

Department of Legislative Services
 Maryland General Assembly
 2006 Session

FISCAL AND POLICY NOTE

House Bill 318 (The Speaker, *et al.*) (By Request – Administration)
 Ways and Means

Public Education Bridge to Excellence and Public School Construction Act

This Administration bill authorizes up to 15,500 video lottery terminals (VLTs) at six locations (four horse racing tracks and two unspecified nontrack locations); provides for one-time application fees; provides for the distribution of VLT proceeds; creates the Education Trust Fund (ETF) and other special funds; mandates funding for public school construction; and continues the current prohibition on additional forms of commercial gambling.

The bill takes effect June 1, 2006.

Fiscal Summary

State Effect: Special fund revenues increase in FY 2007 and beyond due to one-time application fees and VLT revenues. General fund revenues decrease beginning in FY 2008 due to decreased lottery sales; future year losses increase with increased VLT implementation, totaling \$83 million in FY 2011. General fund expenditures decrease in FY 2009 and beyond due to the availability of revenues from the ETF. General fund expenditure increase in FY 2008 and beyond due to State Police expenses. Special fund revenues and expenditures increase for lottery agency administrative expenses, local aid, purse dedication, bred funds, gambling addiction treatment expenditures, and education beginning in FY 2008, except lottery start-up costs in FY 2007. **Appendix 1** shows the revenues and expenditures by fund in greater detail.

(\$ in millions)	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
GF Revenue	\$0	(\$1.6)	(\$39.4)	(\$76.7)	(\$83.1)
SF Revenue	93.0	23.0	540.5	1,045.5	1,102.5
GF Expenditure	.5	.6	(12.1)	(407.0)	(452.4)
SF Expenditure	13.0	116.1	540.6	1,045.5	1,102.5
Net Effect	\$79.5	(\$95.3)	(\$27.3)	\$330.2	\$369.3

Note: () = decrease; GF = general funds; FF = federal funds; SF = special funds; - = indeterminate effect

Local Effect: Revenues for localities with VLT facilities would increase by approximately \$1.7 million in FY 2008, increasing to \$80.6 million at VLT full implementation in FY 2011. Local expenditures increase significantly for local governments with VLT facilities.

Small Business Effect: A small business impact statement was not provided by the Administration in time for inclusion in this fiscal note. A revised fiscal note will be issued when the Administration's assessment becomes available.

Analysis

Bill Summary: The major provisions of the bill are as follows:

Video Lottery Terminals and Locations

This bill authorizes a total of 15,500 VLTs in the State at six locations. The VLT facility locations are to be determined by a commission created by the bill. The distribution of VLTs among the facilities is also to be determined by the commission.

The bill reiterates the current prohibition on additional forms of gambling, other than those currently authorized under State law (lottery, horse racing, and charitable gambling). The State Lottery Commission will provide regulation and oversight of the VLT program.

Distribution of Video Lottery Terminals Proceeds

The Comptroller is required to distribute the proceeds of VLT operations, which must be transferred electronically on a daily basis to the State Lottery Fund.

For the four racetrack locations, from the gross proceeds of VLTs, after pay out to players, proceeds are distributed as follows:

- 5% to the State Lottery Agency for administrative costs (after the first year, the distribution is 4.3%);
- 5% to the county(s) in which video lottery facilities are operating;
- 5.1% to the Purse Dedication Account (PDA) to enhance horse racing purses and to provide funds for the horse breeding industry (after the first year, the distribution increases to 5.8%);

- the operators will receive the amount stated on the bid proposals selected by the State Video Lottery Facility Commission, not to exceed 36% to VLT operation licensees; and
- the remainder of the proceeds will be distributed to the ETF established under the bill (a minimum of 48.9%).

For the two nontrack locations, from the gross proceeds of VLTs, after pay out to players, proceeds are distributed as follows:

- 5% to the State Lottery Agency for administrative costs (after the first year, the distribution is 4.3%);
- 5% to the county(s) in which the video lottery facilities operate;
- 5.1% to the Purse Dedication Account (PDA) to enhance horse racing purses and to provide funds for the horse breeding industry (after the first year, the distribution increases to 5.8%);
- the operators will receive the amount stated on the bid proposals selected by the State Video Lottery Facility Commission, not to exceed 30%; and
- the remainder of the proceeds will be distributed to the ETF (a minimum of 54.9%).

Video Lottery Facility Location Commission

This bill creates a Video Lottery Facility Location Commission that will determine the distribution of the 15,500 VLTs among the six potential licensees and the percentage of operator VLT gross proceeds. Each licensee is eligible for a maximum of 5,000 VLTs and a maximum of 6,000 VLTs can be awarded to any licenses owned by the same entity. In addition, no more than 7,500 VLTs and two licenses can be located in any county. A racetrack license and nontrack license may not be awarded to the same individual or entity. An individual or entity cannot be awarded more than two track or one nontrack licenses.

The nontrack locations can be located in the following eligible locations: Prince George's, Howard, Baltimore, Harford, and Cecil counties, and Baltimore City. Eligible applicants for VLT licenses must submit an application by October 1, 2006. The amount of the initial license fee is equal to \$3 million for each 500 VLTs awarded. License fees accrue to the ETF. The commission is composed of nine members, of whom five are appointed by the Governor, two by the Speaker of the House, and two by the President of the Senate. These nine members elect a chairman. These appointments are subject to the approval of the Legislative Policy Committee.

When considering all facility applications, the commission must consider an application: (1) 70% based on business and market factors; (2) 15% based on economic development factors; and (3) 15% based on siting factors. The Department of Budget and Management (DBM) is required to contract with an independent analyst with at least 10 years' experience in gaming industry consulting that will provide advice on bids to the commission. Qualified bidders may submit bids according to the competitive sealed process established under Title 13 of the State Finance and Procurement Article.

Lottery Commission Authority and Duties

VLTs will be owned or leased by the State Lottery Commission and under the control of the commission at all times. The membership of the State Lottery Commission increases from five to nine. One member will serve as a liaison to the State Racing Commission and one member of the State Racing Commission will serve as a liaison to the lottery commission.

The commission has authority to issue subpoenas and conduct investigations and hearings and require a bond for faithful performance of the requirements of the bill. Commission employees must be present at VLT facilities during all hours of VLT operation for the purpose of certifying revenue from VLTs and receiving complaints from the public.

VLT Licenses

Licenses must be obtained by VLT operators, VLT manufacturers, VLT employees, and anyone hired by a VLT operator to manage a VLT facility. In addition, the commission may require others to be licensed.

All applicants for VLT-related licenses are subject to an application process that involves a State and national criminal history records check. All applicants for VLT-related licenses must establish their qualifications including • financial stability and background of the applicant and all individuals and business entities associated with the applicant; • integrity of financial backers and investors; • good character and honesty; and • sufficient business ability and experience.

A VLT operation license applicant must provide additional information that includes the financial structure of the entity and names, personal history, and criminal history of all officers, partners, and principal employees; the names of all holding companies, subsidiaries, or other business entities of the applicant; and the names of all persons who own or control the business entity as well as a description of all bonus and profit-sharing agreements.

The term of a VLT operation license is 15 years. At the end of the 15-year term, the licensee may reapply for a license renewal of 10 years, with the fee to be determined by future statute. The bill provides that a VLT-related license is a revocable privilege and that it is the intent of the bill to prohibit the creation of a property right in a license granted under the bill.

Any VLT license issued under the bill may not be transferred, sold, or pledged as collateral. A licensee may not sell or transfer more than 5% of the legal or beneficial ownership in the licensee without the approval of the commission.

VLT licensees must meet the State's minority business participation requirements for facility construction and procurement; and meet the county's minority business participation requirements, to the extent practicable, if they are higher than the State's. VLT licensees must have a preference for hiring individuals that live within 10 miles of the VLT facility. From the time of being issued a license, a VLT licensee is required to commence operations within 18 months (the State Lottery Commission is authorized to extend this deadline for up to one year). VLT licensees must propose capital construction expenditures of at least \$15 million for each 500 VLTs.

Additional Requirements for Horse Track VLT Operation Licensees

As a condition of licensure, a track licensee must maintain the number of live racing days conducted in 2005. If Pimlico or Laurel Park is awarded a license, the VLT operation license of the two tracks would be revoked if either the Preakness Stakes or Woodlawn Vase is transferred out of the State. In addition, the Maryland Million must be conducted annually at Laurel Park. Horse track VLT licensees are authorized to operate temporary VLT facilities but must have VLTs "fully operational" at a permanent facility within two years of being issued a license.

Each track licensee must develop a racing improvement plan to improve the quality and marketing of horse racing at the track.

The plan must include \$1.0 million of annual capital maintenance and improvements of the horse racing facilities. The capital improvements must include the improvements necessary to make residential areas of the tracks conform to minimum county housing and sanitation standards. In addition, part of the capital improvements at Pimlico must include the creation of a premier classic race track and museum.

Other Regulation of Video Lottery Operations and Consumer Protections

The bill prohibits a VLT operation licensee from offering food (except finger food and the like) and beverages, including alcoholic beverages, for free or for a price that is lower than the prices in the county where the VLT facility is located.

The commission must adopt regulations to reduce or mitigate the effects of problem gambling, including provisions that provide for • mandatory exclusion of career offenders from VLT facilities; • procedures that permit self-exclusion from VLT facilities for individuals with gambling problems; • limits on the dollar amount that VLT machines will accept; • payouts of winnings above a certain amount by check; • limits on the number, location, and maximum withdrawal amounts for ATMs; • conspicuous disclosures related to VLT payouts and odds; and • consumers being given a record of spending levels to the extent that marketing measures that track customer spending are used.

Purse Dedication Account

The bill creates a Purse Dedication Account to which 5.1% of gross VLT proceeds will be distributed in the first year and 5.8% in the following years. Funds from the account are to be distributed between standardbred and thoroughbred racing based on the percentage of total live wagering in the State each type of racing had in the previous year.

From the proceeds allocated to thoroughbred racing:

- 89% to mile thoroughbred purses at Pimlico, Laurel Park, Allegany, and Timonium; and
- 11% to the Maryland-bred Race Fund.

From the proceeds allocated to standardbred racing:

- 89% to standardbred purses at Rosecroft, Ocean Downs, and Allegany; and
- 11% to the Standardbred Race Fund.

Two-hundred fifty thousand dollars is to be dedicated annually to improving health care for Maryland jockeys. To pay for these benefits, \$125,000 will be deducted annually from both the mile thoroughbred and standardbred purses.

Education Trust Fund

The bill creates an Education Trust Fund (ETF) as a special, nonlapsing fund that will receive an indeterminate share from VLT facilities (at least 48.9% from race tracks and 54.9% from nontrack facilities) to fund the Bridge to Excellence in Public Schools Act of 2002 (Chapter 288 of 2002). The bill mandates that in fiscal 2008 through 2014, the Governor include at least \$400 million of the ETF received from VLT revenues for school construction and capital improvements. In addition, initial application fees will be credited to the fund.

Local Development Councils and Transportation

From the local development grants provided to the areas where VLT facilities are located, the proceeds are intended to be used for infrastructure improvements, public safety, and other needs in the communities in the immediate proximity of the facility. A Local Development Council would be created in each area where a VLT facility is located to advise, comment, and make recommendations on a plan developed by the county providing for the use of the local development grant funds. Counties must allocate at least 20% of their local development grant to provide grants to minority business enterprise (MBE) small businesses in the county. These grants are to be focused on communities that are economically distressed or in close proximity to a VLT facility.

The bill also provides that the State may pay for the reasonable transportation costs necessary to mitigate the impact on the communities in immediate proximity to the VLT facilities and to make VLT facilities accessible to the public.

Compulsive Gambling Fund

The bill assesses a \$390 fee per VLT terminal to be paid by VLT operation licensees that will be placed into a Compulsive Gambling Fund administered by the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH). The fund must be used to • establish a 24-hour hotline; • provide counseling and other support services for compulsive gamblers; and • establish problem gambling prevention programs.

Other Provisions

The bill also requires the Maryland Department of Transportation to review, coordinate, and approve county transportation studies. The Governor's Office of Minority Affairs must monitor compliance with applicable minority participation requirements in equity sales and facility construction. In addition, two studies must be conducted to evaluate the State's continued compliance with federal and constitutional requirements related to minority participation provisions.

Current Law: Specified types of gambling are allowed in Maryland. This includes the State lottery and wagering on horse racing. Bingo, bazaars, and gaming nights are allowed for some nonprofit organizations on a county-by-county basis. Several counties permit for-profit bingo. In addition, some nonprofit organizations in Eastern Shore counties are allowed to operate up to five slot machines, provided that at least 50% of the proceeds go to charity. VLTs are not authorized for operation in the State. For more information on gambling and horse racing in Maryland, consult the *Legislators' Guide to Video Lottery Terminal Gambling*.

Background: Over the past several legislative sessions, various proposals have been introduced to authorize VLTs at the State's horse racing tracks or other tourist destinations in the State. Numerous states have authorized VLT gambling. For more information on prior year introductions and other state VLT regimes, consult the *Legislators' Guide to Video Lottery Terminal Gaming*.

The bill requires the Governor to include at least \$400 million in the annual budget from the ETF for school construction and capital improvements. The Public School Facilities Act of 2004, Chapters 306 and 307 of 2004, set a goal to fully fund school construction by fiscal 2013 to meet minimum required standards for new construction as of July 2003. Based on the work of the Task Force to Study Public School Facilities, the total cost to meet standards is estimated at \$3.85 billion with the State's share at approximately \$2 billion and local governments' share at \$1.85 billion. The State provided \$253.8 million for public school construction in fiscal 2006. The Governor's proposed fiscal 2007 capital budget includes \$261.3 million for school construction.

State Revenues:

Application Fee Revenues

The bill requires licensees to pay an application fee of \$3 million for each 500 VLTs awarded. Application fees must be paid by October 1, 2006. These fees are to be distributed to the ETF. Assuming that the commission awards all the VLTs and licensees opt to participate and pay their license fee by the required deadline, special fund revenues would increase by \$93 million in fiscal 2007.

VLT Revenues

Six locations in the State may be licensed to operate a total of 15,500 VLTs. Four VLT facilities must be located at horse racing tracks and two VLT facilities must be located at nontrack locations to be determined by the Location Commission.

As a result, total revenues generated – after payouts to winning players, but **before** any other distributions are made – could total approximately \$33.6 million in fiscal 2007, \$814.6 million in fiscal 2008, \$1.54 billion in fiscal 2009, and approximately \$1.61 billion in fiscal 2010 and later.

These estimates assume that: (1) four licenses will be awarded to race tracks and two licenses will be awarded to nontrack facilities; (2) each VLT will average \$285 win-per-day; (3) facilities will initially operate at 50% capacity and reach full capacity one year later; and (4) all 15,500 VLTs are awarded. It is assumed that the nontrack locations begin operations January 2008 and horse track locations begin operations in June 2007. Revenues will be potentially higher than estimated in fiscal 2006 and 2007 to the extent that: (1) facilities begin operations earlier than estimated; and/or (2) race track operators receive approval to operate temporary facilities.

The Department of Legislative Services (DLS) advises that the final location of the facilities may substantially alter both the total revenue and distribution thereof generated by the six VLT facilities. These estimates have taken into consideration some degree of competition between the central Maryland facilities. To the extent that a VLT facility is located in close proximity to another VLT facility, total gross proceeds could be substantially less.

Win-per-day estimates are based on previous estimates of the market for VLTs in Maryland and are adjusted by DLS to reflect the effects of the additional machines at nontrack facilities and authorization of VLT facilities in Pennsylvania. For a comparison of these revenue estimates and the market for VLTs in Maryland with several other VLT markets, see **Appendix 2**. The win-per-day estimates for the facilities are the average of the three central Maryland track facilities. To the extent that win-per-days are higher at the nontrack facilities, relative to the racetrack facilities, annual revenues to the ETF will be greater.

It is assumed that racetrack VLT operators will receive 36% of gross proceeds and nontrack VLT operators will receive 30% of gross proceeds. As a result, it is assumed that at least 48.9% of the gross proceeds from the racetrack facilities will go to the ETF (54.9% for nontrack locations). To the extent that market forces cause facilities to accept less than the respective maximum shares, then the ETF revenues could be higher.

Other Assumptions

- VLTs will operate 365 days a year, once operational.
- Virginia and Washington, DC do not authorize VLT gambling.

- West Virginia and Delaware do not expand VLT operations, either by adding additional VLT facilities or authorizing casino-style gambling.
- Pennsylvania does not expand gambling beyond VLT facilities authorized in 2004.

Distribution of Revenues

Exhibit 1 details the revenue distribution resulting from VLTs for fiscal 2008 through 2011.

Exhibit 1				
Distribution of Annual VLT Revenues				
(\$ in Millions)				
	<u>FY 2008</u>	<u>FY 2009</u>	<u>FY 2010</u>	<u>FY 2011</u>
ETF	16.4	412.6	807.4	853.0
Licensees	12.1	279.0	497.3	516.0
PDA	1.7	42.0	86.8	93.5
Local	1.7	40.7	76.8	80.6
Lottery Operations	1.7	40.3	68.4	69.3
Total Gross	\$33.6	\$814.6	\$1,536.8	\$1,612.4

Effect on Lottery Sales

DLS estimates that VLTs, when fully implemented, will cause a permanent reduction in lottery revenues of 15% annually versus what is currently forecasted. This estimate is based on the experience of other states that have authorized additional gambling and experienced substantial decreases in lottery sales. In addition, for those states where data are available, Maryland has substantially greater lottery operations, measured on both a gross volume and per capita basis. Therefore, it is possible that lottery sales might decrease more sharply than these other states. **Exhibit 2** details the estimated decline in general fund revenue in each fiscal year as a result of decreased lottery sales. The impact on lottery revenues incorporates current lottery revenue forecasts and increases with increased VLT implementation.

Exhibit 2
Estimated Loss in General Fund Revenue
Due to Decreased State Lottery Sales
(\$ in Millions)

<u>FY 2008</u>	<u>FY 2009</u>	<u>FY 2010</u>	<u>FY 2011</u>
\$1.6	\$39.4	\$76.7	\$83.1

Compulsive Gambling Fund

Approximately \$6.0 million annually, based on \$390 per VLT at full implementation, will be credited to the Compulsive Gambling Fund administered by DHMH.

DHMH advises that beginning in the year when all VLTs are awarded, the money credited to the compulsive gambling fund will be distributed as follows:

Statewide hotlines	\$200,000
Gambling prevention effort	500,000
Outpatient treatment services	3,741,500
Residential treatment services	<u>1,603,500</u>
Total	\$6,045,000

Indirect State Revenues

Economic Development Impacts

In addition to the direct revenues generated, the introduction of VLTs could generate other revenues due to the increased economic activity associated with VLTs. The construction jobs associated with facility construction and improvements could bring dollars into the areas surrounding the facilities, providing an economic boost to the local economy. To the extent that the annual capital improvements are not being currently done, the local economy will receive a boost from annual capital improvements. New jobs would generate new incomes which would be subject to the income tax – revenues that are not currently generated. If substitute jobs are higher (lower) paying than the previously held jobs, taxes paid by those individuals would be higher (lower) than paid previously.

Substitution and Cross-border Effects

The group of potential VLT players at a Maryland facility can be divided into four cohorts. The theoretical impact of each of these cohorts on direct and indirect revenues to the State are illustrated in **Exhibit 3**.

Exhibit 3 **Cross-border and Substitution Effect Impacts**

<u>Cohort</u>	<u>Cross-border and/or Substitution Impact</u>
Marylanders who currently travel out-of-state to play VLTs	Additional direct and indirect revenue to the State
Marylanders who do not currently travel out-of-state to play VLTs but would play in Maryland	Additional direct revenue to the State, offset by any lost revenue from substitution effects
Out-of-state residents who currently play VLTs elsewhere but who would come to Maryland to play VLTs	Additional direct and indirect revenues to the State
Out-of-state residents who do not currently play VLTs elsewhere but who would come to Maryland to play VLTs	Additional direct revenue to the State. If VLT spending substitutes for other consumption in Maryland, then other tax revenues could decline

For all four cohorts, direct revenue to the State increases as a result of VLT gambling. Indirect State revenues increase as a result of (1) the recapture of Marylanders who play VLTs out-of-state; and (2) out-of-state residents who travel to Maryland explicitly to play VLTs and would not have otherwise visited Maryland in the absence of VLTs.

Indirect State revenues decrease as a result of out-of-state residents and Marylanders who substitute playing VLTs for other forms of taxable activities. For instance, out-of-town conventioners may opt to go to Pimlico and play VLTs instead of attending an Orioles game. In this case, the State gains VLT gaming revenue but would lose the admissions and amusement tax that would have been generated if the conventioner attended the Orioles game. Part of the substitution effect for Marylanders is captured by the estimated decline in lottery revenues resulting from individuals opting to play VLTs instead of purchasing lottery tickets. To the extent that Marylanders substitute playing VLTs for additional forms of taxable entertainment and consumption, indirect State revenues will decrease further. Examples of this include a Marylander opting to play VLTs instead of going to a bar or to the movies which generate liquor and admissions and amusement taxes respectively.

Estimates vary as to the share of total VLT revenues that each cohort will contribute. Of particular interest has been the amount of VLT revenue that would be recaptured from Marylanders playing VLTs in neighboring states. Legislative Services estimates that approximately \$360 million or approximately one-quarter of total revenue generated by West Virginia and Delaware VLT facilities comes from Marylanders. Further, it is estimated that these Marylanders contribute approximately \$138 million in revenue to West Virginia and Delaware local and state governments. Authorizing VLTs will not recapture all of this revenue; the amount of players that would be recaptured depends on multiple factors, including the ultimate location of the nontrack facilities. In addition, although Pennsylvania has authorized VLTs, the Pennsylvania Gaming Board has not determined the location of these facilities. The location of these facilities could impact the annual revenue “recaptured” by Maryland VLT facilities.

State Expenditures:

Administrative Expenditures

Lottery Agency

The Lottery Agency states that it will need 50 additional employees. The agency estimates a budget request of approximately \$13 million will be needed for fiscal 2007 to pay for start-up costs associated with VLT operations. Administrative costs for the State Lottery to operate video terminals would be approximately \$1.7 million in fiscal 2008. This estimate assumes that the cost of leasing and maintaining VLT terminals and a central computer system, as well as providing for additional staff, will be equal to approximately 5% of gross revenues in the first year and 4.3% of gross revenues in the following years. Lottery Agency administration expenses are assumed to be consistent with the percentage of gross proceeds allocated to it, so no net effect is assumed. To the extent that expenses are higher or lower than estimated, the net effect could change accordingly. If administration expenses are less than the amount allocated in each year under the bill, the additional gross proceeds from VLT facilities would be split equally between the PDA and the ETF.

Department of State Police

The Department of State Police would incur increased general fund expenditures of approximately \$639,400 in fiscal 2008 as a result of equipment costs and hiring four full-time troopers, one corporal, and one office secretary to handle the anticipated volume of background checks.

Maryland Department of Transportation

The bill requires the Maryland Department of Transportation (MDOT) to study the impact of increased traffic resulting from VLTs at Pimlico Race Course and submit the findings of the report to the General Assembly within 6 months after the issuance of a license for Pimlico. Pimlico Race Course is required to pay for this study. The bill also requires MDOT as the State’s MBE certifying agency, to conduct a study regarding specified aspects of the minority business enterprise requirements of the bill and report to the Legislative Policy Committee by December 1, 2007 for the first study and by September 30, 2009 for the second study. MDOT did not provide an estimated cost of the studies. Legislative Services estimates that the studies will cost \$50,000 each.

Education Expenditures

As shown in **Exhibit 4**, State VLT revenues after operating costs and initial license fees are dedicated to the ETF to be used for public education programs associated with the Bridge to Excellence in Public Schools Act of 2002 (Chapter 288). Under Chapter 288 there are significant increases in education aid beginning with fiscal 2005. The bill increases education aid beginning in fiscal 2008 by requiring the Governor to include \$400 million annually from the ETF in fiscal 2008 through 2014 for public school construction.

It is assumed that after satisfying the \$400 million mandate for school construction the remaining ETF revenues from VLT operations will be available to fund increases in education aid. This will result in an equivalent decrease in general fund expenditures.

Exhibit 4
Education Funding Provided by HB 318
Fiscal 2007 through 2011

	<u>FY 2007</u>	<u>FY 2008</u>	<u>FY 2009</u>	<u>FY 2010</u>	<u>FY 2011</u>
Total ETF Funds	\$93.0	\$16.4	\$412.6	\$807.4	\$853.0
School Construction	0	109.4	400.0	400.0	400.0
Impact on GF Expenditures	0	0	(\$12.6)	(\$407.4)	(\$453.0)

Fiscal 2007 ETF revenues are estimated to not be sufficient to fund the mandated amount for school construction. It is assumed that although the bill mandates that the Governor include \$400 million in the budget for school construction, funding will be provided only

to the extent of total revenues in the ETF. Initial VLT license fees accrue to the ETF in fiscal 2007. It is assumed that these funds are expended for public school construction in fiscal 2008.

Infrastructure Costs

The State and local governments could also incur significant costs associated with infrastructure upgrades at each of the racetracks and nontrack locations. The actual costs are site specific and could range from adding more traffic signs and lights to significantly altering existing traffic routes and adding access from other major thoroughfares. MDOT states that estimating these costs is not possible until plans are developed and traffic studies are completed.

DHMH Expenditures – Prevalence Study

DHMH estimates that a prevalence study will cost up to approximately \$1.2 million. This estimate is based on conducting a four-month study that samples 38,000 Marylanders or approximately 1% of the State adult population. DLS estimates that the first study required would cost approximately \$500,000 to conduct.

Indirect State Expenditures

In addition to the positive indirect effects to the economy, negative impacts could be expected as well. These effects could include increased levels of crime, unemployment, and personal bankruptcies which could result in a need to significantly increase the State and local spending directed toward these effects. Although these costs cannot be reliably estimated, DLS estimates that these costs are likely to be greater than the funds dedicated to the Compulsive Gambling Fund under this bill. For a more in-depth discussion about the possible social costs as a result of authorizing VLTs, consult the *Legislator's Guide to Video Lottery Terminal Gambling*.

Local Revenues: The bill provides local impact aid for jurisdictions in which VLT operations are located. This aid is to be used for infrastructure, facilities, services, and other improvements and grants to MBE businesses. For all facilities except Laurel Park, 5% of gross proceeds will be distributed to the county in which they are located. Of the 5% of VLT gross proceeds generated by Laurel (if it receives a license), Anne Arundel County is to receive 73%, Howard County 17%, and Laurel 10%. Based on the assumed VLT-implementation schedule, local aid will total \$1.7 million in fiscal 2008, \$40.7 million in fiscal 2009, \$76.8 million in fiscal 2010, and \$80.6 million in fiscal 2011. **Exhibit 5** shows the local aid that would be distributed at full implement for different sized VLT facilities.

Exhibit 5
Local Revenue Distributions at Full Implementation

<u>Number of VLTs</u>	<u>5% Local Distribution</u>
1,000	\$5.2
1,500	7.8
2,000	10.4
2,500	13.0
3,000	15.6
3,500	18.2
4,000	20.8
4,500	23.4
5,000	26.0

Indirect Local Revenues

The local jurisdictions where VLT facilities are located would also benefit from increased real property tax collections. In addition, if the Lottery Agency decides to lease VLTs from a VLT manufacturer, local jurisdictions would benefit from increased personal property taxes assessed on VLT machines and paid by the lessor. To the extent that expenditures on items subject to admissions and amusement taxes are transferred to VLT wagering, local revenues could decline. Local revenues would also be affected by any changes in property values, positive or negative, occurring because of the introduction of VLTs. This effect cannot be reliably estimated at this time.

Local Expenditures: VLT facilities will have a substantial impact on the local areas in which they are located and will necessitate additional local expenditures.

Local governments must provide matching funds in order to receive State school construction funds. The local match currently ranges from 3% to 50% of eligible school construction costs, depending on the county.

Baltimore City estimates that if Pimlico is granted a license the annual operating costs for public safety, sanitation, and transportation would total approximately \$9.7 million. In addition to these recurring costs, the city estimates approximately \$1.9 million in one-time operating start-up costs to acquire equipment. It is also estimated that approximately \$65 million in transportation-related capital improvements would be necessary to accommodate the expected influx of activity in and around Pimlico Raceway. These improvements include intersection improvements, signal system installations, and street widening and rehabilitation.

Prince George's County advises that if Laurel and Rosecroft are awarded licenses it would incur expenditures of up to \$20 million and \$4.2 million annually as a result of infrastructure improvements and expanded public services.

The City of Laurel estimates that expenditures would increase by approximately \$1.2 million annually if Laurel Park is awarded a license. This reflects hiring additional police and public works personnel as well as other operating costs. Howard County states that if Laurel Park is awarded a license, the county would need to hire additional police personnel and widen access roads to Laurel Park.

Anne Arundel County estimates that the annual operating costs for public safety, infrastructure, and social services would total \$9 million if Laurel Park is awarded a license. In addition to these recurring costs, the County estimates approximately \$1 million in one-time operating start-up costs to acquire equipment.

In addition, the nontrack VLT facilities will likely impose substantial local expenditures in the county(s) in which they are located.

Additional Information

Prior Introductions: Similar bills were introduced in the 2005 session as SB 205/HB 255. SB 205 received a favorable with amendments report from the Senate Budget and Taxation Committee and passed the Senate but was not reported from the House Ways and Means Committee. HB 255 received an unfavorable report from the House Ways and Means Committee.

Cross File: SB 225 (The President, *et al.*) (By Request – Administration) – Budget and Taxation.

Information Source(s): Prince George's County, Maryland State Lottery Agency, Department of State Police, Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, Baltimore City, City of Laurel, Maryland Department of Transportation, Innovation Group, State Department of Assessments and Taxation, Department of Legislative Services

Fiscal Note History: First Reader - March 15, 2006
ncs/rhh

Analysis by: Robert J. Rehrmann

Direct Inquiries to:
(410) 946-5510
(301) 970-5510

Appendix 1

	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>
Gross VLT Revenues	\$0	\$33,591,406	\$814,591,602	\$1,536,806,836	\$1,612,387,500
Licenseses	\$0	\$12,092,906	\$278,976,629	\$497,320,770	\$515,964,000
Special Fund Revenues					
Education Trust Fund	\$0	\$16,426,198	\$412,611,641	\$807,428,234	\$852,952,988
Purse Dedication Account	0	1,713,162	42,014,451	86,842,183	93,518,475
Compulsive Gambling	0	1,511,250	4,911,563	6,045,000	6,045,000
Local Distributions	0	1,679,570	40,729,580	76,840,342	80,619,375
Lottery VLT					
Administrative	0	1,679,570	40,259,300	68,375,307	69,332,663
License Fees - to ETF	93,000,000				
Total SF Revenues	\$93,000,000	\$23,009,750	\$540,526,535	\$1,045,531,066	\$1,102,468,501
GF Revenues					
Lost Lottery Revenue	0	(1,569,069)	(39,393,822)	(76,731,042)	(83,102,100)
Total GF Revenues	\$0	(\$1,569,069)	(\$39,393,822)	(\$76,731,042)	(\$83,102,100)
Special Fund Expenditures					
Education Trust Fund	0	\$109,426,198	\$412,611,641	\$807,428,234	\$852,952,988
Purse Dedication Account	0	1,713,162	42,014,451	86,842,183	93,518,475
Compulsive Gambling	0	1,511,250	4,911,563	6,045,000	6,045,000
Local Distributions	0	1,679,570	40,729,580	76,840,342	80,619,375
Lottery VLT					
Administrative	13,000,000	1,679,570	40,259,300	68,375,307	69,332,663
Transportation - Studies	0	50,000	50,000		
Total SF Expenditure	13,000,000	116,059,750	540,576,535	1,045,531,066	1,102,468,501
GF Expenditures					
State Police	0	639,427	462,783	472,451	599,293
DHMH - Prevalence Study	500,000				
Education - from ETF	0	0	(\$12,611,641)	(\$407,428,234)	(\$452,952,988)
Total GF Expenditures	\$500,000	\$639,427	(\$12,148,858)	(\$406,955,783)	(\$452,353,695)
Net Effect	\$79,500,000	(\$95,258,496)	(\$27,294,964)	\$330,224,741	\$369,251,595

* In fiscal 2008 ETF revenues are not sufficient to fund the mandated appropriations for school construction. It is assumed that funds are expended for public school construction only to the extent provided by the ETF.

Appendix 2
Maryland VLT Market Comparisons

	<u>St. Louis</u>	<u>Chicago</u>	<u>Kansas City</u>	<u>Maryland</u>
VLTs	9,204	13,455	6,200	15,500
VLT Revenue (millions)	\$772.7	\$1,941.43	\$455.5	\$1,589.48
Table Revenue (millions)	\$105.7	\$377.9	\$70.2	N/A
Estimated Direct State and Local Revenues	\$270.0	\$888.7	\$145.2	\$831.4
Estimated Tax Rate	31%	38%	28%	52%
Win per Day	\$230	\$395	\$201	\$281
Total Population	2.6 million	8.3 million	1.8 million	5.5 million
Population over age 21	1.8 million	5.8 million	1.3 million	3.8 million
Population over 21 per VLT	199	431	206	246
VLT Revenues per person over 21 years old	\$422	\$335	\$357	\$417
Percent over age 65	12%	10%	11%	11%
Median Age	37.1	34.4	35.6	36.9
Percent White	78%	68%	81%	62%
Percent African American	19%	18%	13%	28%
Percent Hispanic	2%	19%	6%	5%
Median Household Income	\$46,803	\$53,462	\$47,428	\$57,218
Percent Below Poverty	10.0%	10.6%	9.1%	8.2%
Unemployment Rate	7.0%	8.8%	7.4%	4.5%
Percent with College Education or Higher	28%	32%	31%	31%

Revenues are estimated for Maryland and are for 2003 or fiscal 2004 for other locations, other data are from 2003.
Source: Missouri, Illinois, Indiana Gaming Commissions; U.S. Census Bureau