

Department of Legislative Services  
Maryland General Assembly  
2006 Session

FISCAL AND POLICY NOTE

House Bill 1369  
Judiciary

(Delegates Quinter and Dumais)

---

Public Safety - Handgun Identification Requirements - Alternative Means of Compliance

---

This bill provides that a handgun manufacturer may comply with State handgun identification requirements by ensuring that when ammunition is fired from a handgun, the handgun operates in a manner that includes copying the characters onto the shell casing of the ammunition by means of “microstamping.” A manufacturer must also certify to dealers that the handgun is capable of microstamping. In addition, the bill provides that a violator of any of the handgun identification requirements (including the alternative means of compliance provided under this bill) is guilty of a misdemeanor and subject to maximum penalties of imprisonment for five years and/or a fine of \$10,000. A violator is also liable for a civil penalty of \$1,000 for each handgun involved in the violation.

---

Fiscal Summary

**State Effect:** Compliance the State’s handgun identification requirements by this alternative means would not create the need for additional resources for the State Police. The criminal penalty provisions of this bill are not expected to significantly affect State finances or operations.

**Local Effect:** The criminal penalty provisions of this bill are not expected to significantly affect State finances or operations.

**Small Business Effect:** Potential meaningful. The provisions of this bill could spur additional handgun sales in the State. However, the magnitude of the impact on the State’s 250 licensed gun dealers, who are generally believed to be small businesses, is unknown.

---

## **Analysis**

**Current Law:** Any manufacturer that ships or transports a handgun to be sold, rented, or transferred in the State is required to include in the box with the handgun in a separate sealed container: (1) a shell casing of a projectile discharged from that handgun; and (2) additional information that identifies the type of handgun and shell casing as required by the Secretary of State Police.

Upon receipt of a handgun from the manufacturer, the dealer must confirm to the Department of State Police (DSP) that the manufacturer complied with these requirements. Upon the sale or transfer of the handgun, the dealer is required to forward the sealed container to the State Police Crime Laboratory. Upon receipt of the shell casing and required information, the State Police Crime Laboratory must enter the information in all pertinent databases.

Any dealer or person who knowingly participates in the illegal sale, rental, transfer, purchase, possession, or receipt of a regulated firearm in violation of provisions governing regulated firearms is guilty of a misdemeanor and subject to maximum penalties of imprisonment for five years and/or a fine of \$10,000. Each violation must be considered a separate offense.

**Background:** Shell casing information, using digital imaging software, and handgun owner information, is entered into the Maryland Integrated Ballistic Identification System (MD-IBIS). Bullets are not entered into MD-IBIS. MD-IBIS constitutes the State's ballistic fingerprint database.

When shell casings are recovered from crime scenes, the identifying marks found on that evidence are correlated or searched against the MD-IBIS database. When potential matches are identified by the ballistics imaging system and a firearms examiner positively identifies the match, DSP is led to the original owner of the handgun, providing a potential investigative lead. MD-IBIS also serves as a screening tool to eliminate certain evidence and potential leads.

Since the early 1990s, the federal government has been operating computer systems able to process both bullets and shell casings, also referred to as the Integrated Ballistics Identification System (IBIS), and originally known as the National Integrated Ballistics Information Network (NIBIN). This information is based on ballistic evidence obtained at crime scenes and does not include shell casings from newly manufactured guns, as is the case in Maryland. It is believed that more than 36 states and territories (including Maryland) have installed federal IBIS-NIBIN.

Initially, DSP anticipated that 30,000 cartridge casings would be received annually for input into the IBIS system. As such, the system was designed to hold around 300,000 casings over a 10-year period. The system has thus far received around 35,000 cartridge casings for input, including around 2,000 from trooper-issued semi-automatic 40-caliber Beretta firearms. There have been 160 requests to match crime-scene casings with the IBIS system, resulting in four “hits” or matches.

A September 2003 report on MD-IBIS by DSP’s Forensic Sciences Division acknowledged several “major problems” with the system: (1) some cartridge casings submitted by Glock Company may have been unreliable; (2) the remote station did not work properly thus preventing connection with outside agencies; (3) a memorandum of understanding between the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives and State and local law enforcement agencies prohibits the linking of NIBIN to any State or local system, such as IBIS; and (4) guns being submitted by manufacturers are not generally the types of guns usually linked to crime scenes. In addition, a California study of ballistic fingerprinting operations in both New York and Maryland was critical of such state operations for several technical and operational reasons, including a concern that markings on cartridge casings may be changed or altered by a gun user.

In 2003, DSP recommended that MD-IBIS continue in operation, despite these concerns. The Forensic Sciences Division believed then that improving technology would enhance MD-IBIS’ ability to achieve hits and that this database, similar to the State’s DNA database needs time to develop to “bear fruit.” DSP noted in the 2003 report that the DNA database was initiated in 1994 and did not obtain its first hit until 1998.

However, the September 2004 progress report on MD-IBIS by the Forensic Sciences Division found that the personal imaging biases of the laboratory technician who enters the sample data into MD-IBIS and the biases of the examiner who attempts to match the shell casing from the crime scene against the MD-IBIS database are apt to lead to a low probability of a match. In addition, the 2004 report found that the procedure for the submission of a test-fired cartridge case lacked safeguards to assure quality assurance and, thus, rendered the integrity of the database suspect.

The 2004 report concluded that: “The MD-IBIS Program, for all its good intentions, has not proven to be a time saving tool for the Firearms Examiner or an investigative enhancement to the criminal investigator.” Unlike the conclusion from the 2003 report, in fiscal 2005 DSP recommended that the program be suspended and laboratory technicians associated with the program transferred to the DNA database unit.

Until fiscal 2005 (1) two laboratory technicians were assigned to enter the “fingerprint” of each shell casing into the computer database and make comparisons from the database against any shell casing recovered as evidence from a crime scene; and (2) the computer

equipment was serviced under a maintenance contract costing about \$162,000 per year. The fiscal 2005 and 2006 budgets did not specifically provide funds to continue the maintenance contract. Currently maintenance costs are handled by shifting funds from within the agency.

According to the State Police, similar legislation to this bill is now under consideration in California.

---

### **Additional Information**

**Prior Introductions:** None.

**Cross File:** None.

**Information Source(s):** Judiciary (Administrative Office of the Courts), Department of State Police, Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services, Department of Legislative Services

**Fiscal Note History:** First Reader - March 5, 2006  
nas/jr

---

Analysis by: Guy G. Cherry

Direct Inquiries to:  
(410) 946-5510  
(301) 970-5510