HOUSE BILL 1107

By: Delegates Lee, McComas, and Niemann (Task Force to Study Identity Theft) and Delegates Barnes, Benson, Bronrott, DeBoy, Dumais, Frick, Howard, James, Jones, Kaiser, Kullen, McDonough, Pena-Melnyk, Pendergrass, Ramirez, Schuh, Schuler, Shank, Stocksdale, and Waldstreicher

Introduced and read first time: February 7, 2008 Assigned to: Judiciary

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

Identity Fraud - Personal and Business Documents and Cardholder Affidavits - Evidence

4 FOR the purpose of providing that certain personal and business documents are 5 admissible as evidence and presumed to be authentic if a certain person testifies as to their authenticity in any judicial or administrative proceeding; authorizing 6 7 in a criminal case or juvenile proceeding involving identity fraud the 8 introduction of the affidavit of a lawful credit cardholder as substantive 9 evidence that the credit card or credit card number of the credit cardholder was 10 taken, used, or possessed without the authorization of the credit cardholder; and generally relating to the admissibility of documents and affidavits as 11 12 evidence.

- 13 BY adding to
- 14 Article Commercial Law
- 15 Section 24–101 to be under the new title "Title 24. Authentication of
 16 Documents"
- 17 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 18 (2005 Replacement Volume and 2007 Supplement)
- 19 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 20 Article Criminal Law
- 21 Section 8–214.1
- 22 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 23 (2002 Volume and 2007 Supplement)

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW. [Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



E2, I4

| | 2 HOUSE BILL 1107 |
|----------------------|--|
| $rac{1}{2}$ | SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows: |
| 3 | Article – Commercial Law |
| 4 | TITLE 24. AUTHENTICATION OF DOCUMENTS. |
| 5 | 24–101. |
| 6 7 8 | THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE ADMISSIBLE AS EVIDENCE AND PRESUMED TO BE AUTHENTIC IF THE ACCOUNT HOLDER TESTIFIES TO THEIR AUTHENTICITY IN ANY JUDICIAL OR ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING: |
| 9 | (1) PERSONAL BANK RECORDS; |
| 10 | (2) BUSINESS BANK RECORDS; |
| 11 | (3) PERSONAL CREDIT CARD REPORTS; |
| 12 | (4) BUSINESS CREDIT CARD REPORTS; |
| 13 | (5) PERSONAL CREDIT CARD STATEMENTS; |
| 14 | (6) BUSINESS CREDIT CARD STATEMENTS; |
| 15 | (7) PERSONAL CREDIT CARD NOTICES; AND |
| 16 | (8) BUSINESS CREDIT CARD NOTICES. |
| 17 | Article – Criminal Law |
| 18 | 8–214.1. |
| 19 20 21 22 | (a) In a criminal case or juvenile proceeding involving a violation of $\$$ 8–204, $\$$ 8–205, $\$$ 8–206, $\$$ 8–207, $\$$ 8–208, $\$$ 8–209, $\$$ 8–210, [or] $\$$ 8–214, OR $\$$ 8–301 of this subtitle, an affidavit sworn to by a lawful credit cardholder may be introduced as substantive evidence that the credit card or credit card number was taken, used, or |

23 possessed without the authorization of the credit cardholder.

(b) (1) At least 10 days before a proceeding in which the State intends to
introduce into evidence an affidavit as provided under this section, the State shall
provide written notice to the defendant that the State intends to:

27 (i) rely on the affidavit; and

HOUSE BILL 1107

1 (ii) introduce the affidavit into evidence at the proceeding.

2 (2) On written demand of a defendant filed at least 5 days before the 3 proceeding described in subsection (a) of this section, the State shall require the 4 presence of the affiant as a prosecution witness.

5 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect 6 October 1, 2008.