FISCAL AND POLICY NOTE

House Bill 777
(Delegate Bromwell, et al.)
Ways and Means

Video Lottery Terminals - Baltimore-Washington International Thurgood Marshall Airport

This bill authorizes a video lottery operating license to be awarded for operation of a maximum of 3,000 video lottery terminals (VLTs) at the terminal building and surrounding area within the security perimeter of Baltimore-Washington International Thurgood Marshall Airport (BWI).

The bill is contingent on the passage of House Bill 1268, a constitutional amendment authorizing VLT gaming at BWI, and its ratification by voters in November 2010.

Fiscal Summary

State Effect: Special fund revenues increase in FY 2011 and beyond due to one-time license fees and VLT revenues. General fund expenditures increase in FY 2013 and beyond due to lottery agency expenditures, offset by a decrease in general fund expenditures beginning in FY 2011 due to the availability of Education Trust Fund (ETF) revenues. Special fund revenues and expenditures increase for the purposes specified by the VLT program, beginning in FY 2013. Special fund expenditures for education begin in FY 2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2010</th>
<th>FY 2011</th>
<th>FY 2012</th>
<th>FY 2013</th>
<th>FY 2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SF Revenue</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,660,700</td>
<td>$25,182,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GF Expenditure</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>($6,000,000)</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>($2,198,600)</td>
<td>($15,217,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF Expenditure</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,660,700</td>
<td>$25,182,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Effect</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,198,600</td>
<td>$15,217,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: () = decrease; GF = general funds; FF = federal funds; SF = special funds; - = indeterminate effect

Local Effect: Revenues for local jurisdictions with VLT facilities will increase by approximately $244,000 in FY 2013, increasing to $1.7 million in FY 2014. Baltimore
City receives $53,600 in FY 2013 and $367,000 in FY 2014. Anne Arundel County infrastructure costs may increase depending upon specific plans for the site.

**Small Business Effect:** Small businesses will benefit from increased funds to the business investment account and to horse industry investments. Additional benefits from any construction and operation of VLT facilities will be partially offset by the substitution of VLT wagering for other expenditures.

---

**Analysis**

**Bill Summary:** The bill authorizes a video lottery operating license to be awarded for a video lottery facility at the terminal building and surrounding area within the security perimeter of BWI. The bill excludes such a license from the prohibition against awarding more than one video lottery operation license in a single county or Baltimore City. The bill increases the limit on the number of VLTs in the State from 15,000 to 18,000, limits the number of VLTs that may be awarded for operation at BWI to 3,000, and increases the statewide limit on the number of VLT facilities from five to six. The bill requires that a bid for a video lottery operation license at BWI be submitted by April 15, 2011.

**Current Law:** Chapter 5 of the 2007 special session, a constitutional amendment authorizing 15,000 VLTs at five locations in the State was approved by Maryland voters at the November 2008 general election. The amendment also specifies that the General Assembly may only authorize expanded forms of gambling subject to certain restrictions. Chapter 4 of the 2007 special session establishes the operational and regulatory framework for the authorized VLT program.

A seven-member Video Lottery Facility Location Commission established under Chapter 4 awards the video lottery operation licenses. Three members (including the chair) are appointed by the Governor, two each are appointed by the Senate President and the Speaker of the House of Delegates. Members may not be elected officials and must file annual financial disclosure statements.

The nine-member State Lottery Commission provides oversight for VLT implementation in the State. The State Lottery Commission owns and leases VLTs and the central monitor and control system. No elected officials may be appointed to the commission and a member of the commission serves as a liaison to the Racing Commission and vice versa.

Gross VLT proceeds are distributed as follows, pursuant to Chapter 4 of the 2007 special session:
• Business Investment – 1.5% to a small, minority, and woman-owned business investment account;

• Lottery (Administration) – 2% to the State lottery for administrative costs, with other costs provided for in the State budget;

• Local Government Impact Grants – 5.5% to local governments in which a video lottery facility is operating, 18% of which would go for 15 years (starting in fiscal 2012 and ending in fiscal 2027) to Baltimore City through the Pimlico Community Development Authority and to Prince George’s County for the community surrounding Rosecroft ($1 million annually);

• Horse Racing Industry – 7% to a purse dedication account to enhance horse racing purses and funds for the horse breeding industry, not to exceed $100 million annually;

• Racetrack Renewal – 2.5% for an eight-year period to a Racetrack Renewal Fund, not to exceed $40 million annually;

• Licensee (Operator) – no more than 33% to video lottery operation licensees; and

• Education Trust Fund – remainder to Education Trust Fund (48.5%-51.0%).

**Education Funding**

The Bridge to Excellence in Public Schools Act of 2002 (Chapter 288) altered the State’s school finance structure to align with a concept of “adequate funding” and phased in the enhanced State funding for public education over a six-year period. The legislation included a new program to adjust State aid to reflect regional cost differences. The Maryland State Department of Education oversaw the development of an acceptable Geographic Cost of Education Index (GCEI) to adjust State aid beginning in fiscal 2005. However, the Attorney General’s Office determined that funding for GCEI was not mandated in the Thornton bill. A GCEI was subsequently codified in the Budget Reconciliation and Financing Act (BRFA) of 2004 (Chapter 440); however, the 2004 BRFA did not mandate funding for the index.

The Education Trust Fund (ETF) established by Chapter 4 of the 2007 special session provides funding for continuation of the Bridge to Excellence Act formulas and programs including GCEI funding. Public school construction and capital projects at public institutions of higher education, including community colleges, are also eligible for funding.

**Video Lottery Terminals and Facilities**

A maximum of 15,000 VLTs are authorized in the State, as follows:
• 4,750 VLTs at a location in Anne Arundel County within two miles of Route 295;
• 3,750 VLTs in Baltimore City, in a nonresidential area within one-half mile of Interstate 95 and Route 295, on city-owned land that is not adjacent to or within one-quarter mile of residential property;
• 2,500 VLTs at a location in Worcester County within one mile of the intersection of Route 50 and Route 589;
• 2,500 VLTs at a location in Cecil County within two miles of Interstate 95; and
• 1,500 VLTs on State property in Allegany County associated with the Rocky Gap State Park in a building physically separate from the Rocky Gap Lodge and Golf Resort.

VLT facilities must comply with all applicable planning and zoning laws of the local jurisdiction. The Video Lottery Facility Location Commission may alter allocations if warranted by an evaluation of market and other factors; however, no more than 4,750 VLTs may be placed at any one location and no more than one video lottery operating license may be awarded in a single county or Baltimore City. The commission is to evaluate bids based on: 70% on business and market factors, including the highest potential benefit to the State and percentage of Minority Business Enterprise (MBE) equity ownership; 15% on economic development factors; and 15% on location siting factors. There is no requirement for all five video lottery operation licenses to be issued.

**Video Lottery Operation Licensing and Licensees**

An individual or business entity may not own an interest in more than one video lottery facility. An initial license fee of at least $3 million for every 500 VLTs is required, which accrues to ETF. The initial term of a video lottery operation license (of which up to five may be awarded through a competitive bidding process) is 15 years; a licensee may subsequently reapply for an additional 10-year term.

License applicants must meet State MBE requirements for construction/procurement contracts and to the extent possible meet county MBE requirements if they exceed the State requirement. These requirements sunset on July 1, 2011. License applicants must also invest $25 million in construction and related costs for every 500 VLTs proposed in a bid. VLT licensees must begin operations in a permanent facility within 18 months after the license is issued, which may be extended 6 months by the State Lottery Commission. VLT licensees must pay an annual fee of $425 per VLT for a Problem Gambling Fund administered by the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH). (DHMH is required to conduct a problem and pathological gambling prevalence study to measure the rate of problem and pathological gambling in the State.)
Other Provisions of Current Law

VLTs must have an average payout percentage of at least 87%. Free food and alcoholic beverages are generally prohibited at VLT facilities. By regulation, the State Lottery Commission must establish a list of individuals who are mandatorily excluded from VLT facilities. VLT facilities must develop procedures that permit self-exclusion from facilities for individuals with gambling problems. Regulations are also required for the protection of consumers, including a prohibition on cashing of paychecks at VLT facilities. A VLT facility may offer any State lottery game.

**Background:** The Video Lottery Facility Location Commission was appointed in November 2008 and issued a request for proposals for video lottery facility operation licenses in December. Initial bids for the five video lottery terminal operating licenses currently authorized were due February 2, 2009, and supplemental bid information must be submitted by April 15, 2009. The commission will evaluate proposals and expects to make license awards in fall 2009. The State Lottery Commission will be responsible for issuing the licenses to the awardees and will administer the VLT program.

Projected revenues from the VLT operations under current law are shown in **Exhibit 1**.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Education Trust Fund</td>
<td>$90,000,000*</td>
<td>$12,931,123</td>
<td>$365,856,738</td>
<td>$613,860,465</td>
<td>$663,525,658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licensees</td>
<td>8,798,496</td>
<td>248,933,450</td>
<td>417,678,254</td>
<td>451,471,066</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Government</td>
<td>1,466,416</td>
<td>41,488,908</td>
<td>69,613,042</td>
<td>75,245,178</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small, Minority, Woman-owned Businesses</td>
<td>399,932</td>
<td>11,315,157</td>
<td>18,985,375</td>
<td>20,521,412</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purse Dedication Account</td>
<td>1,866,348</td>
<td>52,804,065</td>
<td>88,598,418</td>
<td>95,766,590</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Racetrack Renewal Account</td>
<td>666,553</td>
<td>18,858,595</td>
<td>31,642,292</td>
<td>34,202,354</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lottery Operations</td>
<td>533,242</td>
<td>15,086,876</td>
<td>25,313,834</td>
<td>27,361,883</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Annual Gross</strong></td>
<td><strong>$90,000,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$26,662,109</strong></td>
<td><strong>$754,343,789</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,265,691,680</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,368,094,141</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Assumes initial license fee payment for maximum VLTs authorized. A total of $39.3 million in initial license fees has been received to date.

Source: Department of Legislative Services
BWI serves approximately 20 million commercial passengers annually. An estimated 72% (or 14.4 million) are age 21 or over. A Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments passenger survey indicates that more than half of passengers departing from Washington-Baltimore regional airports are not residents of the region. The Maryland Aviation Authority (MAA) assumes that the space within the security perimeter is the area past screening in the terminal building, beyond which only ticketed passengers and employees are allowed access.

Legislative Services is aware of two airports in the U.S. with VLTs, both in Nevada. According to the Nevada Gaming Commission, McCarran International Airport contains 1,322 VLTs and Reno-Tahoe International Airport has 250 VLTs.

**State Revenues:** MAA advises that it currently has no space for VLTs within the security perimeter within the terminal building at BWI. Legislative Services assumes, based on the number of machines at the two airports in Nevada, that BWI could accommodate a maximum of 1,000 VLTs at peak capacity with some renovations or additional space. An initial license fee of $3 million for every 500 VLTs is to be submitted by April 15, 2011. Assuming that license applicants opt to participate and pay the license fee for 1,000 VLTs by the required deadline, ETF revenues would increase by $6 million in fiscal 2011. It is estimated that a VLT facility at BWI will not have a significant negative effect upon VLT revenues at other Maryland VLT facilities.

MAA advises that federal law requires the airport to charge rent for terminal space and that a minimum percentage of operator proceeds would have to be paid to the airport. Federal law also places restrictions on the use of revenue generated by the use of airport space that may conflict with the revenue distribution provisions of the VLT program. According to McCarran International’s fiscal 2007 financial report, all airport gaming revenue is deposited into the Airport System Capital Fund. Legislative Services’ fiscal analysis assumes that there will be an opportunity to distribute the amount of net revenues generated by a BWI facility while complying with federal law.

**VLT Revenues**

After payouts to winning players, but **before** any other distributions are made, total revenues generated could total approximately $5.4 million in fiscal 2013 and $37.1 million in fiscal 2014.

These estimates assume that (1) the facility will initially operate at 50% capacity in April 2013 (two years after bid submission) and reach full capacity one year later; (2) 1,000 VLTs are awarded and can be accommodated at BWI by fiscal 2014. Revenues will be potentially higher (lower) than estimated to the extent that operations begin earlier (later) than estimated in temporary or permanent facilities; and may be higher (lower)
than estimated depending on the number of VLTs that BWI can accommodate. To the extent that the Video Lottery Facility Location Commission reallocates any available VLTs to other locations, up to a maximum of 4,750 as authorized in current law, revenues could be higher.

A win per day (WPD) estimate of $125 at peak capacity for the VLT facility is based on the location, its proximity to likely facilities in Anne Arundel County and Baltimore City, 1,000 VLTs, approximately $90 WPD at McCarran International in fiscal 2007, the relative scarcity of machines in Maryland as compared to Nevada, and the assumption that the average airport passenger is less likely to gamble than the average visitor to a casino. Legislative Services advises that the gross VLT revenue estimate could be higher or lower than estimated depending on the economic and market conditions that develop as the bill is implemented, including expansion of gaming in the surrounding states. Other assumptions used in estimating VLT revenues are:

- VLTs will operate 365 days a year, once operational.
- Virginia and Washington, DC do not authorize VLT gambling.
- West Virginia and Delaware do not expand VLT operations, either by adding additional VLT facilities or authorizing casino-style gambling.
- Pennsylvania does not expand gambling beyond VLT facilities authorized in 2004.

Exhibit 2 details the revenue distribution resulting from 1,000 VLTs at a BWI facility for fiscal 2011 through 2014.

Exhibit 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Education Trust Fund</td>
<td>$6,000,000*</td>
<td>$2,627,715</td>
<td>$17,979,102</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licensee</td>
<td>1,787,930</td>
<td>12,233,203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Government</td>
<td>297,988</td>
<td>2,038,867</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small, Minority, Woman-owned Businesses</td>
<td>81,270</td>
<td>556,055</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purse Dedication Account</td>
<td>379,258</td>
<td>2,594,922</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Racetrack Renewal Account</td>
<td>135,449</td>
<td>926,758</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lottery Operations</td>
<td>108,359</td>
<td>741,406</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Annual Gross</strong></td>
<td><strong>$6,000,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$5,417,969</strong></td>
<td><strong>$37,070,313</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Assumes initial license fee payment for 1,000 additionally authorized VLTs.
Source: Department of Legislative Services
**Problem Gambling Fund**

Approximately $425,000 annually beginning in fiscal 2015, based on $425 per VLT at full implementation of 1,000 VLTs, will be credited to the Problem Gambling Fund administered by DHMH. Approximately $30,625 will be credited in fiscal 2013 and $345,313 will be credited in fiscal 2014. The fund must be used to establish a 24-hour hotline, provide counseling for problem gamblers, and establish problem gambling prevention programs and other support services.

**Indirect Economic Development Revenues**

In addition to the direct revenues generated, the introduction of up to 1,000 VLTs could generate other revenues due to the increased economic activity. As a result of licensure requirements, if 1,000 VLTs are awarded the VLT licensee would undertake at least $50 million in one-time capital improvements and construction costs.

Any construction jobs associated with VLTs at BWI could bring dollars into the surrounding area, providing an economic boost to the local economy. To the extent that the annual capital improvement activity increases, the local economy will receive a boost from annual capital improvements. New jobs would generate new incomes which would be subject to the income tax – revenues that are not currently generated. If substitute jobs are higher (lower) paying than the previously held jobs, taxes paid by those individuals would be higher (lower) than paid previously.

**State Expenditures:**

**State Lottery Agency**

The State Lottery Agency will need to procure additional VLTs to be placed in a BWI video lottery facility. Expenditures for the central computer system required for the overall VLT program may increase as well, but the State lottery is unable to estimate the affect at this time. The State Lottery Agency advises it will need additional employees, including five security investigators, one accountant, and one auditor; Legislative Services estimates that two additional security investigators and one accountant/auditor will be sufficient.

**Effect on Lottery Sales**

Legislative Services assumes that 1,000 additional VLTs would not permanently reduce lottery revenues beyond the 10% reduction already estimated for the authorized VLT program.
Education Expenditures

ETF is a nonlapsing, special fund to be used for continued funding of the Bridge to Excellence formulas and programs, including GCEI. The fund may also be used to support capital projects for public schools, public colleges and universities, and community colleges. In light of the substantial structural deficit currently forecasted for fiscal 2010 through 2014, it is assumed that all of the available ETF proceeds are used to support operating programs and therefore offset general fund expenditures.

Infrastructure Costs

The State could incur significant costs associated with infrastructure and transportation upgrades near BWI. The actual impact will depend upon specific plans for any future video lottery facility at BWI. To the extent that only ticketed passengers and BWI employees can access the areas with VLTs, costs would be minimal.

Indirect State Expenditures

In addition to the positive indirect effects to the economy, negative impacts could be expected as well. These effects could include increased levels of crime, unemployment, and personal bankruptcies which could result in a need to significantly increase the State and local spending directed toward these effects. Although these costs cannot be reliably estimated, Legislative Services estimates that these costs are likely to be greater than the funds dedicated to the Compulsive Gambling Fund under this bill. For a more in-depth discussion about the possible social costs as a result of authorizing VLTs, consult the Legislator’s Guide to Video Lottery Terminal Gambling.

Local Fiscal Effect: Local revenues will increase by $298,000 in fiscal 2013 and $2.0 million in fiscal 2014. Of this amount, revenues for local jurisdictions with VLT facilities will increase by approximately $244,000 in fiscal 2013, increasing to $1.7 million in fiscal 2014. Baltimore City receives 18% of the local impact grants, $53,638 in fiscal 2013 and $366,996 in 2014, for development around Pimlico Race Course. Anne Arundel County infrastructure costs may increase due to the BWI facility. The extent of any increased infrastructure expenditures will depend upon specific plans for a video lottery facility and the degree to which needed infrastructure improvements are not covered by State expenditures.

Small Business Effect: To the extent that the VLT facility purchases goods from local businesses that are small businesses, these small businesses will benefit. Small business horse industry breeders and owners in the thoroughbred and standardbred racing industry would benefit. Some small businesses, especially those located in the BWI terminal
building may be harmed as a result of travelers substituting VLT wagering for other expenditures. Expenditures from the Small, Minority, and Woman-owned Business Investment Account will benefit small businesses.

Other small businesses will be harmed by the substantial substitution of consumer spending away from other consumption to gambling. Small businesses in the entertainment and retail food service near VLTs could be particularly harmed.

**Additional Comments:** Legislative Services notes that the bill may limit the travel options of individuals on either the voluntary or mandatory problem gambling exclusion list, and may somewhat diminish the incentive for individuals to join the voluntary exclusion list.

---

**Additional Information**

**Prior Introductions:** None.

**Cross File:** None.

**Information Source(s):** Comptroller’s Office, State Lottery Agency, Maryland Department of Transportation, Department of Legislative Services

**Fiscal Note History:** First Reader - March 18, 2009
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