# **HOUSE BILL 585**

C3 9lr2125 CF SB 661

By: Delegates Costa and Pena-Melnyk

Introduced and read first time: February 6, 2009 Assigned to: Health and Government Operations

Committee Report: Favorable with amendments

House action: Adopted

Read second time: March 22, 2009

CHAPTER \_\_\_\_

1 AN ACT concerning

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

1415

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

## Health Insurance - Use of Physician Rating Systems by Carriers

FOR the purpose of providing that a carrier may only use a physician rating system for certain health benefit plans if the system meets certain requirements; providing that a carrier may only use certain categories of measurements in a physician rating system; prohibiting a carrier from rating a physician based solely on cost efficiency; requiring a carrier to calculate and disclose certain measures in a certain manner; requiring a carrier to disclose a material change in a physician rating system at a certain time; requiring a carrier to use certain risk adjustments in determining the quality of performance and the cost efficiency of a physician; requiring a carrier to describe its physician rating system to certain physicians in a certain manner; requiring a carrier to make certain disclosures to certain physicians and enrollees; requiring a carrier to ensure that certain data is accurate; requiring a carrier to use certain measurements under certain circumstances; requiring a carrier to describe to certain physicians and to a certain ratings examiner certain information; requiring a carrier to make certain determinations in determining the data to be used to measure the quality of performance of a physician; requiring a carrier to use the most current claims and data to measure physician quality of performance; requiring a carrier to use certain computer software under certain circumstances; requiring a carrier that uses a physician rating system to establish a certain complaint process for enrollees; requiring a carrier that uses a physician rating system to post certain information on its website in a certain manner; requiring a carrier to provide certain physicians certain information at a certain time before making certain information available to enrollees; prohibiting a carrier from making a certain change under certain

#### EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.

<u>Underlining</u> indicates amendments to bill.

Strike out indicates matter stricken from the bill by amendment or deleted from the law by amendment.

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

1415

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

43

circumstances; requiring a carrier to establish a certain appeals process under certain circumstances; providing that a ratings examiner shall have oversight and review of the appeals process; requiring a carrier to contract with and pay for a ratings examiner to review certain physician rating systems; prohibiting a carrier from implementing a physician rating system until the carrier submits the system to a certain ratings examiner for review; requiring a carrier to make certain disclosures and provide certain information to a ratings examiner; requiring a carrier to obtain certain reviews by a ratings examiner under certain circumstances; requiring a carrier to disclose the results of any reviews conducted by a ratings examiner in a certain manner; requiring a certain ratings examiner to report annually to the Office of the Attorney General and the Maryland Insurance Administration regarding certain information; authorizing the Insurance Commissioner, in consultation with the Office of the Attorney General, to adopt regulations to implement the provisions of this Act; requiring the Maryland Health Care Commission to approve a certain entity to be a physician rating system examiner under certain circumstances; providing that an entity that has a certain program approved by a certain consortium is deemed to be a ratings examiner; prohibiting certain health insurance carriers from using a physician rating system unless the physician rating system is approved by a ratings examiner; requiring a carrier to contract with and pay for a ratings examiner to review certain physician rating systems; providing that a physician rating system of a carrier is deemed to meet certain requirements of this Act under certain circumstances; requiring certain carriers to establish a certain appeals process; requiring certain carriers to provide certain physicians with certain information under certain circumstances; prohibiting a carrier from disclosing a certain rating under certain circumstances; requiring a carrier to post certain information on a certain section of the carrier's website; requiring a carrier to notify the Maryland Insurance Commissioner of the results of a certain final review within a certain time period; authorizing the Commissioner to order a carrier to correct a certain deficiency or cease use of a certain physician rating system under certain circumstances; requiring certain carriers to annually report to the Commissioner on the number and outcome of certain appeals; defining certain terms; providing for a delayed effective date; and generally relating to use of physician rating systems by health insurance carriers.

## BY adding to

Article – Health – General

Section 19–142 and 19–143 to be under the new part "Part IV. Examiners of

Physician Rating Systems"

Annotated Code of Maryland

(2005 Replacement Volume and 2008 Supplement)

### 42 BY adding to

Article – Insurance

Section 15–1701 through <del>15–1709</del> <u>15–1704</u> to be under the new subtitle "Subtitle 17. Regulation of Physician Rating Systems"

46 Annotated Code of Maryland

1	(2006 Replacement Volume and 2008 Supplement)
2 3	SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
4	<u> Article - Health - General</u>
5	PART IV. EXAMINERS OF PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEMS.
6	<u>19–142.</u>
7 8	(A) IN THIS PART IV OF THIS SUBTITLE THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS INDICATED.
9 L0	(B) "CARRIER" HAS THE MEANING STATED IN § 15–1301 OF THE INSURANCE ARTICLE.
11	(C) "ENROLLEE" MEANS AN INDIVIDUAL ENTITLED TO HEALTH BENEFITS FROM A CARRIER.
l3 l4	(D) "PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM" HAS THE MEANING STATED IN § 15–1701 OF THE INSURANCE ARTICLE.
15 16	(E) "RATINGS EXAMINER" MEANS AN INDEPENDENT ENTITY THAT IS APPROVED BY THE COMMISSION TO REVIEW PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEMS.
L <b>7</b>	<u>19–143.</u>
l8 l9	(A) THE COMMISSION SHALL APPROVE AN ENTITY THAT MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS OF THIS SECTION TO BE A RATINGS EXAMINER.
20 21 22	(B) TO BE APPROVED BY THE COMMISSION AS A RATINGS EXAMINER, AN ENTITY EXAMINING A PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM SHALL REQUIRE A PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM TO:
23 24	(1) USE ONLY QUALITY OF PERFORMANCE AND COST EFFICIENCY AS MEASUREMENT CATEGORIES;
25 26	(2) CALCULATE AND DISCLOSE SEPARATELY MEASURES OF COST EFFICIENCY AND QUALITY OF PERFORMANCE;
27 28 29	(3) DISCLOSE CLEARLY TO PHYSICIANS AND ENROLLES THE PROPORTION OF THE COMPONENT SCORE FOR COST EFFICIENCY AND QUALITY OF PERFORMANCE IN EACH COMBINED SCORE;

1	(4) IN DETERMINING QUALITY OF PERFORMANCE, USE
2	MEASURES:
3	(I) THAT ARE BASED ON NATIONALLY RECOGNIZED,
4	EVIDENCE-BASED OR CONSENSUS-BASED CLINICAL RECOMMENDATIONS OR
5	GUIDELINES; OR
6	(II) WHEN AVAILABLE, THAT ARE ENDORSED BY ENTITIES
7	WHOSE WORK IN PHYSICIAN QUALITY OF PERFORMANCE IS GENERALLY
8	ACCEPTED IN THE HEALTH CARE SYSTEM;
9	(5) DISCLOSE TO PHYSICIANS WHO ARE SUBJECT TO THE
10	PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM:
11	(I) THE MEASUREMENTS FOR EACH CRITERION AND THE
12	RELATIVE WEIGHT OF EACH CRITERION AND MEASUREMENT IN THE OVERALL
13	RATING OF THE PHYSICIAN;
14	(II) 1. THE BASIS FOR THE CARRIER'S QUALITY OF
15	PERFORMANCE RATINGS;
16	2. The data used to determine the quality of
17	PERFORMANCE RATINGS; AND
18	9 The peraphra vergue of perevasion of
19	3. THE RELATIVE WEIGHT OR RELEVANCE OF
20	QUALITY OF PERFORMANCE TO THE OVERALL RATING OF A PHYSICIAN IN THE PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM;
20	I III SICIAN ILATING SISIEM,
21	(III) THE BASIS FOR DETERMINING WHETHER THERE IS A
22	SUFFICIENT NUMBER OF PATIENTS AND EPISODES OF CARE FOR A GIVEN
23	DISEASE STATE AND SPECIALTY TO GENERATE RELIABLE RATINGS FOR A
24	PHYSICIAN; AND
25	(IV) THE METHODOLOGY USED TO DETERMINE HOW DATA IS
26	ATTRIBUTED TO A PHYSICIAN;
27	(6) USE APPROPRIATE RISK ADJUSTMENTS TO ACCOUNT FOR THE
28	CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PATIENT POPULATION SEEN BY A PHYSICIAN IN
29	DETERMINING THE QUALITY OF PERFORMANCE AND COST EFFICIENCY OF THE
30	PHYSICIAN;
31	(7) IN MEASURING THE COST EFFICIENCY OF THE PERFORMANCE
32	OF A PHYSICIAN:

1	(I) COMPARE PHYSICIANS WITHIN THE SAME SPECIALTY
2	WITHIN THE APPROPRIATE GEOGRAPHICAL MARKET; AND
0	
3	(II) USE APPROPRIATE AND COMPREHENSIVE EPISODE OF
4	CARE COMPUTER SOFTWARE TO EVALUATE THE COST EFFICIENCY OF THE
5	PERFORMANCE OF A PHYSICIAN;
6	(8) (I) INCLUDE AN APPEALS PROCESS THAT A PHYSICIAN
7	SUBJECT TO THE PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM MAY USE TO APPEAL THE RATING
8	RECEIVED UNDER THE PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM; AND
9	(II) BASED ON THE OUTCOME OF AN APPEAL, MAKE ANY
10	NECESSARY CORRECTIONS TO THE DATA USED TO RATE THE PHYSICIAN IN THE
11	PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM; AND
12	(9) DISCLOSE TO PHYSICIANS AND ENROLLEES HOW THE
13	PERSPECTIVES OF ENROLLEES, CONSUMER ADVOCATES, EMPLOYERS, LABOR
14	UNIONS, AND PHYSICIANS WERE INCORPORATED INTO THE DEVELOPMENT OF
15	THE PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM.
16	(C) NOTWITHSTANDING SUBSECTION (B) OF THIS SECTION, AN ENTITY
17	THAT HAS A PHYSICIAN PERFORMANCE RATING CERTIFICATION PROGRAM
18	APPROVED AFTER AUGUST 1, 2008, BY A NATIONAL CONSORTIUM OF
19	EMPLOYER, CONSUMER, AND LABOR ORGANIZATIONS WORKING TOWARD A
20	COMMON GOAL TO ENSURE THAT ALL AMERICANS HAVE ACCESS TO PUBLICLY
21	REPORTED HEALTH CARE PERFORMANCE INFORMATION:
22	(1) Is deemed to be a ratings examiner under this part;
23	AND
	THE PARTY OF THE P
24	(2) IS DEEMED TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF SUBSECTION (B)
25	OF THIS SECTION.
26	10 144 Property
20	19–144. RESERVED.
27	<u>19-145. Reserved.</u>
90	Andiala Transcrata
28	Article - Insurance
29	SUBTITLE 17. REGULATION OF PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEMS.
30	15–1701.
50	10-1101.
31	(A) IN THIS SUBTITLE THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS

INDICATED.

29

**(2)** 

1	(B) "CARRIER" HAS THE MEANING STATED IN § 15–1301 OF THIS TITLE.
2 3	(C) "ENROLLEE" MEANS <del>A PERSON</del> <u>AN INDIVIDUAL</u> ENTITLED TO HEALTH CARE BENEFITS FROM A CARRIER.
4	(D) "PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM" MEANS ANY PROGRAM THAT:
5 6 7	(1) MEASURES, REPORTS RATES, OR TIERS THE PERFORMANCE OF A PHYSICIAN PHYSICIANS UNDER CONTRACT WITH THE CARRIER; AND
8 9	(2) <u>DISCLOSES</u> THE <u>MEASURES</u> , <u>RATES</u> , <u>OR TIERS TO ENROLLEES OR THE PUBLIC</u> .
10 11 12	(E) "RATINGS EXAMINER" MEANS AN INDEPENDENT ENTITY THAT IS APPROVED BY THE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL MARYLAND HEALTH CARE COMMISSION TO REVIEW PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEMS.
13	15–1702.
14 15	(A) A CARRIER MAY NOT USE A PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM UNLESS THE PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM IS APPROVED BY A RATINGS EXAMINER.
16 17	(B) A CARRIER SHALL CONTRACT WITH AND PAY FOR A RATINGS EXAMINER TO REVIEW ANY PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM OF THE CARRIER.
18 19	(C) A PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM OF A CARRIER IS DEEMED TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THIS SECTION IF THE PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM:
20 21	(1) IS APPROVED BY A RATINGS EXAMINER AS OF JANUARY 1, 2010; AND
22 23	(2) NOTWITHSTANDING ANY REVISIONS TO THE PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM, MAINTAINS ITS APPROVAL BY THE RATINGS EXAMINER.
24	<u>15–1703.</u>
25	(A) A CARRIER THAT USES A PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM SHALL:
$\frac{26}{27}$	(1) ESTABLISH AN APPEALS PROCESS FOR PHYSICIANS TO USE TO CONTEST THEIR RATING; AND

AT LEAST 45 DAYS BEFORE MAKING AVAILABLE TO

ENROLLEES ANY NEW OR REVISED QUALITY OF PERFORMANCE OR

1	COST-EFFICIENCY EVALUATIONS OR ANY NEW OR REVISED INCLUSIONS OR
2	EXCLUSIONS FROM A PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM, PROVIDE EACH PHYSICIAN
3	INCLUDED IN THE PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM WITH:
4	(I) A NOTICE OF THE PROPOSED CHANGE;
5	(II) AN EXPLANATION OF THE DATA USED TO ASSESS THE
6	PHYSICIAN AND HOW THE PHYSICIAN MAY ACCESS THE DATA;
7 8	(III) THE METHODOLOGY AND MEASURES USED TO ASSESS THE PHYSICIAN;
9 10 11	(IV) AN EXPLANATION OF THE RIGHT TO CONTEST THE RATING OF THE PHYSICIAN THROUGH THE APPEALS PROCESS OF THE CARRIER;
12 13	(V) INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO FILE A TIMELY APPEAL WITH THE CARRIER.
14 15 16	(B) IF A PHYSICIAN FILES A TIMELY APPEAL, AS DEFINED BY THE CARRIER, REGARDING THE RATING OF THE PHYSICIAN UNDER A PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM, THE CARRIER MAY NOT DISCLOSE THE RATING OF THE
17	PHYSICIAN OR MAKE A CHANGE IN THE QUALITY OF PERFORMANCE OR
18	COST-EFFICIENCY RATINGS OF THE PHYSICIAN UNTIL THE CARRIER
19	COMPLETES ITS INVESTIGATION AND RENDERS A DECISION ON THE APPEAL.
20 21 22	(C) A CARRIER SHALL POST THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION PROMINENTLY ON THE SECTION OF THE CARRIER'S WEBSITE THAT DISCLOSES THE RATING OF A PHYSICIAN TO ENROLLEES OR TO THE PUBLIC:
$\frac{23}{24}$	(1) WHERE AN ENROLLEE CAN FIND THE PHYSICIAN PERFORMANCE RATINGS OF THE CARRIER;
25	(2) A DISCLOSURE THAT PHYSICIAN PERFORMANCE RATINGS ARE
26	ONLY A GUIDE TO CHOOSING A PHYSICIAN BECAUSE THE RATINGS HAVE A RISK
27	OF ERROR AND SHOULD NOT BE THE SOLE BASIS FOR SELECTING A PHYSICIAN;
28 29	(3) AN EXPLANATION OF THE PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM, INCLUDING THE BASIS ON WHICH PHYSICIAN PERFORMANCE IS MEASURED AND
30	THE BASIS FOR DETERMINING THAT A PHYSICIAN IS NOT CURRENTLY RATED
31	DUE TO INSUFFICIENT DATA OR A PENDING APPEAL;
32	(4) ANY LIMITATIONS OF THE DATA THAT THE CARRIER USES TO

**MEASURE PHYSICIAN PERFORMANCE;** 

1	(5) THE FACTORS AND CRITERIA USED IN THE CARRIER'S
<b>2</b>	PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM, INCLUDING QUALITY OF PERFORMANCE MEASURES
3	
J	AND COST EFFICIENCY MEASURES; AND
4	
4	(6) HOW A PHYSICIAN MAY APPEAL A PHYSICIAN RATING.
5	<u>15–1704.</u>
6	(A) A CARRIER SHALL NOTIFY THE COMMISSIONER OF THE RESULTS OF
7	ANY FINAL REVIEW CONDUCTED BY A RATINGS EXAMINER OF A PHYSICIAN
8	RATING SYSTEM OF THE CARRIER WITHIN 45 CALENDAR DAYS AFTER RECEIPT
9	OF THE RESULTS BY THE CARRIER.
10	(B) IF THE REVIEW CONDUCTED BY A RATINGS EXAMINER OF A
11	PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM OF A CARRIER INDICATES THAT THE PHYSICIAN
12	
	RATING SYSTEM DOES NOT COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF TITLE 19,
13	SUBTITLE 1, PART IV OF THE HEALTH - GENERAL ARTICLE, THE
14	COMMISSIONER MAY ORDER THE CARRIER TO:
15	(1) CORRECT THE DEFICIENCY; OR
16	(2) CEASE THE USE OF THE PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM.
10	(2) CEASE THE USE OF THE THISICIAN RATING STSTEM.
17	(a) A GARRIER MANAGA A RIVINGA GALAMAN RAMANA GALAMAN REPORT
17	(C) A CARRIER USING A PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM SHALL REPORT
18	ANNUALLY TO THE COMMISSIONER:
19	(1) THE NUMBER OF APPEALS FILED BY PHYSICIANS UNDER THIS
20	SUBTITLE; AND
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
21	(2) THE OUTCOME OF THE APPEALS.
41	(2) THE OUTCOME OF THE APPEALS.
00	(·) • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
22	(A) A CARRIER MAY USE A PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM FOR HEALTH
23	BENEFIT PLANS THAT ARE DELIVERED, ISSUED, OR RENEWED IN THE STATE
24	ONLY IF THE PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS OF THIS
25	<del>SUBTITLE.</del>
	SCBIII LL.
26	(D) A CARRIED MAY LICE ONLY WITE BOLLOWING CAMECORIES OF
	(B) A CARRIER MAY USE ONLY THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES OF
27	MEASUREMENTS IN A PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM:
28	(1) QUALITY OF PERFORMANCE; AND
29	(2) COST EFFICIENCY.
30	(a) A CADDIED MAY NOW DAME A DINCIPLANTIN A DINCIPLANT DAMINO
$\mathbf{o}\mathbf{o}$	(C) A CARRIER MAY NOT RATE A PHYSICIAN IN A PHYSICIAN RATING

SYSTEM BASED SOLELY ON COST EFFICIENCY.

1	(D) (1) A CARRIER SHALL CALCULATE AND DISCLOSE SEPARATELY
2	MEASURES OF THE COST EFFICIENCY AND QUALITY OF PERFORMANCE OF A
3	<del>PHYSICIAN.</del>
4	(2) IF A CARRIER COMBINES INDIVIDUAL SCORES FOR QUALITY
5	•
6	OF PERFORMANCE AND COST EFFICIENCY FOR ONE TOTAL COMBINED SCORE
7	FOR A PHYSICIAN, THE CARRIER SHALL DISCLOSE CLEARLY TO PHYSICIANS UNDER CONTRACT WITH THE CARRIER AND ENROLLEES THE INDIVIDUAL
8	COMPONENT SCORES OF THE PHYSICIAN AND THE PROPORTION OF EACH
9	COMPONENT SCORE OF THE TOTAL COMBINED SCORE.
J	COMPONENT SCORES OF THE POTAL COMBINED SCORES
10	(E) AT LEAST 45 DAYS PRIOR TO IMPLEMENTATION OF A MATERIAL
11	CHANGE IN THE PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM, A CARRIER SHALL DISCLOSE THE
12	CHANGE TO PHYSICIANS UNDER CONTRACT WITH THE CARRIER.
13	(F) IN DETERMINING THE QUALITY OF PERFORMANCE AND THE COST
14	EFFICIENCY OF A PHYSICIAN, A CARRIER SHALL USE APPROPRIATE RISK
15	ADJUSTMENT TO ACCOUNT FOR THE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PATIENT
16	POPULATION SEEN BY THE PHYSICIAN.
10	TOT CENTION SEEN DI THE I HISTORIA
17	(C) IN DESCRIBING THE CARRIER'S PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM TO
18	PHYSICIANS, THE CARRIER SHALL CLEARLY INDICATE THE MEASUREMENTS
19	FOR EACH CRITERION AND THE RELATIVE WEIGHT OF EACH CRITERION AND
20	MEASUREMENT IN THE OVERALL RATING OF THE PHYSICIAN.
21	(H) A CARRIER SHALL DISCLOSE TO PHYSICIANS AND ENROLLEES HOW
22	THE PERSPECTIVES OF ENROLLEES, CONSUMER ADVOCATES, EMPLOYERS,
23	LABOR UNIONS, AND PHYSICIANS WERE INCORPORATED INTO THE
24	DEVELOPMENT OF THE PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM.
25	(1) A CARRIED CHAIL PROBLEM WILL BATTA DELIED ON TO
	(I) A CARRIER SHALL ENSURE THAT THE DATA RELIED ON TO
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	DETERMINE THE RATING OF A PHYSICIAN IN A PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM IS
41	ACCURATE.
28	(J) A CARRIER SHALL USE ACCURATE MEASUREMENTS TO DETERMINE
29	THE QUALITY OF PERFORMANCE AND COST EFFICIENCY OF A PHYSICIAN.
30	<del>15-1703.</del>
31	(A) (1) To determine quality of performance in a physician

RATING SYSTEM, A CARRIER SHALL USE MEASURES THAT ARE BASED ON

NATIONALLY RECOGNIZED, EVIDENCE-BASED OR CONSENSUS-BASED CLINICAL

RECOMMENDATIONS OR GUIDELINES.

32

33

- 1 (2) WHEN AVAILABLE, A CARRIER SHALL USE MEASURES TO
  2 DETERMINE QUALITY OF PERFORMANCE IN A PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM THAT
  3 ARE ENDORSED BY ENTITIES WHOSE WORK IN PHYSICIAN QUALITY OF
  4 PERFORMANCE IS GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE HEALTH CARE INDUSTRY.
- 6 WITH THE CARRIER SHALL DISCLOSE TO PHYSICIANS UNDER CONTRACT
  RATINGS, THE DATA USED TO DETERMINE THE RATINGS, AND THE RELATIVE
  WEIGHT OR RELEVANCE OF QUALITY OF PERFORMANCE TO THE OVERALL
  RATING OF A PHYSICIAN IN THE PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM.
- 10 (C) A CARRIER SHALL DESCRIBE TO PHYSICIANS UNDER CONTRACT
  11 WITH THE CARRIER AND TO A RATINGS EXAMINER THE STATISTICAL BASIS FOR
  12 THE NUMBER OF PATIENTS FOR EACH DISEASE STATE OR SPECIALTY.
  - (D) IN DETERMINING THE DATA TO BE USED TO MEASURE THE QUALITY OF PERFORMANCE OF A PHYSICIAN, A CARRIER SHALL DETERMINE WHICH PHYSICIANS SHOULD BE HELD REASONABLY ACCOUNTABLE FOR THE CARE OF A PATIENT AND SHALL FULLY DISCLOSE TO PHYSICIANS UNDER CONTRACT WITH THE CARRIER THE METHODOLOGY USED TO DETERMINE HOW DATA WILL BE ATTRIBUTED TO A PHYSICIAN.
- 19 (E) A CARRIER SHALL USE THE MOST CURRENT CLAIMS AND DATA TO 20 MEASURE PHYSICIAN QUALITY OF PERFORMANCE.
- 21 **15-1704**

14

15

16

17

18

28

29

30

- 22 (A) IN MEASURING THE COST EFFICIENCY OF THE PERFORMANCE OF A
  23 PHYSICIAN, A CARRIER SHALL COMPARE PHYSICIANS WITHIN THE SAME
  24 SPECIALTY WITHIN THE APPROPRIATE GEOGRAPHICAL MARKET.
- 25 (B) A CARRIER SHALL USE APPROPRIATE AND COMPREHENSIVE
  26 EPISODE OF CARE COMPUTER SOFTWARE TO EVALUATE THE COST EFFICIENCY
  27 OF THE PERFORMANCE OF A PHYSICIAN.
  - (C) A CARRIER SHALL DISCLOSE TO PHYSICIANS UNDER CONTRACT
    WITH THE CARRIER THE BASIS OF THE CARRIER'S COST-EFFICIENCY RATINGS,
    THE DATA USED TO DETERMINE THE RATINGS, AND THE RELATIVE WEIGHT OR
    RELEVANCE OF COST EFFICIENCY TO THE OVERALL RATING OF A PHYSICIAN.
- 32 (D) A CARRIER SHALL DESCRIBE TO PHYSICIANS UNDER CONTRACT
  33 WITH THE CARRIER AND TO A RATINGS EXAMINER THE STATISTICAL BASIS FOR
  34 THE NUMBER OF PATIENT EPISODES OF CARE AND USE ACCURATE
  35 MEASUREMENTS OF THE COST EFFICIENCY OF THE PERFORMANCE OF A
  36 PHYSICIAN.

1	<del>15-1705.</del>
2	(A) A CARRIER THAT USES A PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM SHALL
3	ESTABLISH A PROCESS FOR ENROLLEES TO SUBMIT COMPLAINTS ABOUT THE
4	PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM.
5	(B) A CARRIER THAT USES A PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM SHALL POST
6	THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION PROMINENTLY ON ITS WEBSITE:
7	(1) WHERE AN ENROLLEE CAN FIND THE PHYSICIAN
8	PERFORMANCE RATINGS OF THE CARRIER;
9	(2) A DISCLOSURE THAT PHYSICIAN PERFORMANCE RATINGS ARE
10	ONLY A GUIDE TO CHOOSING A PHYSICIAN BECAUSE THESE RATINGS HAVE A
11	RISK OF ERROR AND SHOULD NOT BE THE SOLE BASIS FOR SELECTING A
12	<del>PHYSICIAN;</del>
13	(3) AN EXPLANATION OF THE PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM,
14	INCLUDING THE BASIS ON WHICH PHYSICIAN PERFORMANCE IS MEASURED AND
15	THE BASIS FOR DETERMINING THAT A PHYSICIAN IS NOT CURRENTLY RATED
16	DUE TO INSUFFICIENT DATA OR A PENDING APPEAL;
17	(4) ANY LIMITATIONS OF THE DATA THAT THE CARRIER USES TO
18	MEASURE PHYSICIAN PERFORMANCE;
19	(5) DETAILS ON THE FACTORS AND CRITERIA USED IN THE
20	CARRIER'S PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM, INCLUDING QUALITY OF PERFORMANCE
21	MEASURES AND COST-EFFICIENCY MEASURES; AND
22	(6) HOW AN ENROLLEE MAY SUBMIT A COMPLAINT WITH THE
23	CARRIER ABOUT THE PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM.
24	<del>15-1706.</del>
25	(A) AT LEAST 45 DAYS BEFORE MAKING AVAILABLE TO ENROLLEES ANY
26	NEW OR REVISED QUALITY OF PERFORMANCE OR COST-EFFICIENCY
27	EVALUATIONS OR ANY NEW OR REVISED INCLUSIONS OR EXCLUSIONS FROM A
28	PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM, A CARRIER SHALL PROVIDE EACH PHYSICIAN
29	UNDER CONTRACT WITH THE CARRIER:
30	(1) A NOTICE OF THE PROPOSED CHANGE;
31	(2) AN EXPLANATION OF THE DATA USED FOR THE PHYSICIAN

AND HOW THE PHYSICIAN MAY ACCESS THE DATA;

1	(3) THE METHODOLOGY AND MEASURES USED TO ASSESS THE
2	<del>PHYSICIAN; AND</del>
3	(4) AN EXPLANATION OF THE RIGHT OF THE PHYSICIAN TO MAKE
4	CORRECTIONS TO THE DATA AND THE RATING AND TO APPEAL.
5	(B) A CARRIER SHALL ESTABLISH A PROCESS WHERE A PHYSICIAN
6	UNDER CONTRACT WITH THE CARRIER MAY APPEAL THE RATING RECEIVED
7	UNDER A PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM AND MAKE CORRECTIONS TO THE DATA
8	USED TO RATE THE PHYSICIAN IN A PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM.
9	(C) A RATINGS EXAMINER SHALL HAVE OVERSIGHT AND REVIEW OF
LO	THE PHYSICIAN APPEALS PROCESS REQUIRED UNDER SUBSECTION (B) OF THIS
1	SECTION.
12	(D) IF A PHYSICIAN FILES A TIMELY APPEAL WITH THE CARRIER, A
L3	CARRIER MAY NOT MAKE A CHANGE IN THE QUALITY OF PERFORMANCE OR
L <b>4</b>	COST-EFFICIENCY RATINGS OF THE PHYSICIAN UNTIL THE APPEAL IS
<b>L</b> 5	<del>COMPLETED.</del>
<b>L</b> 6	<del>15–1707.</del>
L <b>7</b>	(A) SUBJECT TO SUBSECTION (B) OF THIS SECTION, A CARRIER SHALL:
18	(1) CONTRACT WITH AND PAY FOR A RATINGS EXAMINER TO
19	REVIEW ANY PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM OF THE CARRIER;
20	(2) COMPLETE AND MAINTAIN IN GOOD STANDING A REVIEW OF
21	THE CARRIER'S PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM BY A RATINGS EXAMINER;
22	(3) FULLY DISCLOSE TO A RATINGS EXAMINER ITS PROCEDURES
23	FOR ENROLLEE AND PHYSICIAN APPEALS AND GRIEVANCES RELATED TO THE
24	CARRIER'S PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM.
25	(4) OBTAIN REVIEW BY A RATINGS EXAMINER OF ANY NATIONAL
26	STANDARDIZED REVIEW PROCESSES THAT MAY BE NECESSARY TO ASSURE
27	COMPLIANCE WITH THIS SUBTITLE;
28	(5) DISCLOSE THE RESULTS OF ANY REVIEWS CONDUCTED BY A
29	RATINGS EXAMINER OF A PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM IN ALL LOCATIONS AND
30	DOCUMENTS THAT DESCRIBE THE PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM; AND

1	(6) PROVIDE A PLAN TO A RATINGS EXAMINER TO USE
2	AGGREGATED DATA, VALIDATED AS APPROPRIATE, AS A SUPPLEMENT TO TEST
3	THE CARRIER'S CLAIMS DATA.
4	(B) A CARRIER MAY NOT IMPLEMENT A PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM
5	UNTIL THE CARRIER SUBMITS THE SYSTEM TO THE RATINGS EXAMINER FOR
6	REVIEW.
7	<del>15–1708.</del>
8	A RATINGS EXAMINER SHALL REPORT ANNUALLY TO THE OFFICE OF THE
9	ATTORNEY GENERAL AND THE MARYLAND INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION
10	REGARDING METHODOLOGIES USED IN A PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM UNDER
11	REVIEW BY THE RATINGS EXAMINER AND THE EXTENT TO WHICH THE
12	PHYSICIAN RATING SYSTEM COMPLIES WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THIS
13	SUBTITLE.
14	<del>15-1709.</del>
15	THE COMMISSIONER, IN CONSULTATION WITH THE OFFICE OF THE
16	ATTORNEY GENERAL, MAY ADOPT REGULATIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE
17	PROVISIONS OF THIS SUBTITLE.
18	SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect
19	October 1, 2009 January 1, 2010.
	Annyovod
	Approved:
	Governor.
	Speaker of the House of Delegates.
	President of the Senate.