By: The Speaker (By Request – Administration) and Delegates Ali, Beidle, Bobo, Bronrott, Cane, V. Clagett, Frush, Gaines, Gutierrez, Holmes, Kullen, Lafferty, Malone, McIntosh, Montgomery, Morhaim, Niemann, Pena-Melnyk, and Rosenberg Introduced and read first time: February 8, 2008

Assigned to: Environmental Matters

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

Chesapeake and Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area Protection Program – Administrative and Enforcement Provisions

4 FOR the purpose of authorizing the Maryland Home Improvement Commission to 5 deny, reprimand, suspend, or revoke certain licenses for failure to comply with 6 certain legal terms or requirements in the Chesapeake and Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area; requiring the Critical Area Commission for the Chesapeake 7 8 and Atlantic Coastal Bays to notify the Maryland Home Improvement Commission on a contractor's failure to comply with certain terms or 9 requirements in the Critical Area; authorizing the Critical Area Commission to 10 11 adopt and amend certain regulations; requiring the Critical Area Commission to adopt certain regulations; requiring that local Critical Area programs contain 12 13 certain procedures, penalty provisions, and other elements; requiring a local jurisdiction to consider certain factors in the determination of certain penalties; 14 requiring that the Critical Area Commission receive certain notice from a local 1516 jurisdiction within a certain time; establishing that certain development activities violate certain provisions of law; prohibiting a local jurisdiction from 17 accepting certain applications for a variance unless certain conditions are 18 satisfied; requiring a local jurisdiction to deny a variance and order certain 19 actions under certain circumstances, and authorizing a local jurisdiction to 20 21 grant proposed approval to a variance under certain circumstances; requiring the Critical Area Commission to review certain proposed variance approvals 2223and issue certain decisions; specifying the applicability of certain standards 24under certain circumstances; requiring the Critical Area Commission to consider certain factors when reviewing certain map amendments or 2526refinements; prohibiting lot coverage in the buffer in excess of a certain amount, 27except under certain circumstances; specifying the applicability of certain limitations to the extent of lot coverage, with certain exceptions; requiring the 28

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW. [Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



M1

1 establishment of a certain buffer in a certain area, and allowing for certain $\mathbf{2}$ reductions under certain circumstances; requiring that certain erosion 3 protections consist of nonstructural shoreline stabilization measures, except 4 under certain circumstances; requiring that the Critical Area Commission $\mathbf{5}$ consider a local jurisdiction's determination of a classification mistake and 6 make a certain determination; authorizing a local authority to obtain access and 7 enter a certain property for certain purposes and under certain circumstances; requiring a local authority to take certain actions under certain circumstances 8 9 related to certain violations; authorizing the Chairman of the Critical Area 10 Commission to invoke certain sanctions and remedies and bring certain actions 11 under certain circumstances; requiring that certain criminal prosecutions and suits for civil penalties be instituted within a certain time; modifying the initial 12 13planning areas for the determination of the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area and Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area by the use of a certain map; providing for 14 the preparation, distribution, review, refinement, formal adoption, and periodic 15update of a certain map; clarifying the applicability of certain provisions of law; 16 17specifying certain legislative findings; defining certain terms; requiring certain 18 local jurisdictions to report to the Critical Area Commission by a certain date regarding certain procedures; prohibiting a certain construction of this Act; 19 20 making the effective date of a certain provision of this Act subject to a certain 21contingency; and generally relating to the Chesapeake and Atlantic Coastal 22Bays Critical Area Protection Program.

- 23 BY repealing and reenacting, without amendments,
- 24 Article Business Regulation
- 25 Section 8–101(a)
- 26 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 27 (2004 Replacement Volume and 2007 Supplement)
- 28 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 29 Article Business Regulation
- 30 Section 8–101(g) and 8–311(a)
- 31 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 32 (2004 Replacement Volume and 2007 Supplement)
- 33 BY adding to
- 34 Article Business Regulation
- 35 Section 8–506
- 36 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 37 (2004 Replacement Volume and 2007 Supplement)
- 38 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 39 Article Natural Resources
- 40 Section 8–1801, 8–1802(a)(15) through (18), 8–1806, 8–1807(a) and (b), 41 8-1808(c), (d), and (e), 8–1808.1(c) and (e)(2)(i), 8–1808.3, 8–1809(h) and
- 42 (o)(1), 8–1811(b)(2), 8–1815(a), and 8–1815.1(b)
- 43 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 44 (2007 Replacement Volume)

1 BY repealing and reenacting, without amendments,

- 2 Article Natural Resources
- 3 Section 8–1802(a)(1)
- 4 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 5 (2007 Replacement Volume)

6 BY adding to

- 7 Article Natural Resources
- 8 Section 8–1802(a)(15), 8–1808.10, and 8–1808.11
- 9 Annotated Code of Maryland

10 (2007 Replacement Volume)

11

Preamble

12WHEREAS, Following extensive research and the issuance of a report by the 13United States Environmental Protection Agency that clearly demonstrated an 14 alarming extent of degradation of the Chesapeake Bay, in significant part because of prominent land use and growth patterns, the Critical Area Commission was created in 151984 in order to preserve and restore water quality in the State, to maintain valued 16 wildlife habitat, and to accommodate inevitable growth, and these same legislative 1718 concerns were addressed in 2002 when the protections of the Critical Area Program 19 were expanded to include the Atlantic Coastal Bays; and

WHEREAS, The Critical Area, which comprises approximately 11% of Maryland's land mass, includes the majority of the State's most ecologically fragile and valuable properties; and

23 WHEREAS, From its inception, partnership between State and local 24 government has been a cornerstone of the Critical Area Program; and

WHEREAS, To date local Critical Area programs are operative in Baltimore City, 16 counties, and 47 other municipalities, and Critical Area issues directly impact at least seven State departments; and

WHEREAS, After nearly a quarter-century of operation, the Critical Area Program has effectively influenced thousands of land use decisions, addressed and minimized the adverse impacts of growth associated with hundreds of requests for growth allocation, and represented a comprehensive effort between the State and local governments to enforce a variety of water quality and habitat protection standards; and

WHEREAS, Despite these efforts, additional measures are necessary in order to enhance a cooperative land use and natural resource management program that will restore the quality and productivity of the Chesapeake Bay, Atlantic Coastal Bays, their tidal tributaries, and associated land-based ecosystems; and

1 WHEREAS, Particularly in light of the ongoing, accelerating decline of the 2 State's water quality resources and the loss of valuable shoreline areas due to erosion 3 and global warming, it is the view of the General Assembly that significant 4 improvements are in order at this time so as to accomplish Program preservation goals 5 more effectively while streamlining the Program and enhancing its efficiency and 6 predictability; and

7 WHEREAS, Experience has provided several strong indications of how to 8 ensure those Program improvements; and

9 WHEREAS, A key element that is fundamental to the ordinary business 10 operations of all other State agencies is the general authority to adopt regulations, but 11 the ability of the Critical Area Commission to do so was rendered unclear by the 12 March 10, 1987 Opinion of the Attorney General, 72 Md. Op. Atty. Gen. 14, 1987 WL 13 339797 (Md.A.G.), and it is the intent of this legislation to clarify and supersede that 14 Opinion; and

WHEREAS, It is likewise the intent of this legislation to strengthen and clarify the reach of the Program where necessary to compensate for gaps in the current structure, such as the institution of more meaningful enforcement mechanisms, and to provide for fairer and more effective Program procedures around the State that will continue to allow for flexibility in recognition of local partners' varying needs; now, therefore,

21 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF 22 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

23

Article – Business Regulation

24 8–101.

25 (a) In this title the following words have the meanings indicated.

26

(g) (1) "Home improvement" means:

(i) the addition to or alteration, conversion, improvement,
modernization, remodeling, repair, or replacement of a building or part of a building
that is used or designed to be used as a residence or dwelling place or a structure
adjacent to that building; or

31

- (ii) an improvement to land adjacent to the building.
- 32 (2) "Home improvement" includes:

(i) construction, improvement, or replacement, on land adjacent
 to the building, of a driveway, fall-out shelter, fence, garage, landscaping, porch, or
 swimming pool;

1 (ii) A SHORE EROSION CONTROL PROJECT, AS DEFINED $\mathbf{2}$ UNDER § 8-1001 OF THE NATURAL RESOURCES ARTICLE, FOR A RESIDENTIAL 3 **PROPERTY:** 4 (III) connection, installation, or replacement, in the building or structure, of a dishwasher, disposal, or refrigerator with an icemaker to existing $\mathbf{5}$ exposed household plumbing lines; 6 7 (iii)] **(IV)** installation, in the building or structure, of an 8 awning, fire alarm, or storm window; [and] 9 [(iv)](V)work done on individual condominium units; AND 10 (VI) CONSTRUCTION, IMPROVEMENT, ALTERATION, OR 11 REPLACEMENT OF LAND OR ANY STRUCTURE IN THE CHESAPEAKE AND 12ATLANTIC COASTAL BAYS CRITICAL AREA, AS DEFINED UNDER § 8-1802 OF THE NATURAL RESOURCES ARTICLE. 1314 (3)"Home improvement" does not include: (i) construction of a new home; 1516 (ii) work done to comply with a guarantee of completion for a 17new building project; connection, installation, or replacement of an appliance to 18 (iii) 19 existing exposed plumbing lines that requires alteration of the plumbing lines; 20(iv) sale of materials, if the seller does not arrange to perform or 21does not perform directly or indirectly any work in connection with the installation or application of the materials; 2223(**v**) work done on apartment buildings that contain four or more 24single–family units; **OR** 25work done on the commonly owned areas of condominiums[; (vi)26or 27a shore erosion control project, as defined in § 8–1001 of the (vii) 28Natural Resources Article, for a residential property]. 8-311. 29 Subject to the hearing provisions of § 8-312 of this subtitle, the 30 (a) Commission may deny a license to an applicant, reprimand a licensee, or suspend or 31

| $rac{1}{2}$ | revoke a lice applicant or 1 | | f the applicant or licensee or the management personnel of the ee: |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| $3 \\ 4$ | | (1) cant of | fraudulently or deceptively obtains or attempts to obtain a license r licensee or for another person; |
| 5 | | (2) | fraudulently or deceptively uses a license; |
| $6 \\ 7$ | | (3) olicatio | fails to give the Commission information required by this subtitle on for a license; |
| 8 | | (4) | fails to pass an examination required by this subtitle; |
| 9 10 | a: | (5) | under the laws of the United States or of any state, is convicted of |
| 11 | | | (i) felony; or |
| $\begin{array}{c} 12\\ 13 \end{array}$ | qualification | of the | (ii) misdemeanor that is directly related to the fitness and applicant or licensee to engage in home improvement services; |
| 14 | | (6) | often fails to perform home improvement contracts; |
| 15 | | (7) | falsifies an account; |
| 16 | | (8) | engages in fraud; |
| 17 18 19 | based on th | | as a contractor or subcontractor fails to show financial solvency, ended scope and size of the business in relation to total assets, ating, and net worth; |
| $20 \\ 21$ | | (10) of an | as a contractor or subcontractor lacks competence, as shown by the unworkmanlike, inadequate, or incomplete home improvement; |
| 22 | | (11) | violates this title; |
| 23 | | (12) | attempts to violate this title; [or] |
| 24 | | (13) | violates a regulation adopted under this title ; OR |
| 25 26 27 | CRITICAL A | | IN THE CHESAPEAKE AND ATLANTIC COASTAL BAYS AS DEFINED UNDER § 8–1802 OF THE NATURAL RESOURCES TO COMPLY WITH: |
| 28 29 | APPROVAL I | ISSUE | (I) THE TERMS OF A STATE OR LOCAL PERMIT, LICENSE, OR D FOR HOME IMPROVEMENT; OR |

1 (II) ANY STATE OR LOCAL LAW, AN APPROVED PLAN, OR 2 OTHER LEGAL REQUIREMENT.

3 **8–506.**

4 (A) IN THIS SECTION, "CRITICAL AREA" HAS THE MEANING DESIGNATED 5 UNDER § 8–1802 OF THE NATURAL RESOURCES ARTICLE.

6 (B) THE CRITICAL AREA COMMISSION FOR THE CHESAPEAKE AND 7 ATLANTIC COASTAL BAYS, AS ESTABLISHED UNDER TITLE 8, SUBTITLE 18 OF 8 THE NATURAL RESOURCES ARTICLE, SHALL NOTIFY THE COMMISSION OF ANY 9 CONTRACTOR WHO, IN THE CRITICAL AREA, FAILS TO COMPLY WITH:

10(1) THE TERMS OF A STATE OR LOCAL PERMIT, LICENSE, OR11APPROVAL ISSUED FOR HOME IMPROVEMENT; OR

12(2) ANY STATE OR LOCAL LAW, APPROVED PLAN, OR OTHER13LEGAL REQUIREMENT.

- 14 Article Natural Resources
- 15 8–1801.
- 16 (a) The General Assembly finds and declares that:

17 (1) The Chesapeake and the Atlantic Coastal Bays and their
 18 tributaries are natural resources of great significance to the State and the nation, AND
 19 THEIR BEAUTY, THEIR ECOLOGICAL VALUE, AND THEIR ECONOMIC IMPACT ALL
 20 REACH FAR BEYOND ANY ONE LOCAL JURISDICTION;

(2) The shoreline and adjacent lands, PARTICULARLY THE BUFFER
 AREAS, constitute a valuable, fragile, and sensitive part of this estuarine system,
 where human activity can have a particularly immediate and adverse impact on water
 quality and natural habitats;

(3) The capacity of these shoreline and adjacent lands to withstand
continuing demands without further degradation to water quality and natural
habitats is limited;

(4) Human activity is harmful in these shoreline areas, where the new
development of nonwater-dependent structures or [the addition of impervious
surfaces] AN INCREASE IN LOT COVERAGE is presumed to be contrary to the purpose
of this subtitle, because these activities may cause adverse impacts, of both an
immediate and a long-term nature, to the Chesapeake and Atlantic Coastal Bays, and
thus it is necessary wherever possible to maintain a buffer of at least 100 feet

landward from the mean high water line of tidal waters, tributary streams, and tidal
 wetlands;

3 (5) National studies have documented that the quality and 4 productivity of the waters of the Chesapeake Bay and its tributaries have declined due 5 to the cumulative effects of human activity that have caused increased levels of 6 pollutants, nutrients, and toxics in the Bay System and declines in more protective 7 land uses such as forestland and agricultural land in the Bay region;

8 Those portions of the Chesapeake and the Atlantic Coastal Bays $(\mathbf{6})$ 9 and their tributaries within Maryland are particularly stressed by the continuing development growth and activity concentrated the 10 population in 11 Baltimore–Washington metropolitan corridor and along the Atlantic Coast;

12 (7) The quality of life for the citizens of Maryland is enhanced through 13 the restoration of the quality and productivity of the waters of the Chesapeake and the 14 Atlantic Coastal Bays, and their tributaries;

15 (8) The restoration of the Chesapeake and the Atlantic Coastal Bays 16 and their tributaries is dependent, in part, on minimizing further adverse impacts to 17 the water quality and natural habitats of the shoreline and adjacent lands, 18 particularly in the buffer;

(9) The cumulative impact of current development and of each new
 development activity in the buffer is inimical to these purposes, AND IT IS
 THEREFORE IMPERATIVE THAT STATE LAW BE SUFFICIENT TO PROTECT
 IRREPLACEABLE STATE BUFFER RESOURCES FROM UNPERMITTED ACTIVITY;
 and

(10) There is a critical and substantial State interest for the benefit of
 current and future generations in fostering more sensitive development [activity] AND
 MORE EFFECTIVE ENFORCEMENT in a consistent and uniform manner along
 shoreline areas of the Chesapeake and the Atlantic Coastal Bays and their tributaries
 so as to minimize damage to water quality and natural habitats.

29

(b) It is the purpose of the General Assembly in enacting this subtitle:

30 (1) To establish a Resource Protection Program for the Chesapeake 31 and the Atlantic Coastal Bays and their tributaries by fostering more sensitive 32 development activity for certain shoreline areas so as to minimize damage to water 33 quality and natural habitats; and

34 (2) To implement the Resource Protection Program on a cooperative 35 basis between the State and affected local governments, with local governments 36 establishing and implementing their programs in a consistent and uniform manner 37 subject to State LEADERSHIP, [criteria] CRITERIA, and oversight.

1 8 - 1802. $\mathbf{2}$ In this subtitle the following words have the meanings indicated. (1)(a) "LOT COVERAGE" MEANS THE PERCENTAGE OF A TOTAL 3 (**15**) (**I**) 4 LOT OR PARCEL THAT IS: 5 1. OCCUPIED BY Α STRUCTURE, ACCESSORY 6 STRUCTURE, PARKING AREA, DRIVEWAY, OR ROADWAY; OR 7 2. **COVERED WITH A PAVER, WALKWAY, DECKING, OR** 8 **OTHER MANMADE MATERIAL.** 9 "LOT COVERAGE" INCLUDES THE TOTAL GROUND AREA **(II)** 10 COVERED OR OCCUPIED, INCLUDING ELEMENTS PROTRUDING FROM A 11 BUILDING SUCH AS A STAIRWAY, CANTILEVERED DECK, CHIMNEY, OR 12 **OVERHANGING DECK OR BALCONY.** (III) "LOT COVERAGE" DOES NOT INCLUDE A FENCE OR 1314 WALL THAT IS LESS THAN 1 FOOT IN WIDTH THAT HAS NOT BEEN CONSTRUCTED 15WITH A FOOTER. 16 "Program" means the critical area protection program **[(15)] (16)** (i) 17 of a local jurisdiction. 18 (ii) "Program" includes any amendments to the program. 19 "Program amendment" means any change or proposed [(16)](17) (i) 20change to an adopted program that is not determined by the Commission chairman to be a program refinement. 2122"Program amendment" includes a change to a zoning map (ii) that is not consistent with the method for using the growth allocation contained in an 2324adopted program. 25[(17)] **(18)** (i) "Program refinement" means any change or proposed change to an adopted program that the Commission chairman determines will result 26 27in a use of land or water in the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area or the Atlantic Coastal 28 Bays Critical Area in a manner consistent with the adopted program, or that will not significantly affect the use of land or water in the critical area. 2930 "Program refinement" may include: (ii) 31 1. A change to an adopted program that results from 32State law;

HOUSE BILL 1253

| HOUSE | BILL | 1253 |
|-------|------|------|
|-------|------|------|

10

1 2. A change to an adopted program that affects local $\mathbf{2}$ processes and procedures; 3 3. A change to a local ordinance or code that clarifies an 4 existing provision; and 5 4. A minor change to an element of an adopted program that is clearly consistent with the provisions of this subtitle and all of the criteria of 6 7 the Commission. 8 **[**(18)**] (19)** (i) "Project approval" means the approval of 9 development, other than development by a State or local government agency, in the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area or the Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area by the 10 appropriate local approval authority. 11 12 (ii) "Project approval" includes: Approval of subdivision plats and site plans; 13 1. 14 $\mathbf{2}$. Inclusion of areas within floating zones; 3. Issuance of variances, special exceptions, 15and 16 conditional use permits; and 17 Approval of rezoning. 4. 18 (iii) "Project approval" does not include building permits. 19 8-1806. 20The Commission has all powers necessary for carrying out the purposes (a)21of this subtitle, including the following: 22[To adopt regulations and criteria in] **IN** accordance with Title 2, (1)23Subtitle 5 (Joint Committee on Administrative, Executive and Legislative Review) and 24Title 10, Subtitle 1 (Administrative Procedure Act) of the State Government Article, 25TO ADOPT AND AMEND REGULATIONS AS NECESSARY AND APPROPRIATE TO THE 26 ADMINISTRATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE STATE AND LOCAL PROGRAMS; 27(2)To conduct hearings in connection with policies, proposed 28programs, and proposed regulations or amendments to regulations; To contract for consultant or other services; and 29 (3)To establish an advisory committee, composed of members of the 30 (4)31Commission and local citizens and local stakeholder groups, to make recommendations 32to the Commission with respect to Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area programs.

1 (b) **AT A MINIMUM, REGULATIONS ADOPTED OR AMENDED UNDER** 2 SUBSECTION (A)(1) OF THIS SECTION SHALL:

3 (1) ESTABLISH COMPREHENSIVE STANDARDS FOR BUFFER
4 ESTABLISHMENT, MAINTENANCE, AND LONG-TERM PROTECTION AND FOR
5 BUFFER MITIGATION ACTIVITIES ASSOCIATED WITH VIOLATIONS, VARIANCES,
6 OR AUTHORIZED DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES, INCLUDING PROVISIONS TO
7 ENSURE THE PROTECTION AND CONSERVATION OF THE BUFFER AS A STATE
8 WATER QUALITY AND HABITAT RESOURCE ESSENTIAL TO THE RESTORATION OF
9 THE CHESAPEAKE AND ATLANTIC COASTAL BAYS; AND

10(2)**PROVIDE FLEXIBILITY WHEREVER POSSIBLE IN ORDER TO**11ACCOMMODATE VARIATIONS AMONG LOCAL PROGRAMS.

12 (C) The members of the Commission who reside in the Atlantic Coastal Bays 13 Watershed shall serve on any committee established under subsection (a)(4) of this 14 section.

15 8–1808.

(c) (1) (I) [At a minimum,] NOTWITHSTANDING ANY PROVISION IN
A LOCAL LAW OR ORDINANCE, OR THE LACK OF A PROVISION IN A LOCAL LAW
OR ORDINANCE, ALL OF THE REQUIREMENTS OF THIS SUBTITLE SHALL APPLY
TO, AND BE APPLIED BY, A LOCAL JURISDICTION AS MINIMUM STANDARDS FOR a
program sufficient to meet the goals [stated in subsection (b) of this section includes]
OF THE CRITICAL AREA PROGRAM.

(II) AT A MINIMUM, A PROGRAM SHALL CONTAIN ALL OF
 THE FOLLOWING ELEMENTS, INCLUDING:

| $\begin{array}{c} 24 \\ 25 \end{array}$ | jurisdiction; | [(i)] 1. A | map designating the critical area in a local |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---|
| 26 | | [(ii)] 2. A c | comprehensive zoning map for the critical area; |
| 27 28 | jurisdiction's: | [(iii)] 3. As | necessary, new or amended provisions of the |
| 29 | | [1.] A. | Subdivision regulations; |
| 30 | | [2.] B. | Comprehensive or master plan; |
| 31 | | [3.] C. | Zoning ordinances or regulations; |

12

1

[4.] **D.** Provisions relating to enforcement; and

 $\mathbf{2}$ [5.] **E**. Provisions as appropriate relating to 3 grandfathering of development at the time the program is adopted or approved by the 4 Commission. INCLUDING PROVISIONS BRINGING FOR LANDS INTO $\mathbf{5}$ CONFORMANCE WITH THE PROGRAM AS REQUIRED UNDER ITEM 13 OF THIS 6 **SUBPARAGRAPH:**

7 [(iv)] 4. Provisions requiring that project approvals shall be 8 based on findings that projects are consistent with the standards stated in subsection 9 (b) of this section AND THAT THE COMMISSION SHALL RECEIVE WRITTEN NOTICE 10 OF LOCAL DECISIONS ON PROJECT APPROVALS WITHIN 10 WORKING DAYS 11 AFTER THE DATE OF ISSUANCE;

12 [(v)] **5.** Provisions to limit [the amount of land covered by 13 buildings, roads, parking lots, or other impervious surfaces,] LOT COVERAGE and to 14 require or encourage cluster development, where necessary or appropriate;

15 [(vi)] **6.** Establishment of buffer areas along shorelines within 16 which agriculture will be permitted only if best management practices are used, 17 provided that structures or any other use of land which is necessary for adjacent 18 agriculture shall also be permitted in any buffer area;

19 [(vii)] **7.** Requirements for minimum setbacks for structures 20 and septic fields along shorelines, including the establishment of a minimum buffer 21 landward from the mean high water line of tidal waters, tributary streams, and tidal 22 wetlands;

23 [(viii)] 8. Designation of shoreline areas, if any, that are 24 suitable for parks, hiking, biking, wildlife refuges, scenic drives, public access or 25 assembly, and water-related recreation such as boat slips, piers, and beaches;

26 [(ix)] **9.** Designation of shoreline areas, if any, that are 27 suitable for ports, marinas, and industries that use water for transportation or derive 28 economic benefits from shore access;

[(x)] 10. Provisions requiring that all harvesting of timber in
the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area or the Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area be in
accordance with plans approved by the district forestry board;

32 [(xi)] 11. Provisions establishing that the controls in a program
33 which are designed to prevent runoff of pollutants will not be required on sites where
34 the topography prevents runoff from directly or indirectly reaching tidal waters;

35 [(xii)] **12.** Provisions for reasonable accommodations in policies 36 or procedures when the accommodations are necessary to avoid discrimination on the

basis of physical disability, including provisions that authorize a local jurisdiction to
require removal of a structure that was installed or built to accommodate a physical
disability and require restoration when the accommodation permitted by this
paragraph is no longer necessary;

5 **13.** PROCEDURES, INCLUDING CONSOLIDATION OR 6 RECONFIGURATION OF LOTS, THAT SHALL BE APPROVED BY THE COMMISSION 7 AND ASSURE THAT THE FOLLOWING LOTS AND LANDS ARE BROUGHT INTO 8 CONFORMANCE WITH THE PROGRAM TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE:

9 A. ANY LEGAL PARCEL OF LAND, NOT BEING PART 10 OF A RECORDED OR APPROVED SUBDIVISION, THAT WAS RECORDED AS OF 11 DECEMBER 1, 1985; AND

12 **B.** LAND THAT WAS SUBDIVIDED INTO RECORDED 13 LEGALLY BUILDABLE LOTS, WHERE THE SUBDIVISION RECEIVED THE LOCAL 14 JURISDICTION'S FINAL APPROVAL BEFORE JUNE 1, 1984;

15 [(xiii)] **14.** Except as provided in subsection (d) of this section, 16 provisions for granting a variance to the local jurisdiction's critical area program, in 17 accordance with regulations adopted by the Commission concerning variances set forth 18 in COMAR 27.01.11; [and]

[(xiv)] 15. Penalty provisions establishing that, in addition to
 any other penalty applicable under State or local law, a person who violates a
 provision of this subtitle or of a program, INCLUDING A CONTRACTOR, PROPERTY
 OWNER, OR ANY OTHER PERSON WHO COMMITTED, ASSISTED, OR PARTICIPATED
 IN A VIOLATION:

24

A. [is] **IS** subject to a fine not exceeding \$10,000; **AND**

25B. MAY BE HELD JOINTLY OR SEVERALLY26RESPONSIBLE FOR EACH VIOLATION; AND

2716. IN ACCORDANCE WITH REGULATIONS ADOPTED28BY THE COMMISSION, ADMINISTRATIVE ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES IN29ACCORDANCE WITH DUE PROCESS PRINCIPLES, INCLUDING NOTICE AND AN30OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD, AND ESTABLISHING THAT:

A. EACH VIOLATION OF THIS SUBTITLE OR OF A
 REGULATION, RULE, ORDER, PROGRAM, OR OTHER REQUIREMENT ADOPTED
 UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF THIS SUBTITLE CONSTITUTES A SEPARATE
 OFFENSE;

| | 14 HOUSE BILL 1253 |
|----------------------------------|---|
| $rac{1}{2}$ | B. EACH CALENDAR DAY THAT A VIOLATION CONTINUES CONSTITUTES A SEPARATE OFFENSE; |
| $\frac{3}{4}$ | C. FOR EACH OFFENSE, A PERSON SHALL BE SUBJECT TO SEPARATE FINES, ORDERS, SANCTIONS, AND OTHER PENALTIES; |
| 5 6 7 | D. CIVIL PENALTIES FOR CONTINUING VIOLATIONS SHALL ACCRUE WITHOUT A REQUIREMENT FOR AN ADDITIONAL ASSESSMENT, NOTICE, OR OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING FOR EACH SEPARATE OFFENSE; |
| 8 9 10 11 | E. ON CONSIDERATION OF ALL THE FACTORS INCLUDED UNDER THIS SUBSECTION AND ANY OTHER FACTORS IN THE LOCAL JURISDICTION'S APPROVED PROGRAM, THE LOCAL JURISDICTION'S CODE ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL SHALL IMPOSE THE AMOUNT OF THE PENALTY; AND |
| $12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15$ | F. PAYMENT OF ALL CIVIL PENALTIES AND CORRECTION OF THE VIOLATION SHALL BE A CONDITION PRECEDENT TO THE ISSUANCE OF ANY PERMIT, APPROVAL, VARIANCE, OR SPECIAL EXCEPTION FOR THE AFFECTED PROPERTY. |
| 16 17 18 | (2) In determining the amount of the penalty to be assessed under paragraph $[(1)(xiv)]$ (1)(II)15 of this subsection, a local jurisdiction [may] SHALL consider: |
| 19 | (i) The gravity of the violation; |
| 20 | (ii) Any willfulness or negligence involved in the violation; [and] |
| 21 | (iii) The environmental impact of the violation; AND |
| 22 23 24 25 26 | (IV) THE COST OF RESTORATION OF THE RESOURCE AFFECTED BY THE VIOLATION AND MITIGATION FOR DAMAGE TO THAT RESOURCE, INCLUDING THE COST TO THE STATE OR LOCAL AUTHORITIES FOR PERFORMING, SUPERVISING, OR RENDERING ASSISTANCE TO THE RESTORATION AND MITIGATION. |
| 27 28 29 30 31 32 | (3) REGULATIONS ADOPTED UNDER PARAGRAPH (1)(II)16 OF THIS SUBSECTION SHALL PROVIDE FOR THE COMMISSION'S CONSIDERATION OF ENFORCEMENT PROVISIONS SUBMITTED BY A LOCAL JURISDICTION THAT ARE AT LEAST AS EFFECTIVE AS ENFORCEMENT REQUIREMENTS UNDER THIS SUBTITLE AND REGULATIONS ADOPTED UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF THIS SUBTITLE. |

1 (d) (1) In this subsection, "unwarranted hardship" means that, without a 2 variance, an applicant would be denied reasonable and significant use of the entire 3 parcel or lot for which the variance is requested.

4 (2) (i) In considering an application for a variance, a local 5 jurisdiction shall presume that the specific development activity in the critical area 6 that is subject to the application and for which a variance is required does not conform 7 with the general purpose and intent of this subtitle, regulations adopted under this 8 subtitle, and the requirements of the local jurisdiction's program.

9 (ii) If the variance request is based on conditions or 10 circumstances that are the result of actions by the applicant[, including the 11 commencement of development activity before an application for a variance has been 12 filed], a local jurisdiction may consider that fact.

(3) (i) An applicant has the burden of proof and the burden of
persuasion to overcome the presumption established under paragraph (2)(i) of this
subsection.

(ii) 1. Based on competent and substantial evidence, a local
jurisdiction shall make written findings as to whether the applicant has overcome the
presumption established under paragraph (2)(i) of this subsection.

19 2. With due regard for the person's experience, technical
20 competence, and specialized knowledge, the written findings may be based on evidence
21 introduced and testimony presented by:

22

- A. The applicant;
- B. The local jurisdiction or any other government agency; or

25C.Any other person deemed appropriate by the local26jurisdiction.

27 (4) A variance to a local jurisdiction's critical area program may not be
28 granted unless:

(i) Due to special features of a site, or special conditions or
 circumstances peculiar to the applicant's land or structure, a literal enforcement of the
 critical area program would result in unwarranted hardship to the applicant;

32 (ii) The local jurisdiction finds that the applicant has satisfied
33 each one of the variance provisions; and

(iii) Without the variance, the applicant would be deprived of a
 use of land or a structure permitted to others in accordance with the provisions of the
 critical area program.
 (5) WITHIN 10 WORKING DAYS AFTER ISSUANCE, THE

4 (5) WITHIN TO WORKING DAYS AFTER ISSUANCE, THE
5 COMMISSION SHALL RECEIVE WRITTEN NOTICE FROM A LOCAL JURISDICTION
6 REGARDING ITS DECISION ON EACH VARIANCE APPLICATION.

7 (6) (I) A DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY COMMENCED WITHOUT A
8 REQUIRED PERMIT, APPROVAL, VARIANCE, OR SPECIAL EXCEPTION IS A
9 VIOLATION OF THIS SUBTITLE.

10(II) A LOCAL JURISDICTION MAY NOT ACCEPT AN11APPLICATION FOR A VARIANCE TO LEGALIZE A VIOLATION OF THIS SUBTITLE,12INCLUDING AN UNPERMITTED STRUCTURE OR DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY,13UNLESS THE LOCAL JURISDICTION FIRST:

141. ISSUES A NOTICE OF VIOLATION, INCLUDING15ASSESSMENT OF AN ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTY, FOR THE VIOLATION; AND

16 **2.** VERIFIES, THROUGH ON–SITE INSPECTION OR 17 OTHER RELIABLE MEANS, THAT:

18A. FULL COMPLIANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE19NOTICE OF VIOLATION HAS BEEN ACHIEVED, INCLUDING PAYMENT OF ALL20ASSESSED FINES AND COMPLETION OF ANY REQUIRED MITIGATION; OR

B. A FINAL ADJUDICATION ON THE MERITS OF THE NOTICE OF VIOLATION HAS DETERMINED THAT A VIOLATION HAS NOT OCCURRED OR THAT THE FINAL ADJUDICATION HAS DETERMINED THAT A VIOLATION DID OCCUR AND THE PERSON HAS FULLY COMPLIED WITH THE TERMS OF THAT ADJUDICATION, INCLUDING FULL PAYMENT OF ANY PENALTIES AND COSTS THAT MAY BE ASSESSED.

(III) IF THE FINAL ADJUDICATION OF A NOTICE OF
VIOLATION RESULTS IN A DETERMINATION THAT A VIOLATION HAS OCCURRED,
THE PERSON SHALL BE LIABLE FOR A PENALTY THAT IS TWICE THE AMOUNT OF
THE ASSESSMENT IN THE NOTICE OF VIOLATION, IN ADDITION TO THE COST OF
THE HEARING AND ANY APPLICABLE MITIGATION COSTS.

(IV) APPLICATION FOR A VARIANCE UNDER THIS
 PARAGRAPH CONSTITUTES A WAIVER OF THE RIGHT TO APPEAL THE TERMS OF
 A NOTICE OF VIOLATION AND ITS FINAL ADJUDICATION, INCLUDING THE
 PAYMENT OF ANY PENALTIES AND COSTS ASSESSED.

(v) IF THE LOCAL JURISDICTION FINDS THAT THE ACTIVITY
 OR STRUCTURE FOR WHICH A VARIANCE IS REQUESTED COMMENCED WITHOUT
 PERMITS OR APPROVALS AND:
 1. DOES NOT MEET EACH OF THE VARIANCE
 CRITERIA UNDER THIS SUBSECTION THE LOCAL JURISDICTION SHALL DENY

5 CRITERIA UNDER THIS SUBSECTION, THE LOCAL JURISDICTION SHALL DENY
6 THE REQUESTED VARIANCE AND ORDER REMOVAL OR RELOCATION OF ANY
7 STRUCTURE AND RESTORATION OF THE AFFECTED RESOURCES; OR

8 2. DOES MEET EACH OF THE VARIANCE CRITERIA 9 UNDER THIS SUBSECTION, THE LOCAL JURISDICTION MAY GRANT PROPOSED 10 APPROVAL TO THE REQUESTED VARIANCE.

11 (VI) 1. WITHIN 10 WORKING DAYS AFTER ISSUANCE OF A 12 PROPOSED APPROVAL OF A VARIANCE UNDER SUBPARAGRAPH (V)2 OF THIS 13 PARAGRAPH, THE LOCAL JURISDICTION SHALL SUBMIT THE PROPOSED 14 APPROVAL TO THE COMMISSION FOR THE COMMISSION'S REVIEW AND FINAL 15 APPROVAL.

162.THE COMMISSION SHALL REVIEW AND ISSUE A17FINAL DECISION ON A PROPOSED LOCAL APPROVAL IN ACCORDANCE WITH18PROCEDURES ESTABLISHED IN REGULATIONS ADOPTED BY THE COMMISSION.

19 [(5)] (7) This subsection does not apply to building permits or 20 activities that comply with a buffer exemption plan or buffer management plan of a 21 local jurisdiction which has been approved by the Commission.

[(6)] (8) Notwithstanding any provision of a local law or ordinance, or the lack of a provision in a local law or ordinance, all of the provisions of this subsection shall apply to, and shall be applied by, a local jurisdiction in the consideration, processing, and decision on an application for a variance.

(e) (1) The Commission shall adopt by regulation on or before December
1, 1985 criteria for program development and approval, which are necessary or
appropriate to achieve the standards stated in subsection (b) of this section. Prior to
developing its criteria and also prior to adopting its criteria, the Commission shall
hold at least 6 regional public hearings, 1 in each of the following areas:

- 31 (i) Harford, Cecil, and Kent counties;
- 32 (ii) Queen Anne's, Talbot, and Caroline counties;
- 33 (iii) Dorchester, Somerset, and Wicomico counties;
- 34 (iv) Baltimore City and Baltimore County;

| 1 | (v) Charles, Calvert, and St. Mary's counties; and |
|---|--|
| 2 | (vi) Anne Arundel and Prince George's counties. |
| $3 \\ 4$ | (2) During the hearing process, the Commission shall consult with each affected local jurisdiction. |
| 5 6 7 8 | (3) IN ACCORDANCE WITH ITS POWERS UNDER § 8–1806(A) OF THIS SUBTITLE, THE COMMISSION MAY AMEND THE CRITERIA FOR PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT AND APPROVAL ADOPTED UNDER PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION. |
| 9 | 8–1808.1. |
| $\begin{array}{c} 10\\11 \end{array}$ | (c) (1) When locating new intensely developed or limited development areas, local jurisdictions shall use the following [guidelines] STANDARDS : |
| $\begin{array}{c} 12\\ 13 \end{array}$ | (i) Locate a new intensely developed area in a limited development area or adjacent to an existing intensely developed area; |
| $\begin{array}{c} 14 \\ 15 \end{array}$ | (ii) Locate a new limited development area adjacent to an existing limited development area or an intensely developed area; |
| 16 17 18 19 | (iii) Locate a new limited development area or an intensely developed area in a manner that minimizes impacts to a habitat protection area as defined in COMAR 27.01.09, and in an area and manner that optimizes benefits to water quality; |
| $20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 25$ | (iv) Locate a new intensely developed area or a limited development area in a resource conservation area at least 300 feet beyond the landward edge of tidal wetlands or tidal waters, UNLESS THE LOCAL JURISDICTION PROPOSES, AND THE COMMISSION APPROVES, ALTERNATIVE MEASURES FOR ENHANCEMENT OF WATER QUALITY AND HABITAT THAT PROVIDE GREATER BENEFITS TO THE RESOURCES; |
| 26 27 28 | (V) LOCATE NEW INTENSELY DEVELOPED AREAS IN A MANNER THAT MINIMIZES THEIR IMPACTS TO THE DEFINED LAND USES OF THE RESOURCE CONSERVATION AREA; |
| 29 30 31 | [(v)] (VI) Except as provided in item $[(vii)]$ (VIII) of this paragraph, no more than one-half of the expansion allocated in the criteria of the Commission may be located in resource conservation areas; |
| 32 33 | [(vi)] (VII) New intensely developed or limited development areas [to be located in the resource conservation area] INVOLVING THE USE OF |

GROWTH ALLOCATION shall conform to all criteria of the Commission and shall be designated on the comprehensive zoning map submitted by the local jurisdiction as part of its application to the Commission for program approval or at a later date in compliance with § 8–1809(g) of this subtitle; and

 $\mathbf{5}$ [(vii)] (VIII) In Calvert, Caroline, Cecil, Charles, Dorchester, Kent, 6 Queen Anne's, St. Mary's, Somerset, Talbot, Wicomico, and Worcester counties, if the 7 county is unable to utilize a portion of the growth allocated to the county in items (i) 8 and (ii) of this paragraph within or adjacent to existing intensely developed or limited development areas as demonstrated in the local plan approved by the Commission, 9 then that portion of the allocated expansion which cannot be so located may be located 10 11 in the resource conservation area in addition to the expansion allocated in item [(v)] 12(VI) of this paragraph. A developer shall be required to cluster any development in an area of expansion authorized under this paragraph. 13

14(2) IN REVIEWING MAP AMENDMENTS OR REFINEMENTS15INVOLVING THE USE OF GROWTH ALLOCATION, THE COMMISSION SHALL16CONSIDER:

(I) CONSISTENCY WITH THE JURISDICTION'S ADOPTED
 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AND WHETHER THE GROWTH ALLOCATION WOULD
 IMPLEMENT THE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES OF THE ADOPTED PLAN;

20(II)CONSISTENCY WITH SMART GROWTH PRINCIPLES21UNDER TITLE 5, SUBTITLES 7A AND 7B OF THE STATE FINANCE AND22PROCUREMENT ARTICLE AND OTHER STATE GROWTH POLICIES, INCLUDING:

231.CERTIFIED PRIORITY FUNDING AREAS UNDER §245–7B–08 OF THE STATE FINANCE AND PROCUREMENT ARTICLE; AND

25 **2. MAXIMIZATION OF STATE INVESTMENT IN** 26 **EXISTING PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE;**

(III) CONSISTENCY WITH STATE AND REGIONAL
 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION POLICIES AND MEASURES, INCLUDING THOSE
 THAT PROTECT THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES AND SPECIES IN NEED
 OF CONSERVATION THAT MAY BE LOCATED ON- OR OFF-SITE;

31(iv) Location in or near a priority preservation32area, as defined under § 2–518 of the Agriculture Article;

33(v) Environmental impacts associated with34TREATMENT OF WASTE;

1 ASSOCIATED (VI) **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS** WITH $\mathbf{2}$ STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PRACTICES AND STORMWATER DISCHARGES TO 3 TIDAL WATERS, TIDAL WETLANDS, AND TRIBUTARY STREAMS; 4 (VII) **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS** ASSOCIATED WITH $\mathbf{5}$ LOCATION IN A COASTAL HAZARD AREA OR AN INCREASED RISK OF SEVERE 6 FLOODING ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT; AND 7 (VIII) THE OVERALL SUITABILITY OF THE PROJECT SITE FOR 8 MORE INTENSE DEVELOPMENT IN A REGIONAL CONTEXT. 9 **[**(2)**] (3)** The Commission shall ensure that the [guidelines] 10 **STANDARDS AND FACTORS** in [paragraph (1)] **PARAGRAPHS (1) AND (2)** of this subsection have been applied in a manner that is consistent with the purposes, 11 policies, goals, and provisions of this subtitle, and all criteria of the Commission. 1213 (e) (2)(i) Within a resource conservation area, a local jurisdiction may consider one additional dwelling unit per lot or parcel as part of a primary dwelling 14 unit for the purpose of the density calculation under this subsection if the additional 1516 dwelling unit: 17 1. A. Is located within the primary dwelling unit or 18 its entire perimeter is within 100 feet of the primary dwelling unit; 19 Β. Does not exceed 900 square feet in total enclosed area; 20 and C. 21Is served by the same sewage disposal system as the 22primary dwelling unit; or 23 $\mathbf{2}$. A. Is located within the primary dwelling unit; 24B. By its construction, does not increase the amount of 25[impervious surface] LOT COVERAGE already attributed to the primary dwelling unit; 26 and 27C. Is served by the same sewage disposal system as the 28primary dwelling unit. 29 8 - 1808.3.30 This section applies notwithstanding: (a) 31 (1)Any other provision of this subtitle; or 32 (2)Any criteria or guideline of the Commission adopted under this 33 subtitle.

1 (b) (1) This section controls over any other requirement concerning 2 [impervious surfaces] LOT COVERAGE limitations in limited development areas and 3 resource conservation areas in the critical area.

4 (2) (1) IN THE BUFFER, LOT COVERAGE MAY NOT EXCEED THE 5 MINIMUM AMOUNT NECESSARY FOR WATER-DEPENDENT FACILITIES.

6 (II) 1. THE PROVISIONS OF THIS SUBPARAGRAPH DO 7 NOT APPLY TO BUFFER EXEMPTION AREAS, AS ESTABLISHED UNDER AN 8 APPROVED LOCAL PROGRAM.

9 2. EXCEPT BY VARIANCE GRANTED IN ACCORDANCE 10 WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THIS SUBTITLE, NEW NONWATER-DEPENDENT LOT 11 COVERAGE MAY NOT OCCUR IN THE BUFFER, REGARDLESS OF THE CRITICAL 12 AREA CLASSIFICATION OR THE SIZE OF THE PARCEL OR LOT.

(c) On or before December 31, 1996, a local jurisdiction shall amend its local
 critical area protection program to meet the provisions of this section.

15 (d) (1) Except as otherwise provided in this subsection for stormwater
16 runoff, [man-made impervious surfaces are] LOT COVERAGE IS limited to 15% of a
17 parcel or lot.

18 (2) If a parcel or lot one-half acre or less in size existed on or before 19 December 1, 1985 in the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area or on or before June 1, 2002 in 20 the Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area, then [man-made impervious surfaces are] 21 LOT COVERAGE IS limited to 25% of the parcel or lot.

(3) If a parcel or lot greater than one-half acre and less than one acre
in size existed on or before December 1, 1985 in the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area or
on or before June 1, 2002 in the Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area, then [man-made
impervious surfaces are] LOT COVERAGE IS limited to 15% of the parcel or lot.

(4) If an individual lot one acre or less in size is part of a subdivision
approved after December 1, 1985 in the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area or after June 1,
2002 in the Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area, then [man-made impervious surfaces
of the lot] LOT COVERAGE may not exceed 25% of the lot. However, the total [of the
impervious surfaces] LOT COVERAGE over the entire subdivision may not exceed 15%.

(e) This section does not apply to a trailer park that was in residential use on
or before December 1, 1985 in the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area or on or before June
1, 2002 in the Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area.

1 (f) A local jurisdiction may allow a property owner to exceed the [impervious 2 surface] LOT COVERAGE limits provided in subsection (d)(2) and (3) of this section if 3 the following conditions exist:

4 (1) [New impervious surfaces] LOT COVERAGE ASSOCIATED WITH 5 NEW DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES on the property [have] HAS been minimized;

6 (2) For a lot or parcel one-half acre or less in size, total [impervious 7 surfaces do] LOT COVERAGE DOES not exceed [impervious surface] LOT COVERAGE 8 limits in subsection (d)(2) of this section by more than 25% or 500 square feet, 9 whichever is greater;

10 (3) For a lot or parcel greater than one-half acre and less than one 11 acre in size, total [impervious surfaces do] LOT COVERAGE DOES not exceed 12 [impervious surface] LOT COVERAGE limits in subsection (d)(3) of this section or 13 5,445 square feet, whichever is greater;

(4) Water quality impacts associated with runoff from [the] new
 [impervious surfaces] DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES, INCLUDING CLEARING AND
 GRADING ACTIVITIES, THAT CONTRIBUTE TO LOT COVERAGE can be and have
 been minimized through site design considerations or use of best management
 practices approved by the local jurisdiction to improve water quality; and

19 (5) The property owner performs on-site mitigation as required by the 20 local jurisdiction to offset potential adverse water quality impacts from the new 21 [impervious surfaces] **DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES THAT CONTRIBUTE TO LOT** 22 **COVERAGE**, or the property owner pays a fee to the local jurisdiction in lieu of 23 performing the on-site mitigation.

(g) All fees collected by a local jurisdiction under subsection (f)(5) of this
section must be used to fund projects that improve water quality within the critical
area consistent with the jurisdiction's local critical area protection program.

27(h)(1)IN THIS SUBSECTION, "LEGALLY DEVELOPED" MEANS THAT28ALL PHYSICAL IMPROVEMENTS TO A PROPERTY:

29(I)EXISTED BEFORE COMMISSION APPROVAL OF A LOCAL30PROGRAM; OR

31(II) WERE PROPERLY PERMITTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH32THE LOCAL PROGRAM AND POLICIES IN EFFECT AT THE TIME OF33CONSTRUCTION.

34(2)(I)ALOTORPARCELLEGALLYDEVELOPEDIN35ACCORDANCE WITH A LOCAL PROGRAM'S APPLICABLE IMPERVIOUS SURFACE

| $rac{1}{2}$ | LIMITATIONS AS OF JUNE 30, 2008 MAY BE CONSIDERED LEGALLY NONCONFORMING FOR PURPOSES OF LOT COVERAGE REQUIREMENTS. | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 3 | (II) FOR THE PURPOSE OF INCREASING LOT COVERAGE ON | | | |
| 4 | A LOT OR PARCEL UNDER SUBPARAGRAPH (I) OF THIS PARAGRAPH, THE LOT | | | |
| 5 | COVERAGE LIMITATIONS UNDER THIS SECTION MAY NOT BE CONSTRUED TO | | | |
| 6 | APPLY TO A DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY FOR WHICH: | | | |
| 7 | 1. PROJECT APPROVAL OR A BUILDING PERMIT WAS | | | |
| 8 | ISSUED BEFORE JUNE 30, 2008; AND | | | |
| 9 10 | 2. CONSTRUCTION WAS INITIATED AND AN INSPECTION WAS PERFORMED BY JUNE 30, 2009. | | | |
| $11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15$ | (I) A local jurisdiction may grant a variance from the provisions of this section in accordance with THE PROVISIONS OF THIS SUBTITLE , regulations adopted by the Commission concerning variances as part of local program development set forth in [COMAR 27.01.11] COMAR 27.01.11 , and notification of project applications set forth in COMAR 27.03.01. | | | |
| 16 | 8–1808.10. | | | |
| 17 | (A) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED UNDER SUBSECTION (C) OF THIS SECTION, | | | |
| 18 | THE PROVISIONS OF THIS SECTION APPLY TO: | | | |
| 19 | (1) AN APPLICATION FOR SUBDIVISION WITHIN THE RESOURCE | | | |
| 20 | CONSERVATION AREA THAT RECEIVES FINAL LOCAL APPROVAL AFTER JUNE 30, | | | |
| 21 | 2008; AND | | | |
| 22 | (2) DEVELOPMENT WITHIN A NEWLY DESIGNATED INTENSELY | | | |
| 23 | DEVELOPED AREA OR LIMITED DEVELOPMENT AREA THAT IS AWARDED | | | |
| 24 | GROWTH ALLOCATION BY A LOCAL GOVERNMENT AFTER JUNE 30, 2008. | | | |
| 25 | (B) (1) THE MINIMUM BUFFER AS DEFINED AND ESTABLISHED | | | |
| 26 | UNDER COMAR 27.01.09.01 SHALL BE 300 FEET IN A RESOURCE | | | |
| 27 | CONSERVATION AREA. | | | |
| 28 | (2) ALL PROVISIONS APPLICABLE TO DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES | | | |
| 29 | WITHIN THE 100-FOOT BUFFER, INCLUDING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF | | | |
| 30 | VEGETATION AND EXPANSION REQUIREMENTS, SHALL APPLY TO THE 300-FOOT | | | |
| 31 | BUFFER. | | | |
| 32 | (C) (1) THE 300–FOOT BUFFER MAY BE REDUCED IF: | | | |

1(I)THE STRICT APPLICATION OF THE MINIMUM 300-FOOT2BUFFER WOULD PRECLUDE THE SUBDIVISION OF THE PROPERTY AT A DENSITY3OF ONE DWELLING UNIT PER 20 ACRES; AND

4 (II) ALL OTHER LOCAL ZONING AND SUBDIVISION 5 REQUIREMENTS WILL BE SATISFIED.

6 (2) A REDUCTION IN THE BUFFER AUTHORIZED UNDER 7 PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION MAY NOT RESULT IN A BUFFER THAT IS 8 LESS THAN THE MINIMUM BUFFER REQUIRED BY THE LOCAL PROGRAM.

9 **8–1808.11.**

10 IMPROVEMENTS TO PROTECT A PERSON'S PROPERTY AGAINST EROSION 11 SHALL CONSIST OF NONSTRUCTURAL SHORELINE STABILIZATION MEASURES 12 THAT PRESERVE THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT, SUCH AS MARSH CREATION, 13 EXCEPT IN AREAS WHERE THE PERSON CAN DEMONSTRATE TO THE 14 SATISFACTION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT THAT THESE 15 MEASURES ARE NOT FEASIBLE.

16 8–1809.

(h) (1) As often as necessary but not more than 4 times per calendar year,
each local jurisdiction may propose program amendments and program refinements to
its adopted program.

(2) (i) 1. Except for program amendments or program
refinements developed during program review under subsection (g) of this section, a
[zoning] CRITICAL AREA map amendment may be [granted] PROPOSED TO THE
CRITICAL AREA COMMISSION by a local [approving authority] JURISDICTION only
on proof of a mistake in the existing [zoning] CRITICAL AREA CLASSIFICATION.

25

2. THE COMMISSION SHALL:

26 **A**. CONSIDER THE LOCAL JURISDICTION'S 27MISTAKE **DETERMINATION** OF IN THE EXISTING CRITICAL AREA 28**CLASSIFICATION; AND**

B. DETERMINE WHETHER THAT PROPOSED
CORRECTION OF MISTAKE IS CONSISTENT WITH THE PURPOSES, POLICIES,
GOALS, AND PROVISIONS OF THIS SUBTITLE AND ALL CRITERIA OF THE
COMMISSION.

33 (ii) The requirement in [paragraph (2)(i) of this subsection]
34 SUBPARAGRAPH (I) OF THIS PARAGRAPH that a [zoning] CRITICAL AREA map

amendment may be granted only on proof of a mistake does not apply to proposed
changes to a [zoning] CRITICAL AREA map that:

3 1. Are wholly consistent with the land classifications in
4 the adopted program; or

5 2. Propose the use of a part of the remaining growth 6 allocation in accordance with the adopted program.

7 (o) (1) For proposed program amendments, a Commission panel shall 8 hold a public hearing in the local jurisdiction, and the Commission shall act on the 9 proposed program amendment within [90] **130** days of the Commission's acceptance of 10 the proposal. If action by the Commission is not taken within [90] **130** days, the 11 proposed program amendment is deemed approved.

12 8–1811.

13(b) (2)From the date designated by the Commission in approving or adopting a program, an applicant for project approval or the local agency authorized to 14 grant project approval on an application in any of the identified classes shall send to 15the Commission in accordance with the regulations and any other instructions of the 16 17Commission, a copy of every pending or new application for approval that is in any of 18 the identified classes. Before the close of the [next] **FIFTH** business day after receipt of 19 a copy of an application from the applicant or the local approving authority, the 20 Commission shall send written notice of receipt to the applicant and to the local 21approving authority. A failure of the Commission to send a timely notice shall render 22paragraph (3) of this subsection inapplicable as to that application.

23 8-1815.

(a) (1) (I) A LOCAL AUTHORITY MAY OBTAIN ACCESS TO AND
ENTER A PROPERTY IN ORDER TO IDENTIFY OR VERIFY A SUSPECTED
VIOLATION, RESTRAIN A DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY, OR ISSUE A CITATION IF THE
LOCAL AUTHORITY HAS REASONABLE CAUSE TO BELIEVE THAT A VIOLATION OF
THIS SUBTITLE OR THE LOCAL PROGRAM HAS OCCURRED, IS OCCURRING, OR
WILL OCCUR.

(II) A LOCAL AUTHORITY SHALL MAKE A REASONABLE
 EFFORT TO CONTACT A PROPERTY OWNER BEFORE OBTAINING ACCESS TO OR
 ENTERING THE PROPERTY, BUT FAILURE TO CONTACT THE OWNER MAY NOT
 PREVENT THE LOCAL AUTHORITY FROM OBTAINING ACCESS TO OR ENTERING
 THE PROPERTY TO PURSUE ENFORCEMENT ACTION.

(III) 1. A LOCAL AUTHORITY THAT IDENTIFIES A
 VIOLATION OF THIS SUBTITLE OR OF THE LOCAL PROGRAM SHALL TAKE
 ENFORCEMENT ACTION.

12.THELOCALAUTHORITYSHALLREQUIRE2APPROPRIATERESTORATIONANDMITIGATIONASNECESSARYTOOFFSET3ADVERSE IMPACTS TO THE CRITICAL AREA RESULTING FROM THE VIOLATION.

3. A. FOR RESTORATION OR MITIGATION THAT
EXCEEDS 1,000 SQUARE FEET OR INVOLVES EXPENSES EXCEEDING \$1,000, THE
LOCAL AUTHORITY SHALL COLLECT A BOND OR OTHER FINANCIAL SECURITY OR
ADOPT APPROPRIATE PROCEDURES TO ENSURE THAT THE RESTORATION OR
MITIGATION IS PROPERLY COMPLETED.

9 B. IF THE RESTORATION OR MITIGATION INVOLVES 10 PLANTING, THE BOND SHALL BE HELD FOR AT LEAST 2 YEARS AFTER THE DATE 11 THE PLANTINGS WERE INSTALLED TO ENSURE PLANT SURVIVAL.

12C.ON REQUEST OF THE PROPERTY OWNER, THE13LOCAL AUTHORITY SHALL SCHEDULE INSPECTIONS AS NECESSARY TO ENSURE14COMPLIANCE AND THE RETURN OF THE BOND OR OTHER FINANCIAL SECURITY.

15 (2) (I) [Violators of the provisions of programs approved or adopted 16 by the Commission] A PERSON WHO VIOLATES A PROVISION OF AN ORDER, 17 PERMIT, PLAN, LOCAL PROGRAM, THIS SUBTITLE, OR REGULATIONS ADOPTED, 18 APPROVED, OR ISSUED UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF THIS SUBTITLE shall be 19 subject to prosecution or suit by THE CHAIRMAN OR local authorities, who may 20 invoke the sanctions and remedies afforded by State or local law.

(II) A CRIMINAL PROSECUTION OR A SUIT FOR A CIVIL
 PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF A PROVISION OF AN ORDER, PERMIT, PLAN, LOCAL
 PROGRAM, THIS SUBTITLE, OR REGULATIONS ADOPTED, APPROVED, OR ISSUED
 UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF THIS SUBTITLE SHALL BE INSTITUTED WITHIN 3
 YEARS AFTER THE COMMISSION OR THE LOCAL AUTHORITIES IN FACT KNEW OR
 REASONABLY SHOULD HAVE KNOWN OF THE VIOLATION.

- 27
- [(2)] (3) A local authority may request:
- 28 (i) Assistance from the Commission in an enforcement action;29 or

30 (ii) That the chairman refer an enforcement action to the31 Attorney General.

32 8–1815.1.

(b) If a person cuts or clears or plans to cut or clear trees within the
 Chesapeake Bay Critical Area or Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area in violation of an

approved local critical area program or of regulations adopted by the Commission,
 THE CHAIRMAN MAY BRING AN ACTION, OR the local jurisdiction may bring an
 action or request that the chairman of the Commission refer the matter to the
 Attorney General to bring an action:

5 (1) To require the person to replant trees where the cutting or clearing 6 occurred in accordance with a plan prepared by the State Forester, a registered 7 professional forester, or a registered landscape architect;

8

(2) To restrain the planned violation; or

9 (3) For damages:

10 (i) To be assessed by a circuit court in an amount equal to the 11 estimated cost of replanting trees; and

12 (ii) To be paid to the Department by the person found to have13 violated the provisions of this subsection.

14 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That the Laws of Maryland 15 read as follows:

16

Article – Natural Resources

17 8–1807.

18 (a) The initial planning area for determination of the Chesapeake Bay19 Critical Area consists of:

(1) All waters of and lands under the Chesapeake Bay and its
tributaries to the head of tide as indicated on the "MD IMAP" State [wetlands maps]
BASE MAP, and all State and private wetlands designated under Title 16 of the
Environment Article; and

- 24 (2) All land and water areas within 1,000 feet beyond the landward
 25 boundaries of State or private wetlands and the heads of tides designated under Title
 26 16 of the Environment Article.
- (b) The initial planning area for determination of the Atlantic Coastal BaysCritical Area consists of:

(1) All waters of and lands under the coastal bays and their tributaries
to the head of tide as indicated on the "MD IMAP" State [wetlands maps] BASE
MAP, and all State and private wetlands designated under Title 16 of the
Environment Article; and

1 (2) All land and water areas within 1,000 feet beyond the landward 2 boundaries of State or private wetlands and the heads of tides designated under Title 3 16 of the Environment Article.

4

SECTION 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That:

5 (1)The Department of Natural Resources shall prepare a State Base Map that includes a State determined shoreline and edge of tidal wetlands and a 6 7 digitally generated 1,000-foot Critical Area Boundary overlaid on aerial imagery 8 obtained in 2007 and 2008 as part of the "MD iMap" State Base Map project. Within 9 30 days of the date of official completion of the "MD iMap" State Base Map project, which shall include distribution of the Base Map by the Department of Natural 10 11 Resources to each local jurisdiction with an approved Critical Area program, the Department shall notify the Critical Area Commission for the Chesapeake and 12 13Atlantic Coastal Bays in writing regarding the applicable date of project completion.

14 (2) Following receipt of notice from the Department, and where 15 practical as part of the required 6-year comprehensive review process, the 16 Commission shall notify each local jurisdiction with an approved Critical Area 17 program in writing regarding the effective date of project completion and the 18 requirement to adopt an amended Critical Area Map based on the "MD iMap" State 19 Base Map project within 24 months.

(3) In accordance with notification from the Commission, each local
jurisdiction, with assistance from the Critical Area Commission and the Department
of Natural Resources as appropriate, shall review and refine the "MD iMap" State
Base Maps prepared by the Department of Natural Resources. This process will be
used to:

25 (i) verify the boundaries of the existing Critical Area 26 designations;

(ii) appropriately designate unclassified areas that were not
within the original Critical Area boundary in accordance with the mapping standards
set forth in COMAR 27.01.02.03 through 27.01.02.05 and as further determined
through regulations developed by the Commission; and

(iii) identify areas where there appear to be inconsistencies
between the "MD iMap" State Base Maps and local Critical Area Maps.

(4) Following resolution of any inconsistencies and as appropriate to
its form of government and in conformance with all applicable requirements, each
jurisdiction with an approved Critical Area program shall formally amend its program
by adopting the "MD iMap" State Base Map for that jurisdiction, including shoreline
and edge of tidal wetlands, the 1,000-foot Critical Area Boundary, and all applicable
Critical Area designations.

1 (5) In accordance with regulations adopted by the Critical Area 2 Commission in coordination with the Department of Natural Resources:

(i) the State Base Map, including the State-determined
shoreline and edge of tidal wetlands and a digitally generated 1,000-foot Critical Area
boundary, shall be periodically updated, at least once every 12 years, starting with the
date specified under paragraph (1) of this section; and

7 (ii) as part of the required 6-year comprehensive review of the 8 local Critical Area program, each local government shall formally amend its Critical 9 Area Maps to reflect the State-determined shoreline and edge of tidal wetlands and a 10 digitally generated 1,000-foot Critical Area boundary as shown on the current "MD 11 iMap" State Base Map in effect at that time.

SECTION 4. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That the provisions of Section
 2 of this Act shall take effect 24 months after the date of official completion of the "MD
 iMap" State Base Map project, as specified under Section 3(1) of this Act.

15 SECTION 5. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That for the purpose of a new 16 subdivision, this Act may not be construed to apply to a property for which:

17(1)an initial application for subdivision was submitted before January181, 2008; and

- 19
- (2) a final plat is recorded by December 31, 2008.

SECTION 6. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That each local jurisdiction with an approved Critical Area program shall report to the Critical Area Commission by January 1, 2009 regarding its proposed procedures for notice of Critical Area project approval under § 8–1808(c)(1)(ii)4 of the Natural Resources Article, as enacted under Section 1 of this Act.

25 SECTION 7. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That, subject to the provisions 26 of Section 4 of this Act, this Act shall take effect July 1, 2008.