

Department of Legislative Services
Maryland General Assembly
2007 Session

FISCAL AND POLICY NOTE

House Bill 1044
Judiciary

(Delegate Lee, *et al.*)

Crimes - Identity Fraud Repeat Offender - Penalty

This bill provides for an enhanced penalty for a person convicted of identity fraud who has previously been convicted of identity fraud and the prior conviction did not arise from the same incident. The penalty increases to maximum penalties of 10 years imprisonment and/or a fine of \$50,000 from maximum penalties of either 5 years imprisonment and/or a fine of \$25,000, or 18 months imprisonment and/or a fine of \$5,000, depending on the severity of the offense.

Fiscal Summary

State Effect: Potential minimal increase in general fund revenues and expenditures due to the bill's penalty provision.

Local Effect: Potential minimal increase in revenues and expenditures due to the bill's penalty provision.

Small Business Effect: None.

Analysis

Current Law: The term "personal identifying information" means: a name, address, telephone number, driver's license number, Social Security number, place of employment, employee identification number, mother's maiden name, bank or other financial institution account number, date of birth, personal identification number, credit card number, or other payment device number.

A person may not knowingly, willfully, and with fraudulent intent possess, obtain, or help another to possess or obtain any individual's personal identifying information without the consent of that individual to use, sell, or transfer the information to get a benefit, credit, good, service, or other thing of value in the name of that individual. A person may not knowingly and willfully assume the identity of another to avoid identification, apprehension, or prosecution for a crime or with fraudulent intent to get a benefit, credit, good, service, or other thing of value or to avoid payment of debts or other legal obligations.

If the benefit, credit, good, service, or other thing that is the subject of the crime is valued at \$500 or more, then a person who violates this identity fraud provision is guilty of a felony and is subject to maximum penalties of imprisonment for five years and/or a fine of \$25,000. If the benefit or other thing has a value of less than \$500, or if a person knowingly and willfully assumes the identity of another to avoid identification, apprehension, or prosecution for a crime, then the violator is guilty of a misdemeanor and is subject to maximum penalties of imprisonment for 18 months and/or a fine of \$5,000.

If circumstances reasonably indicate that a person's intent was to manufacture, distribute, or dispense another individual's personal identifying information without the individual's consent, the violator is guilty of a felony and is subject to imprisonment for up to five years and/or a fine up to \$25,000. If the violation is committed pursuant to a scheme or continuing course of conduct, the conduct may be considered one offense. The value of goods or services may be combined to determine whether the violation is a felony or misdemeanor.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the State may institute a prosecution for the misdemeanor of identity fraud at any time. Under the Maryland Constitution, a person convicted of the misdemeanor offense of identity fraud is deemed to have committed a misdemeanor whose punishment is confinement in the penitentiary and may reserve a point or question for *in banc* review as provided by the Maryland Constitution. A violator of any of these provisions is subject to a court order for restitution and paying costs, including reasonable attorney's fees, related to restoring a victim's identity. A sentence under the identity fraud provisions may be imposed separate from and consecutive to, or concurrent with, a sentence for any crime based on the acts establishing the violation.

Law enforcement officers may operate without regard to jurisdictional boundaries to investigate identity fraud provisions, within specified limitations. The authority may be exercised only if an act related to the crime was committed in the jurisdiction of an investigative agency or a complaining witness resides in an investigating agency's

jurisdiction. Notification of an investigation must be made to appropriate law enforcement personnel.

Background: The Identity Theft Data Clearinghouse, sponsored by the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) and the Consumer Sentinel, a consortium of national and international law enforcement and private security entities, released *National and State Trends in Fraud and Identity Theft* for calendar 2005 (the latest information available). In calendar 2005, the FTC received 255,565 identity theft complaints. In calendar 2004, the number of identity theft complaints was 246,847.

In Maryland, residents reported 4,848 instances of identity theft in 2005, or 86.6 complaints per 100,000 population, ranking Maryland eleventh in the nation for identity theft. As has been the case for the last several years, the most common type of identity theft was credit card fraud, which comprised 31% of all complaints. The highest number of complaints came from the State's major urban areas: Baltimore City, Silver Spring, Hyattsville, Rockville, and Gaithersburg.

State Revenues: General fund revenues could increase minimally as a result of the bill's monetary penalty provision from cases heard in the District Court.

State Expenditures: General fund expenditures could increase minimally as a result of the bill's incarceration penalty due to people being committed to Division of Correction (DOC) facilities for longer periods of time and increased payments to counties for reimbursement of inmate costs. The number of people convicted under this enhanced penalty is expected to be minimal.

Persons serving a sentence longer than 18 months are incarcerated in DOC facilities. Currently, the average total cost per inmate, including overhead, is estimated at \$2,300 per month. This bill alone, however, should not create the need for additional beds, personnel, or facilities. Excluding overhead, the average cost of housing a new DOC inmate (including medical care and variable costs) is \$465 per month. Excluding medical care, the average variable costs total \$134 per month.

Persons serving a sentence of one year or less in a jurisdiction other than Baltimore City are sentenced to local detention facilities. For persons sentenced to a term of between 12 and 18 months, the sentencing judge has the discretion to order that the sentence be served at a local facility or DOC. The State reimburses counties for part of their incarceration costs, on a per diem basis, after a person has served 90 days. State per diem reimbursements for fiscal 2008 are estimated to range from \$21 to \$65 per inmate depending upon the jurisdiction. Persons sentenced to such a term in Baltimore City are

generally incarcerated in DOC facilities. The Baltimore City Detention Center, a State-operated facility, is used primarily for pretrial detentions.

Local Revenues: Revenues could increase minimally as a result of the bill's monetary penalty provision from cases heard in the circuit courts.

Local Expenditures: Expenditures could increase minimally as a result of the bill's incarceration penalty. Counties pay the full cost of incarceration for people in their facilities for the first 90 days of the sentence, plus part of the per diem cost after 90 days. Per diem operating costs of local detention facilities are expected to range from \$42 to \$120 per inmate in fiscal 2008.

Additional Information

Prior Introductions: None.

Cross File: None.

Information Source(s): Judiciary (Administrative Office of the Courts), Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services, Department of Legislative Services

Fiscal Note History: First Reader - February 23, 2007
ncs/jr

Analysis by: Karen D. Morgan

Direct Inquiries to:
(410) 946-5510
(301) 970-5510