M3

HB 581/08 – ENV

By: Senator Colburn

Introduced and read first time: February 23, 2009 Assigned to: Rules

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

$\mathbf{2}$

Environment – Bay Restoration Fund – Authorized Uses

- FOR the purpose of authorizing certain fee revenue collected for the Bay Restoration
 Fund to be used to pay the cost of connecting properties served by onsite sewage
 disposal systems to certain existing municipal wastewater facilities under
 certain circumstances; establishing certain requirements for certain funding of
 certain costs; and generally relating to authorized uses of the Bay Restoration
 Fund.
- 9 BY repealing and reenacting, without amendments,
- 10 Article Environment
- 11 Section 9–1605.2(a) and (h)(1)
- 12 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 13 (2007 Replacement Volume and 2008 Supplement)
- 14 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 15 Article Environment
- 16 Section 9-1605.2(h)(2) and (3)
- 17 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 18 (2007 Replacement Volume and 2008 Supplement)
- 19 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF 20 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
- 21

Article – Environment

- 22 **9–1605.2**.
- 23 (a) (1) There is a Bay Restoration Fund.



1 (2)It is the intent of the General Assembly that the Bay Restoration $\mathbf{2}$ Fund be: 3 (i) Used, in part, to provide the funding necessary to upgrade any of the wastewater treatment facilities that are located in the State or used by 4 5 citizens of the State in order to achieve enhanced nutrient removal where it is cost-effective to do so; and 6 $\mathbf{7}$ Available for treatment facilities discharging into the (ii) 8 Atlantic Coastal Bays or other waters of the State, but that priority be given to treatment facilities discharging into the Chesapeake Bay. 9 10 (3)The Bay Restoration Fund shall be maintained and administered by the Administration in accordance with the provisions of this section and any rules 11 or program directives as the Secretary or the Board may prescribe. 1213(4)There is established a Bay Restoration Fee to be paid by any user 14 of a wastewater facility, an onsite sewage disposal system, or a holding tank that: 15Is located in the State; or (i) 16 (ii) Serves a Maryland user and is eligible for funding under 17this subtitle. 18 (h) (1)With regard to the funds collected under subsection (b)(1)(i), from 19 users of an onsite sewage disposal system or holding tank that receive a water bill, (ii), and (iii) of this section, beginning in fiscal year 2006, the Comptroller shall: 20 21(i) Establish a separate account within the Bay Restoration 22Fund; and 23(ii) Disburse the funds as provided under paragraph (2) of this $\mathbf{24}$ subsection. 25(2)The Comptroller shall: 26(i) Deposit 60% of the funds in the separate account to be used 27for: 28Subject to paragraph (3) of this subsection, with 1. priority first given to failing systems and holding tanks located in the Chesapeake and 29 Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area and then to failing systems that the Department 30 31determines are a threat to public health or water quality, grants or loans for up to 32100% of: 33 The costs attributable to upgrading an onsite sewage A.

disposal system to the best available technology for the removal of nitrogen;

B. The cost difference between a conventional onsite sewage disposal system and a system that utilizes the best available technology for the removal of nitrogen;

C. The cost of repairing or replacing a failing onsite sewage disposal system with a system that uses the best available technology for nitrogen removal; [or]

D. The cost, up to the sum of the costs authorized under item 1B of this item for each individual system, of replacing multiple onsite sewage disposal systems located in the same community with a new community sewerage system that is owned by a local government and that meets enhanced nutrient removal standards; OR

E. THE COST, UP TO THE SUM OF THE COSTS AUTHORIZED UNDER ITEM C OF THIS ITEM FOR EACH INDIVIDUAL SYSTEM, OF CONNECTING A PROPERTY USING AN ONSITE SEWAGE DISPOSAL SYSTEM TO AN EXISTING MUNICIPAL WASTEWATER FACILITY THAT IS ACHIEVING ENHANCED NUTRIENT REMOVAL LEVEL TREATMENT.

17 2. The reasonable costs of the Department, not to exceed
18 8% of the funds deposited into the separate account, to:

A. Implement an education, outreach, and upgrade program to advise owners of onsite sewage disposal systems and holding tanks on the proper maintenance of the systems and tanks and the availability of grants and loans under item 1 of this item;

- B. Review and approve the design and construction of
 onsite sewage disposal system or holding tank upgrades;
- 25 C. Issue grants or loans as provided under item 1 of this 26 item; and

D. Provide technical support for owners of upgraded onsite sewage disposal systems or holding tanks to operate and maintain the upgraded systems; and

30 (ii) Transfer 40% of the funds to the Maryland Agriculture
 31 Water Quality Cost Share Program in the Department of Agriculture in order to fund
 32 cover crop activities.

(3) (i) Funding for the costs identified in paragraph (2)(i)1 of this
subsection shall be provided in the following order of priority:

35 1. For owners of all levels of income, the costs identified
 36 in paragraph (2)(i)1A and B of this subsection; and

$rac{1}{2}$	2. For low-income owners, as defined by the Department, the costs identified in paragraph $(2)(i)1C$ of this subsection:
$\frac{3}{4}$	A. First, for best available technologies for nitrogen removal; and
5	B. Second, for other wastewater treatment systems.
6 7	(ii) Funding for the costs identified in paragraph $(2)(i)1D$ of this subsection may be provided if:
8 9 10	1. The environmental impact of the onsite sewage disposal system is documented by the local government and confirmed by the Department;
11	2. It can be demonstrated that:
$12 \\ 13 \\ 14$	A. The replacement of the onsite sewage disposal system with a new community sewerage system is more cost effective for nitrogen removal than upgrading each individual onsite sewage disposal system; or
$\begin{array}{c} 15\\ 16\end{array}$	B. The individual replacement of the onsite sewage disposal system is not feasible; and
17 18 19	3. The new community sewerage system will only serve lots that have received a certificate of occupancy, or equivalent certificate, on or before October 1, 2008.
$\begin{array}{c} 20\\ 21 \end{array}$	(III) FUNDING FOR THE COSTS IDENTIFIED IN PARAGRAPH (2)(I)1E OF THIS SUBSECTION MAY BE PROVIDED IF:
22 23 24	1. THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF THE ONSITE SEWAGE DISPOSAL SYSTEM IS DOCUMENTED BY THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT AND CONFIRMED BY THE DEPARTMENT;
25	2. IT CAN BE DEMONSTRATED THAT:
26 27 28 29 30	A. THE REPLACEMENT OF THE ONSITE SEWAGE DISPOSAL SYSTEM WITH SERVICE TO AN EXISTING MUNICIPAL WASTEWATER FACILITY THAT IS ACHIEVING ENHANCED NUTRIENT REMOVAL LEVEL TREATMENT IS MORE COST EFFECTIVE FOR NITROGEN REMOVAL THAN UPGRADING THE INDIVIDUAL ONSITE SEWAGE DISPOSAL SYSTEM; OR
$\frac{31}{32}$	B. THE INDIVIDUAL REPLACEMENT OF THE ONSITE SEWAGE DISPOSAL SYSTEM IS NOT FEASIBLE;

4

13.THE PROJECT IS CONSISTENT WITH THE2COUNTY'S COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AND WATER AND SEWER MASTER PLAN; AND34.34.4INSTALLED AS OF OCTOBER 1, 2008, AND THE PROPERTY IT SERVES IS LOCATED

5 IN A PRIORITY FUNDING AREA, AS SET FORTH IN § 5–7B–02 OF THE STATE

6 FINANCE AND PROCUREMENT ARTICLE.

SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect
October 1, 2009.