### FISCAL AND POLICY NOTE

Senate Bill 67 Budget and Taxation (Senator Klausmeier)

#### Income Tax - Credit for Smoking Prohibition on the Premises of Business Establishments

This bill creates a tax credit against the State income tax for businesses that are allowed under State and local laws to permit smoking on their premises but voluntarily prohibit smoking by July 1, 2007. The amount of the credit is equal to 15% of the business' tax liability in the tax year, subject to a maximum value of \$5,000. The bill establishes an application procedure and provides for recapture of 200% of the credit if a business violates the smoking prohibition.

The bill takes effect July 1, 2007 and applies to tax year 2007 and beyond.

#### **Fiscal Summary**

**State Effect:** The extent of any revenue loss depends on the number of businesses that voluntarily prohibit smoking. Under one set of assumptions, revenues could decrease by approximately \$10.7 million annually beginning in FY 2008. General fund expenditures could increase by approximately \$34,000 in FY 2008, which reflects one-time tax form changes and computer programming expenditures.

**Local Effect:** Based on the assumptions above, local highway user revenues could decrease by approximately \$192,000 annually if one-quarter of the credits were claimed against the corporate income tax. This bill imposes a mandate on a unit of local government.

Small Business Effect: Potential meaningful.

# Analysis

Current Law: No State income tax credit of this type exists.

Smoking tobacco products is allowed in the following businesses: (1) any establishment that is not a restaurant or hotel, possesses an alcoholic beverages license, and is a bar or tavern; (2) a bar in a hotel or motel; (3) a club that has an alcoholic beverages license; (4) restaurants under specific conditions; (5) up to 40% of a hotel's or motel's sleeping rooms; (6) any other separate enclosed room in an establishment that holds an alcoholic beverages license; or (7) up to 40% of the premises of a fraternal, religious, patriotic, or charitable organization, corporation, fire company, or rescue squad subject to the authority of the Secretary of Health and Mental Hygiene during a public event.

**Background:** Four counties have generally prohibited smoking in eating and drinking establishments – Howard, Montgomery, Prince George's, and Talbot. Charles County prohibits smoking in restaurants but not stand alone bars. Bars and restaurants that have separate ventilated areas have until June 1, 2007 to comply with Howard County's smoking prohibition.

**State Revenues:** The actual cost of the bill, which cannot be reliably estimated at this time, depends on the number of eligible businesses that voluntarily ban smoking by the date specified by the bill and claim the tax credit.

However, for illustrative purposes only, based on data from the Regulatory Enforcement Division of the Comptroller's Office and the U.S. Census Bureau, it is estimated that personal and corporate income tax revenues could decrease by approximately \$10.7 million in fiscal 2008. This estimate is based on the following facts and assumptions:

- There are approximately 6,000 businesses that could qualify for the credit based on State law.
- Approximately one-third of eating and/or drinking establishments could not qualify for the credit due to smoking bans in Charles, Howard, Montgomery, Prince George's, and Talbot counties.
- Fifty percent of eligible businesses opt to voluntarily ban smoking.
- Businesses have sufficient tax liability to claim the entire credit.

**Exhibit 1** lists the estimated loss in revenues associated with different percentages of businesses opting to claim the credit. The cost of the credit would decrease over time due to the limited opportunity to claim the credit and businesses ceasing operations over time.

SB 67	
Percent of Businesses <u>Claim the Credit</u>	Revenue Loss <u>(\$ in Millions)</u>
10%	\$2.1
25%	5.3
50%	10.7
75%	16.0
100%	21.3

# **\_\_\_\_**

It is assumed that the intent of the bill is to provide a tax credit for businesses that voluntarily prohibit smoking in an enclosed workspace. Businesses are allowed to permit smoking in designated smoking areas such as an outdoor smoking area. To the extent that these businesses elect to voluntarily prohibit smoking and qualify for the tax credit, revenue losses would be significantly greater than estimated. In addition, if a statewide smoking ban at businesses and SB 67 were to become law, revenue losses would be approximately \$21.3 million.

State Expenditures: The Comptroller's Office reports that it would incur a one-time expenditure increase of \$34,000 in fiscal 2008 to add the credit to the personal and corporate income tax forms. This includes data processing changes to the SMART income tax return processing and imaging systems and systems testing. The Comptroller's Office would also incur additional, ongoing expenses due to additional data verification requirements. Based on the estimated number of taxpayers who would claim the credit, Legislative Services advises that the ongoing costs can be absorbed within existing budgeted resources.

Local Fiscal Effect: The bill imposes certain requirements on county boards of license commissioners and the Alcoholic Beverage Control Board of Annapolis. These boards are required to review eligibility certificate applications for the tax credit, confirm the business owner has met the requirements of the bill, and if so issue a certificate. The bill also provides that these boards may revoke the certificate if the business is not prohibiting smoking. Any business that has had their certificate revoked has the right to appeal.

**Small Business Effect:** Small businesses that opt to voluntarily ban smoking and claim the credit could benefit from the tax credit.

## **Additional Information**

**Prior Introductions:** SB 8 of 2006 and SB 175 of 2005, identical bills, received unfavorable reports from the Senate Budget and Taxation Committee.

Cross File: None.

**Information Source(s):** City of Annapolis, Baltimore County, Charles County, Frederick County, Montgomery County, Prince George's County, Somerset County, U.S. Census Bureau, Comptroller's Office, Department of Legislative Services

**Fiscal Note History:** First Reader - February 5, 2007 mam/hlb

Analysis by: Robert J. Rehrmann

Direct Inquiries to: (410) 946-5510 (301) 970-5510