Dry Dologotog Marhaim	<b>A</b>	Domis	Fromb	Minnial	Oalva	Shank	and
HB $816/06 - JUD$						CF 7lı	0621
D3						7lı	1353

# By: Delegates Morhaim, Aumann, Barve, Frank, Minnick, Oaks, Shank, and Stein

Introduced and read first time: January 19, 2007 Assigned to: Judiciary

### A BILL ENTITLED

### 1 AN ACT concerning

#### 2 3

# Circuit Courts – Medical Injury – Medical Malpractice Administrative Review Board

4 FOR the purpose of requiring certain medical injury actions filed in court after a 5 certain date to be submitted to a medical malpractice administrative review 6 board; requiring a court to refer a certain action to a circuit administrative 7 judge; requiring a circuit administrative judge to appoint a certain judge to 8 chair a board and notify certain parties of certain information; requiring a 9 circuit administrative judge to consider certain matters in appointing a chair of a board and provide specifications for a certain curriculum; suspending 10 statutory time limits under certain provisions of law and under the Maryland 11 Rules for a certain period in certain circumstances; providing procedures for a 12 chair to choose members of a board and for a party to strike a member from a 13 board; requiring that board members meet certain criteria; requiring that 14 15 certain board hearings be closed to the public; requiring that board 16 deliberations and certain testimony are privileged and confidential; authorizing a chair to take certain action, with certain exceptions; requiring a board to 17 make certain determinations about liability and proximate cause; providing 18 19 that certain rules of evidence are not applicable in a certain board hearing; giving a board subpoena power; authorizing a board to appoint a certain 20 21 impartial expert witness under certain circumstances; providing for 22 admissibility of certain records under certain circumstances; authorizing parties to take certain actions in a hearing; requiring a board to issue a written decision 23 24 answering certain questions; requiring a decision to be made within a certain time period; requiring a board to serve the decision on the parties and the court; 25

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW. [Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



1 requiring a board's decision to be served in a certain manner and format to the 2 Statewide Medical Care Data Base of the Maryland Health Care Commission 3 and the Maryland Patient Safety Center, which may use certain data only for certain purposes: requiring the Marvland Health Care Commission to make 4 5 board decisions available to the public in a certain manner and format; providing for the payment of board members and costs of proceedings equally 6 7 between the parties under certain circumstances; authorizing a party to accept 8 or reject a decision of a board; requiring the parties to take certain actions in 9 either case; authorizing the admission of a board decision in a court proceeding; 10 establishing a certain presumption about a unanimous panel decision in a subsequent trial; authorizing a party to call a member of a board as a witness in 11 12 a subsequent trial; requiring a court to retain a certain neutral expert witness 13 under certain circumstances; requiring a certain party that is the losing party 14 under a board decision and the losing party in a subsequent trial to pay certain costs, including the other party's attorney's fees; exempting certain individuals 15 serving on a certain board from civil liability under certain circumstances; 16 17 defining a certain term; providing for the application of this Act; making the 18 provisions of this Act severable; and generally relating to establishing medical 19 malpractice administrative review boards in medical injury cases.

- 20 BY repealing and reenacting, without amendments,
- 21 Article Courts and Judicial Proceedings
- 22 Section 3-2A-01(a), (c), (f), and (g), 3-2A-04(a) and (b)(1), (2), and (4), and 23 3-2A-06D(a), (b), (c), and (d)
- 24 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 25 (2006 Replacement Volume)
- 26 BY adding to
- 27 Article Courts and Judicial Proceedings
- 28 Section 3–2A–06E
- 29 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 30 (2006 Replacement Volume)
- 31 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 32 Article Courts and Judicial Proceedings
- 33 Section 5–615
- 34 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 35 (2006 Replacement Volume)

36 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF 37 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

38

# **Article – Courts and Judicial Proceedings**

1 3–2A–01.

2 (a) In this subtitle the following terms have the meanings indicated unless 3 the context of their use requires otherwise.

4 (c) "Court" means a circuit court for a county.

"Health care provider" means a hospital, a related institution as 5 (**f**) (1)defined in § 19-301 of the Health – General Article, a medical day care center, a 6 hospice care program, an assisted living program, a freestanding ambulatory care 7 8 facility as defined in § 19–3B–01 of the Health – General Article, a physician, an 9 osteopath, an optometrist, a chiropractor, a registered or licensed practical nurse, a dentist, a podiatrist, a psychologist, a licensed certified social worker-clinical, and a 10 11 physical therapist, licensed or authorized to provide one or more health care services 12 in Maryland.

13 (2) "Health care provider" does not include any nursing institution 14 conducted by and for those who rely upon treatment by spiritual means through 15 prayer alone in accordance with the tenets and practices of a recognized church or 16 religious denomination.

(g) "Medical injury" means injury arising or resulting from the rendering or
failure to render health care.

19 3–2A–04.

(a) (1) (i) A person having a claim against a health care provider for
damage due to a medical injury shall file the claim with the Director and, if the claim
is against a physician, the Director shall forward copies of the claim to the State Board
of Physicians.

(ii) The Director shall cause a copy of the claim to be served
upon the health care provider by the appropriate sheriff in accordance with the
Maryland Rules.

(iii) The health care provider shall file a response with the
Director and serve a copy on the claimant and all other health care providers named
therein within the time provided in the Maryland Rules for filing a responsive
pleading to a complaint.

(iv) The claim and the response may include a statement that
 the matter in controversy falls within one or more particular recognized specialties.

1 (2) A third-party claim shall be filed within 30 days of the response of 2 the third-party claimant to the original claim unless the parties consent to a later 3 filing or a later filing is allowed by the panel chairman or the court, as the case may 4 be, for good cause shown.

5 (3) A claimant may not add a new defendant after the arbitration 6 panel has been selected, or 10 days after the preferring conference has been held, 7 whichever is later.

8 (4) Until all costs attributable to the first filing have been satisfied, a 9 claimant may not file a second claim on the same or substantially the same grounds 10 against any of the same parties.

11

(b) Unless the sole issue in the claim is lack of informed consent:

12 (1) (i) 1. Except as provided in subparagraph (ii) of this 13 paragraph, a claim or action filed after July 1, 1986, shall be dismissed, without 14 prejudice, if the claimant or plaintiff fails to file a certificate of a qualified expert with 15 the Director attesting to departure from standards of care, and that the departure 16 from standards of care is the proximate cause of the alleged injury, within 90 days 17 from the date of the complaint;

18 2. The claimant or plaintiff shall serve a copy of the 19 certificate on all other parties to the claim or action or their attorneys of record in 20 accordance with the Maryland Rules; and

(ii) In lieu of dismissing the claim or action, the panel chairman
 or the court shall grant an extension of no more than 90 days for filing the certificate
 required by this paragraph, if:

24 1. The limitations period applicable to the claim or25 action has expired; and

26 2. The failure to file the certificate was neither willful
27 nor the result of gross negligence.

28 (2) (i) A claim or action filed after July 1, 1986, may be adjudicated 29 in favor of the claimant or plaintiff on the issue of liability, if the defendant disputes 30 liability and fails to file a certificate of a qualified expert attesting to compliance with 31 standards of care, or that the departure from standards of care is not the proximate 32 cause of the alleged injury, within 120 days from the date the claimant or plaintiff served the certificate of a qualified expert set forth in paragraph (1) of this subsection
 on the defendant.

3 (ii) If the defendant does not dispute liability, a certificate of a
4 qualified expert is not required under this subsection.

5 (iii) The defendant shall serve a copy of the certificate on all 6 other parties to the claim or action or their attorneys of record in accordance with the 7 Maryland Rules.

8 (4) A health care provider who attests in a certificate of a qualified 9 expert or who testifies in relation to a proceeding before an arbitration panel or a court 10 concerning compliance with or departure from standards of care may not devote 11 annually more than 20 percent of the expert's professional activities to activities that 12 directly involve testimony in personal injury claims.

13 3–2A–06D.

(a) (1) This section applies only to an initial complaint filed on or after
 January 1, 2005, for which a certificate of a qualified expert is required to be filed in
 accordance with § 3–2A–04 of this subtitle.

17

(2) This section does not apply if the defendant admits liability.

18 (b) (1) Within 15 days after the date that discovery is required to be 19 completed, a party shall file with the court a supplemental certificate of a qualified 20 expert, for each defendant, that attests to:

(i) The certifying expert's basis for alleging what is the specific
 standard of care;

23 (ii) The certifying expert's qualifications to testify to the specific
24 standard of care;

25 (iii) The specific standard of care;

26 (iv) For the plaintiff:

- 271.The specific injury complained of;
- 28 2. How the specific standard of care was breached;

1 2	3. What specifically the defendant should have done to meet the specific standard of care; and						
3 4	4. The inference that the breach of the standard of care proximately caused the plaintiff's injury; and						
5	(v) For the defendant:						
6 7	1. How the defendant complied with the specific standard of care;						
8 9	2. What the defendant did to meet the specific standard of care; and						
10 11	3. If applicable, that the breach of the standard of care did not proximately cause the plaintiff's injury.						
12 13	(2) An extension of the time allowed for filing a supplemental certificate under this section shall be granted for good cause shown.						
14 15 16	a qualified expert shall be considered necessary to show entitlement to relief sought by						
17	(c) Subject to the provisions of this section:						
18 19 20	expert for a defendant, on motion of the defendant the court may dismiss, without						
21 22 23	qualified expert, on motion of the plaintiff the court may adjudicate in favor of the						
24 25 26	(d) $(1)$ The Maryland Rules apply to filing and serving a copy of a certificate required under this section and in motions relating to a violation of this section.						
27 28	(2) Nothing contained in this section prohibits or limits a party from moving for summary judgment in accordance with the Maryland Rules.						
29	3-2A-06E.						

6

IN THIS SECTION, "BOARD" MEANS A MEDICAL MALPRACTICE 1 (A) 2 **REVIEW BOARD SELECTED TO REVIEW A HEALTH CARE MALPRACTICE ACTION.** 3 **(B)** (1) THIS SECTION APPLIES TO AN INITIAL COMPLAINT FILED ON 4 OR AFTER JUNE 1, 2007, FOR WHICH: 5 A CERTIFICATE OF A QUALIFIED EXPERT IS REQUIRED **(I)** TO BE FILED IN ACCORDANCE WITH § 3-2A-04 OF THIS SUBTITLE; AND 6 7 **(II)** A SUPPLEMENTAL CERTIFICATE OF A QUALIFIED 8 EXPERT IS REQUIRED TO BE FILED IN ACCORDANCE WITH § 3-2A-06D OF THIS 9 SUBTITLE. THIS SECTION DOES NOT APPLY IF THE DEFENDANT ADMITS 10 (2) 11 LIABILITY. (C) **(B)** 12 SUBJECT ТО SUBSECTION OF THIS SECTION AND 13 NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER PROVISION OF LAW OR THE MARYLAND RULES, 14 WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER ALL PARTIES HAVE FILED WITH THE COURT THE SUPPLEMENTAL CERTIFICATE OF A QUALIFIED EXPERT IN ACCORDANCE WITH § 15 16 3-2A-06D OF THIS SUBTITLE, AN ACTION FILED UNDER THIS SUBTITLE SHALL 17 BE SUBMITTED TO A BOARD FOR ITS REVIEW AND DECISION IN ACCORDANCE 18 WITH THIS SECTION. THE CIRCUIT COURT OR THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT 19 (1) **(D)** COURT IN WHICH THE INITIAL COMPLAINT IS FILED SHALL REFER THE ACTION 20 21 TO THE CIRCUIT ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT. 22 **(2) (I)** THE CIRCUIT ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE SHALL APPOINT A CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE OR A RETIRED CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT 23 24 TO CHAIR THE BOARD. 25 **(II)** IN APPOINTING A CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE TO CHAIR A 26 BOARD, A CIRCUIT ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE SHALL CONSIDER THE APPOINTEE'S 27 KNOWLEDGE AND LEVEL OF EXPERTISE IN MEDICAL MALPRACTICE MATTERS, INCLUDING MEDICINE, HEALTH CARE ISSUES, AND HEALTH LAW, AND PAST 28 EXPERIENCE AS A PRACTITIONER OF MEDICINE. 29

7

1(III) THE CIRCUIT ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE SHALL2ESTABLISH SPECIFICATIONS FOR AN ONGOING TRAINING CURRICULUM THAT3PROVIDES AN OVERVIEW OF MEDICAL AND LEGAL ISSUES THAT MAY ARISE IN4BOARD PROCEEDINGS.

5 (IV) EACH APPOINTEE SHALL HAVE A CONSISTENT RECORD 6 OF PARTICIPATION IN CONTINUING EDUCATION PROGRAMS SPECIFICALLY 7 RELATING TO MEDICAL MALPRACTICE, MEDICO-LEGAL ISSUES, HEALTH LAW, 8 AND THE FUNDAMENTALS OF CLINICAL PRACTICE.

9 (3) THE CIRCUIT ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE SHALL SEND NOTICE, 10 BY CERTIFIED MAIL, TO EACH PLAINTIFF AND DEFENDANT NAMED IN THE 11 ACTION OF:

12 (I) THE PROCEDURES OF THE MEDICAL MALPRACTICE 13 REVIEW BOARD; AND

14(II) THE NAME OF THE CIRCUIT JUDGE OR RETIRED15CIRCUIT JUDGE APPOINTED TO CHAIR THE BOARD.

16 (E) (1) ALL TIME LIMITS UNDER THIS SUBTITLE OR THE MARYLAND 17 RULES APPLICABLE TO AN ACTION SHALL BE SUSPENDED FROM THE DATE ON 18 WHICH THE COMPLAINT IS REFERRED TO THE CIRCUIT ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE 19 UNDER SUBSECTION (D) OF THIS SECTION UNTIL 30 DAYS FOLLOWING THE DAY 20 THE PARTIES AND THE COURT RECEIVE THE DECISION OF THE BOARD.

21 (F) (1) (I) WITHIN 20 DAYS AFTER THE REFERRAL OF THE 22 COMPLAINT UNDER SUBSECTION (D) OF THIS SECTION, THE CHAIR SHALL:

CHOOSE FIVE INDIVIDUALS FROM THE HEALTH
 CARE PROVIDER LIST IN ACCORDANCE WITH § 3–2A–03(C) OF THIS SUBTITLE,
 TOGETHER WITH A BRIEF BIOGRAPHICAL STATEMENT FOR EACH INDIVIDUAL;
 AND

272.SEND THE LIST, BY CERTIFIED MAIL, TO EACH28PARTY.

**(II)** 

1

THE BIOGRAPHICAL STATEMENTS SENT TO THE

2 PARTIES UNDER THIS SUBSECTION SHALL HAVE BEEN UPDATED WITHIN 2 3 YEARS. 4 (III) EACH INDIVIDUAL CHOSEN BY THE CHAIR SHALL HAVE 5 CLINICAL EXPERIENCE OR ACADEMIC EXPERIENCE IN THE SAME OR RELATED SPECIALTY AS THE DEFENDANT, OR IN THE FIELD OF HEALTH CARE IN WHICH 6 THE DEFENDANT PROVIDED CARE OR TREATMENT TO THE PLAINTIFF. 7 8 **(2) (I)** BEFORE NAMING AN INDIVIDUAL, THE CHAIR SHALL 9 INQUIRE OF THE INDIVIDUAL AND BE ASSURED THAT THE INDIVIDUAL DOES NOT HAVE A PERSONAL OR ECONOMIC RELATIONSHIP WITH ANY OF THE 10 11 PARTIES OR THEIR COUNSEL, OR IN ANY CASES THAT MAY FORM THE BASIS OF 12 ANY PARTIALITY BY THE INDIVIDUAL. 13 **(II)** IF, IN THE JUDGMENT OF THE CHAIR, AN INDIVIDUAL HAS A RELATIONSHIP DESCRIBED UNDER SUBPARAGRAPH (I) OF THIS 14 PARAGRAPH, THE CHAIR SHALL REPLACE THAT INDIVIDUAL'S NAME WITH 15 16 ANOTHER INDIVIDUAL FROM THE LIST UNDER § 3–2A–03(C) OF THIS SUBTITLE. 17 (III) AN INDIVIDUAL CHOSEN BY THE CHAIR MAY NOT 18 **RESIDE IN THE COUNTY IN WHICH THE COMPLAINT IS FILED.** 19 WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER RECEIVING THE LIST UNDER (3) **(I)** PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION, EACH PARTY MAY STRIKE ONE NAME 20 21 FROM THE LIST AND RETURN THE LIST TO THE CHAIR. 22 **(II)** 1. IF THE COMPLAINT IS AGAINST MORE THAN ONE 23 DEFENDANT, WHETHER DIRECTLY BY A PLAINTIFF OR AS A RESULT OF A 24 THIRD-PARTY CLAIM, ALL DEFENDANTS SHALL BE TREATED AS A SINGLE PARTY AND SHALL EXERCISE THEIR STRIKE JOINTLY. 25

26 **2.** IF THERE IS MORE THAN ONE PLAINTIFF, THE 27 PLAINTIFFS SHALL BE TREATED AS A SINGLE PARTY AND SHALL EXERCISE 28 THEIR STRIKE JOINTLY.

3. IF WITHIN THE TIME PERIOD SPECIFIED IN
 PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION, MULTIPLE PLAINTIFFS OR MULTIPLE
 DEFENDANTS FAIL TO AGREE ON THEIR STRIKE, THEY SHALL NOTIFY THE

CHAIR OF THEIR DISAGREEMENT, AND THE CHAIR MAY MAKE THE STRIKE ON
 THEIR BEHALF.

4. IF ANY PARTY FAILS TO RETURN A COPY OF THE
LIST WITH THE STRIKE MADE WITHIN THE TIME PERIOD SPECIFIED IN
PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION, THE CHAIR MAY MAKE THE STRIKE FOR
THAT PARTY.

7 (4) THE CHAIR SHALL APPOINT THE MEMBERSHIP OF THE BOARD
8 AFTER THE STRIKES DESCRIBED IN PARAGRAPH (3) OF THIS SUBSECTION HAVE
9 BEEN MADE.

10 (5) SERVICE BY A HEALTH CARE PROVIDER ON A BOARD UNDER
 THIS SECTION MAY NOT BE CONSIDERED A PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITY THAT
 DIRECTLY INVOLVES TESTIMONY IN PERSONAL INJURY CLAIMS UNDER §
 3-2A-04(B)(4) OF THIS SUBTITLE.

(G) (1) WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE APPOINTMENT OF A BOARD, THE
 CHAIR SHALL NOTIFY THE PARTIES OF THE MEMBERSHIP OF THE BOARD AND
 CONVENE THE BOARD IN AN INITIAL CONFERENCE.

17 (2) AT THE INITIAL CONFERENCE, THE CHAIR SHALL ESTABLISH
 A SCHEDULE FOR THE FILING OF ALL RELEVANT RECORDS AND REASONABLE
 DISCOVERY, WHICH SHALL BE FILED AT LEAST 30 DAYS BEFORE THE HEARING
 DATE.

21 (3) A HEARING ON THE ACTION SHALL BE HELD NO LATER THAN
 22 60 DAYS FROM THE DATE OF INITIAL CONFERENCE.

(H) THE MARYLAND RULES DO NOT APPLY TO THE ADMISSION OF
 EVIDENCE UNDER THIS SECTION.

25 (I) (1) THE HEARING SHALL BE CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC.

(2) THE DELIBERATIONS AND DISCUSSION OF A BOARD AND THE
 TESTIMONY OF AN EXPERT, WHETHER CALLED BY A PARTY OR THE BOARD,
 SHALL BE PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL.

(1) SUBJECT TO PARAGRAPH (2) OF THIS SUBSECTION, THE 1 **(J)** 2 CHAIR: 3 **(I)** SHALL CONVENE THE BOARD, SCHEDULE HEARINGS, 4 AND PRESIDE OVER THE BOARD'S MEETINGS; SHALL ESTABLISH A SCHEDULE FOR SUBMISSION OF 5 **(II)** 6 **EVIDENCE TO THE BOARD;** 7 (III) MAY ADJOURN THE HEARING FROM TIME TO TIME, AS 8 **NECESSARY:** 9 SHALL EXPEDITE THE BOARD'S REVIEW OF THE (IV) 10 **MEDICAL EVIDENCE;** 11 **(V)** SHALL DECIDE ISSUES RELATING TO DISCOVERY AND 12 **MOTIONS IN LIMINE;** 13 (VI) SHALL ADVISE THE BOARD ON ISSUES OF LAW THAT 14 **ARISE DURING THE REVIEW; AND** 15 (VII) SHALL PREPARE THE DECISION OF THE BOARD IN 16 ACCORDANCE WITH SUBSECTION (M) OF THIS SECTION. 17 **(2)** THE CHAIR MAY NOT VOTE IN THE DELIBERATIONS OF THE 18 **BOARD.** 19 (K) A BOARD SHALL DETERMINE, AFTER A HEARING HELD IN 20 ACCORDANCE WITH SUBSECTION (G) OF THIS SECTION, WHETHER THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED, IF PROPERLY SUBSTANTIATED, IS SUFFICIENT TO RAISE 21 A LEGITIMATE QUESTION THAT: 22 THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS OR OMISSIONS WERE A 23 (1) 24 DEPARTURE FROM THE APPROPRIATE STANDARD OF CARE AS ALLEGED IN THE 25 PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT; AND THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS OR OMISSIONS PROXIMATELY 26 **(2)** 

27 CAUSED THE PLAINTIFF'S ALLEGED INJURY.

11

1 (L) (1) AT THE HEARING, THE PLAINTIFF SHALL PRESENT THE 2 COMPLAINT TO THE BOARD AND THE DEFENDANT MAY MAKE A PRESENTATION 3 IN RESPONSE.

4 (2) AFTER THE PRESENTATIONS BY THE PARTIES, THE BOARD 5 MAY REQUEST FROM EACH PARTY ADDITIONAL FACTS, RECORDS, OR OTHER 6 INFORMATION TO BE SUBMITTED IN WRITING.

7 (3) (I) THE BOARD MAY SUBPOENA ANY RECORDS OR ANY
8 EXPERT NECESSARY TO SUBSTANTIATE OR CLARIFY EVIDENCE PRESENTED IN
9 THE HEARING.

10(II) THE BOARD MAY APPOINT AN IMPARTIAL AND11QUALIFIED HEALTH CARE PROVIDER TO PROVIDE NECESSARY PROFESSIONAL12OR EXPERT TESTIMONY.

(III) PROPERLY AUTHENTICATED HOSPITAL RECORDS AND
 THE RECORDS OF A TREATING HEALTH CARE PROVIDER ARE ADMISSIBLE
 WITHOUT THE NECESSITY OF CALLING THE HEALTH CARE PROVIDER, SUBJECT
 TO REASONABLE NOTICE AND THE RIGHT OF THE OPPOSING PARTY TO DEPOSE
 THE HEALTH CARE PROVIDER.

18 (4) AT THE BOARD HEARING, THE PARTIES HAVE THE RIGHT: 19 **(I)** TO BE HEARD; 20 **(II)** TO PRESENT EVIDENCE MATERIAL TO THE COMPLAINT; 21 AND 22 (III) TO CROSS-EXAMINE WITNESSES WHO APPEAR AT THE HEARING. 23 A BOARD SHALL ISSUE ITS WRITTEN DECISION WITHIN 30 24 **(M)** (1) DAYS AFTER THE HEARING. 25 (2) THE DECISION SHALL ANSWER THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS: 26

27(I) WHETHER THERE IS A REASONABLE MEDICAL OR28PROFESSIONAL PROBABILITY THAT THE ACTS OR OMISSIONS COMPLAINED OF

OR FOUND BY THE BOARD TO EXIST CONSTITUTE A DEPARTURE FROM THE
 APPLICABLE STANDARD OF CARE BY THE HEALTH CARE PROVIDER CHARGED
 WITH THAT CARE; AND

4 (II) WHETHER THERE IS A REASONABLE MEDICAL OR 5 PROFESSIONAL PROBABILITY THAT THE ACTS OR OMISSIONS COMPLAINED OF 6 PROXIMATELY CAUSED THE INJURY COMPLAINED OF OR AS FOUND BY THE 7 BOARD.

8 (N) (1) THE BOARD'S DECISION, SIGNED BY THE BOARD MEMBERS, 9 SHALL BE SERVED BY CERTIFIED MAIL ON THE COURT AND THE PARTIES 10 WITHIN 5 DAYS AFTER THE DATE OF ISSUANCE OF THE DECISION.

11 WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER THE DATE OF ISSUANCE OF A BOARD'S **(2)** DECISION, A COPY OF THE BOARD'S DECISION WITHOUT PERSONAL 12 IDENTIFYING INFORMATION, CONSISTENT WITH APPLICABLE STATE AND 13 FEDERAL LAW, SHALL BE SENT BY MAIL TO THE STATEWIDE MEDICAL CARE 14 DATA BASE OF THE MARYLAND HEALTH CARE COMMISSION AND THE 15 16 MARYLAND PATIENT SAFETY CENTER, WHICH MAY USE THE DATA ON BOARD 17 DECISIONS ONLY FOR PURPOSES RELATING TO PATIENT SAFETY ENHANCEMENT 18 **ACTIVITIES.** 

(3) WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER THE DATE OF ISSUANCE OF A BOARD'S
 DECISION, THE MARYLAND HEALTH CARE COMMISSION SHALL MAKE THE
 DECISION AVAILABLE AND READILY ACCESSIBLE TO THE PUBLIC WITHOUT
 PERSONAL IDENTIFYING INFORMATION, CONSISTENT WITH APPLICABLE STATE
 AND FEDERAL LAW.

24 (O) (1) EXCEPT FOR THE CHAIR, EACH MEMBER OF A BOARD IS 25 ENTITLED TO BE REIMBURSED:

(I) UP TO \$350 FOR ALL WORK PERFORMED AS A MEMBER
 OF THE BOARD, EXCLUSIVE OF THE TIME INVOLVED IF CALLED AS A WITNESS TO
 TESTIFY IN COURT; AND

29

(II) **REASONABLE TRAVEL EXPENSES.** 

30(2)(1)THE CHAIR SHALL KEEP AN ACCURATE RECORD OF THE31TIME AND EXPENSES OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD.

THE RECORD SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO THE PARTIES **(II)** 1 2 FOR PAYMENT WITH THE BOARD'S DECISION. 3 (3) UNLESS OTHERWISE AGREED BY THE PARTIES, THE COSTS OF 4 THE HEARING AND REASONABLE EXPENSES OF REVIEW UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL BE DIVIDED EQUALLY BETWEEN THE PARTIES. 5 6 (1) **(I) (P)** A PARTY MAY REJECT THE DECISION OF THE BOARD 7 ISSUED UNDER SUBSECTION (M) OF THIS SECTION FOR ANY REASON. 8 **(II)** THE PARTY REJECTING THE DECISION SHALL FILE A NOTICE OF REJECTION WITH THE CHAIR AND THE CIRCUIT ADMINISTRATIVE 9 JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT IN WHICH THE ACTION WAS FILED OR THE UNITED 10 STATES DISTRICT COURT AND SERVE THE NOTICE ON EACH OTHER PARTY 11 12 WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER RECEIVING THE DECISION. 13 (III) ON RECEIVING THE NOTICE OF REJECTION, THE COURT SHALL REINSTATE THE COMPLAINT TO THE ACTIVE TRIAL LIST AND LIFT THE 14 SUSPENSION OF TIME LIMITS UNDER SUBSECTION (E) OF THIS SECTION. 15 16 **(2)** THE PARTIES MAY ACCEPT THE DECISION OF THE **(I)** 17 BOARD ISSUED UNDER SUBSECTION (M) OF THIS SECTION. 18 IF THE PARTIES ACCEPT THE DECISION, THE PARTIES (II) 19 SHALL MOVE TO DISMISS THE COMPLAINT FILED IN COURT WITHIN 30 DAYS 20 AFTER RECEIVING THE DECISION. 21 (Q) (1) THE BOARD'S DECISION UNDER SUBSECTION (M) OF THIS 22 SECTION IS ADMISSIBLE AS EVIDENCE IN A SUBSEQUENT TRIAL. 23 **(2)** A UNANIMOUS DECISION OF THE BOARD ON EITHER OR BOTH 24 QUESTIONS UNDER SUBSECTION (M) OF THIS SECTION SHALL BE ACCORDED A PRESUMPTION OF CORRECTNESS IN THE SUBSEQUENT TRIAL OF THE CASE. 25 26 EACH PARTY HAS THE RIGHT TO CALL A MEMBER OF THE (R) (1) 27 BOARD AS A WITNESS IN A SUBSEQUENT TRIAL.

TESTIFY ON ISSUES OF LIABILITY AND DAMAGES IN A SUBSEQUENT TRIAL.
(S) (1) A PARTY THAT IS THE LOSING PARTY IN A DECISION BY A
BOARD UNDER THIS SECTION AND IN A SUBSEQUENT TRIAL IS RESPONSIBLE
FOR:

6 (I) COSTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH MARYLAND RULE 2-603; 7 AND

8 (II) THE REASONABLE ATTORNEY'S FEES OF THE 9 PREVAILING PARTY.

10 (2) A PARTY THAT IS THE PREVAILING PARTY IN A DECISION BY A 11 BOARD UNDER THIS SECTION, BUT IS THE LOSING PARTY IN A SUBSEQUENT 12 TRIAL, IS RESPONSIBLE FOR COSTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH MARYLAND RULE 13 2-603.

14(T) AN INDIVIDUAL WHO SERVES AS A MEMBER OF A BOARD UNDER15THIS SECTION SHALL HAVE THE IMMUNITY FROM SUIT DESCRIBED UNDER §165-615 OF THIS ARTICLE.

17 5–615.

1

**(2)** 

In the absence of an affirmative showing of malice or bad faith, each arbitrator or individual conducting alternative dispute resolution AND EACH MEMBER OF A MEDICAL MALPRACTICE ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD CONDUCTING A REVIEW in a health care malpractice claim or action under Title 3, Subtitle 2A of this article from the time of acceptance of appointment has immunity from suit for any act or decision made during tenure and within the scope of designated authority.

24 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall be 25 construed to apply only prospectively and may not be applied or interpreted to have 26 any effect on or application to any action filed before the effective date of this Act.

SECTION 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That if any provision of this Act or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid for any reason in a court of competent jurisdiction, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or any other application of this Act which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and for this purpose the provisions of this Act are declared severable.

THE COURT SHALL RETAIN A NEUTRAL EXPERT WITNESS TO

1 SECTION 4. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect 2 June 1, 2007.