HOUSE BILL 11

C3, C4 9lr0431 (PRE-FILED) CF SB 57

By: **Delegate Manno** Requested: July 17, 2008

AN ACT concerning

1

Introduced and read first time: January 14, 2009 Assigned to: Health and Government Operations

A BILL ENTITLED

Insurance - Violation of Genetic Nondiscrimination Laws - Private Cause of
Action

- FOR the purpose of authorizing an individual who is injured by an insurer's violation of certain provisions of law that prohibit discrimination based on genetic tests, the results of genetic tests, or genetic information to recover certain equitable relief, monetary damages, court costs, and attorney's fees in an action brought in a court of competent jurisdiction; and generally relating to remedies for violations of genetic nondiscrimination laws.
- 10 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 11 Article Insurance
- 12 Section 2–202(a)
- 13 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 14 (2003 Replacement Volume and 2008 Supplement)
- 15 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF 16 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
- 17 Article Insurance
- 18 2–202.
- 19 (a) (1) Notwithstanding any other law and except as provided in [paragraph (2)] **PARAGRAPHS (2) AND (3)** of this subsection, the Commissioner has exclusive jurisdiction to enforce by administrative action the laws of the State that relate to the underwriting or rate-setting practices of an insurer.

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.



HOUSE BILL 11

1	(2) The Human Relations Commission has concurrent jurisdiction
2	with the Commissioner over alleged discrimination on the basis of race, creed, color, or
3	national origin.
4	(3) AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS INJURED BY AN INSURER'S VIOLATION
5	OF A PROVISION OF THIS ARTICLE PROHIBITING DISCRIMINATION BASED ON
6	GENETIC TESTS, THE RESULTS OF GENETIC TESTS, OR GENETIC INFORMATION
7	MAY RECOVER IN AN ACTION BROUGHT IN A COURT OF COMPETENT
8	JURISDICTION:
9	(I) EQUITABLE RELIEF, WHICH MAY INCLUDE AN ORDER
10	DIRECTING THE INSURER TO PROVIDE INSURANCE COVERAGE TO THE INJURED
11	INDIVIDUAL UNDER THE SAME TERMS AND CONDITIONS THAT WOULD HAVE
12	APPLIED IF THE VIOLATION HAD NOT OCCURRED;
13	(II) DAMAGES EQUAL TO THE GREATER OF:
14	1. THE ACTUAL DAMAGES SUSTAINED BY THE
15	INDIVIDUAL AS A RESULT OF THE VIOLATION; OR
16	2. \$10,000 PER VIOLATION; AND
17	(III) IF THE INDIVIDUAL PREVAILS IN THE ACTION, COURT
18	COSTS AND REASONABLE ATTORNEY'S FEES.
19 20	SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect October 1, 2009.