**Judiciary** 

# **Department of Legislative Services**

Maryland General Assembly 2009 Session

#### FISCAL AND POLICY NOTE Revised

Senate Bill 339

(Senators Raskin and Forehand)

Judicial Proceedings

#### **Real Property - Abatement of Nuisance - Prostitution**

This bill classifies real property used for prostitution as a nuisance subject to an abatement action under the statute authorizing abatement of a nuisance when property is used for drug offenses.

## **Fiscal Summary**

**State Effect:** The bill's requirements can be handled with existing budgeted resources.

**Local Effect:** Enforcement can be handled with existing resources.

Small Business Effect: None.

## **Analysis**

Current Law: Under the State's drug-related nuisance abatement provisions, a "nuisance" is a property that is used (1) by persons who assemble for the specific purpose of illegally administering a controlled dangerous substance; (2) for the illegal manufacture or distribution of a controlled dangerous substance or controlled paraphernalia; or (3) for the storage or concealment of a controlled dangerous substance in sufficient quantity to indicate an intent to manufacture, distribute, or dispense a controlled dangerous substance or controlled paraphernalia.

A drug-related nuisance action may be brought by a community association, the local State's Attorney, the local county attorney or solicitor, or a municipal corporation within which a nuisance is located. In addition to other relief, a court may award costs and reasonable attorney's fees to a community association that is a prevailing plaintiff.

Generally, in a drug-related nuisance abatement case, the court may issue an injunction or order other equitable relief whether or not there is an adequate remedy at law. The court may order appropriate relief without proof that the defendant knew about the nuisance. In addition to or as part of an injunction or other remedy, the court may order (1) a tenant who knew or should have known of the existence of the nuisance to vacate the property within 72 hours; or (2) an owner or operator to submit a plan of correction for court approval to ensure that the property will not again be used for a nuisance if the owner or operator is a party to the action and knew or should have known about the nuisance.

If an owner fails to comply with a nuisance abatement order, in addition to issuing a contempt order or ordering any other relief, the court may order the property to be sold at the owner's expense, in accordance with the Maryland Rules governing judicial sales, or demolished if the property is unfit for human habitation and the cost of rehabilitation significantly exceeds the estimated market value after rehabilitation. If a tenant fails to comply with an abatement order and the owner or operator and tenant are parties to the action, the court may grant restitution of the premises to the owner or operator.

If an owner-occupant fails to comply with an abatement order, the court may also order that the owner-occupied unit be vacated within 72 hours and remain unoccupied for up to one year or until the property is sold in an arm's length transaction.

**Background:** Chapter 505 of 1991 established the Drug Nuisance Abatement statute. The statute was enacted to allow a community association, State's Attorney, or city or county attorney or solicitor to bring an action to abate a nuisance when residential property is being used for certain illegal drug activities. Chapter 700 of 1994 amended the abatement statute to include commercial properties. Chapter 501 of 2005 expanded the relief the District Court may order and authorized the release of specified information to potential plaintiffs in a drug-related nuisance abatement action.

#### **Additional Information**

**Prior Introductions:** None.

**Cross File:** None.

**Information Source(s):** Judiciary (Administrative Office of the Courts), Secretary of State, Department of Legislative Services

**Fiscal Note History:** First Reader - February 18, 2009

mcp/kdm Revised - Senate Third Reader - March 26, 2009

Analysis by: Jason F. Weintraub Direct Inquiries to:

(410) 946-5510 (301) 970-5510