY NOTE

House Bill 17 Ways and Means (Cecil County Delegation)

Property Taxes - Churches in Cecil County - Dwellings

This bill requires Cecil County and its municipalities to grant a property tax credit against the county and municipal property tax on property owned by a religious group or organization and used for a dwelling by an employee of the group or organization.

The bill takes effect June 1, 2006 and applies to all taxable years beginning after June 30, 2006.

Fiscal Summary

State Effect: None.

Local Effect: Cecil County revenues could decrease by \$2,000 in FY 2007 and by \$3,100 in FY 2011. Expenditures would not be affected. **This bill imposes a mandate on a unit of local government.**

Small Business Effect: None.

Analysis

Current Law: Cecil County and its municipalities are required to grant a property tax credit against the county and municipal property tax imposed on property that is owned by: (1) the Society for the Preservation of Maryland Antiquities, Incorporated; and (2) the Friends of the Library of Cecil County Maryland, Incorporated.

Background: Rectories, convents, and parsonages are exempt from property taxes. However, a dwelling used by an employee other than a minister, priest, or nun is not

eligible for a tax credit. This credit could be applied to employees of a religious group or organization including office staff, music directors, religious education directors and staff, janitorial staff, caretaker staff, business managers, and lay ministers if they live in property owned by the religious group or organization.

There have been several court cases pertaining to this issue. In 1982, in the cases of Supervisor of Assessments of Baltimore County v. Trustees of Bosley Methodist Church Graveyard, and Supervisor of Assessments of Baltimore County v. St. John's United Church of Christ, the Maryland Court of Appeals ruled that a caretaker's home on church grounds is not eligible for a property tax exemption. In both of these cases, it was argued that the caretaker provided maintenance and security for the church's property.

In addition, in 1973 the Maryland Tax Court ruled in *Ballad et ux v. Supervisor of Assessments of Baltimore County* that property does not become constitutionally exempt merely because it is owned by a religious organization. In the case of the *Trustees of the First Baptist Church of Silver Spring, Maryland v. Supervisor of Assessments of Montgomery County* the Maryland Court of Special Appeals ruled in 1981 that a residence owned by a church and used by the music minister would not qualify for a property tax exemption.

Local Fiscal Effect: The State Department of Assessments and Taxation indicates that there are two properties in Cecil County that could qualify for this credit. The first is owned by the River City Baptist Church and is occupied by a couple who indicate that they do some maintenance work for the church. The tax year 2007 assessment on the property is \$89,843. The second property is owned by Hopewell Cemetery Association of Cecil County. The property surrounds Hopewell Methodist Church and has a tax year 2007 assessment of \$112,336.

Based on this information, Cecil County property tax revenues could decrease by approximately \$1,981 in fiscal 2007, as shown in **Exhibit 1**. This amount is expected to increase to approximately \$3,100 by fiscal 2011 as assessments increase. Also, to the extent that more properties become exempt as a result of the bill, the county revenues would decrease accordingly.

Exhibit 1 Effect on Cecil County Property Tax Revenues Fiscal 2007 – 2011

River City Baptist Church	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Assessment Tax Rate	\$89,843 0.9800	\$104,706 0.9800	\$119,570 0.9800	\$134,434 0.9800	\$149,298 0.9800
Revenue Decrease	(880)	(1,026)	(1,172)	(1,317)	(1,463)
Hopewell Cemetery					
Assessment	112,336	125,450	138,564	151,678	164,792
Tax Rate	0.9800	0.9800	0.9800	0.9800	0.9800
Revenue Decrease	(1,101)	(1,229)	(1,358)	(1,486)	(1,615)
Total Decrease	(\$1,981)	(\$2,256)	(\$2,530)	(\$2,804)	(\$3,078)

Additional Information

Prior Introductions: This bill was introduced as SB 458/HB 571 in the 2005 session. SB 458 passed the Senate; the House Ways and Means Committee took no action on either bill.

Cross File: None designated, although SB 202 is identical.

Information Source(s): State Department of Assessments and Taxation, Cecil County,

Department of Legislative Services

Fiscal Note History: First Reader - March 6, 2006

nas/hlb

Analysis by: Michael Sanelli Direct Inquiries to: (410) 946-5510

(301) 970-5510