HOUSE BILL 62

М3 0 lr 0 5 6 5(PRE-FILED) By: Delegate V. Turner Delegates V. Turner and Beidle Requested: September 10, 2009 Introduced and read first time: January 13, 2010 Assigned to: Environmental Matters Committee Report: Favorable with amendments House action: Adopted Read second time: February 19, 2010 CHAPTER AN ACT concerning Environment - Payment of Cost Differential - Nitrogen Removal Technology FOR the purpose of repealing a certain contingency on the requirement requiring that the Department of the Environment pay for certain costs associated with the installation of on-site sewage disposal systems utilizing nitrogen removal technology with money from the Bay Restoration Fund under certain circumstances in certain calendar years; requiring the Department to make payment with money from certain General Fund appropriations if certain funding is unavailable; giving priority for certain funding to certain homeowners installing on-site sewage disposal systems utilizing nitrogen removal technology declaring the intent of the General Assembly; and generally relating to on-site sewage disposal systems utilizing nitrogen removal technology. BY repealing and reenacting, without amendments, Article – Environment Section 9–1108(a) Annotated Code of Maryland (2007 Replacement Volume and 2009 Supplement) (As enacted by Chapter 280 of the Acts of the General Assembly of 2009) BY repealing and reenacting, without amendments,

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.

Section 9–1108(b) and 9–1605.2(h)(1) and (2)

<u>Underlining</u> indicates amendments to bill.

Article – Environment

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Strike out indicates matter stricken from the bill by amendment or deleted from the law by amendment.



35

| 1 | Annotated Code of Maryland |
|----------|--|
| 2 | (2007 Replacement Volume and 2009 Supplement) |
| 3 | BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments, |
| 4 | Article – Environment |
| 5 | Section 9–1108(c) and 9–1605.2(h)(3)(i) |
| 6 | Annotated Code of Maryland |
| 7 | (2007 Replacement Volume and 2009 Supplement) |
| 8 | SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF |
| 9 | MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows: |
| 10 | Article – Environment |
| 11 | 9–1108. |
| 12 | (a) (1) In this section the following words have the meanings indicated. |
| 19 | (2) "Nitrogen removed technology" means the best evailable technology |
| 13 14 | (2) "Nitrogen removal technology" means the best available technology for the removal of nitrogen. |
| 17 | for the removal of introgen. |
| 15 | (3) "On-site sewage disposal system" means a sewage treatment unit, |
| 16 | collection system, disposal area, and related appurtenances. |
| 17 | (b) A person may not: |
| 18 | (1) Install, or have installed, on property a person owns in the State in |
| 19 | the Chesapeake and Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area, an on–site sewage disposal |
| 20 | system to service a newly constructed building, unless the on-site sewage disposal |
| 21 | system utilizes nitrogen removal technology; or |
| 22 | (2) Replace or have replaced, an existing on-site sewage disposal |
| 23 | system on property a person owns in the State in the Chesapeake and Atlantic Coastal |
| 24 | Bays Critical Area, unless the replacement on-site sewage disposal system utilizes |
| 25 | nitrogen removal technology. |
| 26 | (c) (1) In Subject to Paragraph (2) of this subsection and in |
| 27 | accordance with § 9-1605.2(h) of this title, the Department shall assist homeowners |
| 28 | [in] BY paying the cost difference between a conventional on-site sewage disposal |
| 29 | system and a system that utilizes nitrogen removal technology with money from the |
| 30 | Bay Restoration Fund { , if sufficient funds are available } . |
| 31 | (2) IF SUFFICIENT FUNDS ARE NOT AVAILABLE FROM THE BAY |
| 32 | RESTORATION FUND, THE DEPARTMENT SHALL PAY FOR THE COST |
| 33 | DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A CONVENTIONAL ON SITE SEWAGE DISPOSAL SYSTEM |
| 34 | AND A SYSTEM THAT UTILIZES NITROGEN REMOVAL TECHNOLOGY WITH MONEY |

FROM THE DEPARTMENT'S GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATION.

| 1 | <u>(2)</u> | In | CALENDAR YEARS 2010, 2011, AND 2012, THE |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| 2 | DEPARTMENT SH | ALL | ASSIST HOMEOWNERS BY PAYING 100% OF THE COST |
| 3 | DIFFERENCE BET | WEEN | N A CONVENTIONAL ON-SITE SEWAGE DISPOSAL SYSTEM |
| 4 | AND A SYSTEM TH | AT U | TILIZES NITROGEN REMOVAL TECHNOLOGY WITH MONEY |
| 5 | FROM THE BAY RI | ESTO | RATION FUND, IF THE HOMEOWNER: |
| 0 | | (T) | To province where experiences (p)(9) or mixed |
| 6 | | (<u>I)</u> | IS REQUIRED UNDER SUBSECTION (B)(2) OF THIS |
| 7 | | | AN EXISTING ON-SITE SEWAGE DISPOSAL SYSTEM WITH |
| 8 9 | TECHNOLOGY; AN | | DISPOSAL SYSTEM THAT UTILIZES NITROGEN REMOVAL |
| J | TEOINOLOGI, AN | <u>D</u> | |
| 10 | | <u>(II)</u> | HAS A FAILING ON-SITE SEWAGE DISPOSAL SYSTEM. |
| 11 | 9-1605.2. | | |
| 12 | (h) (1) | With | regard to the funds collected under subsection (b)(1)(i), from |
| 13 | ` , , , , , | | e disposal system or holding tank that receive a water bill, (ii), |
| 14 | | _ | eginning in fiscal year 2006, the Comptroller shall: |
| | | | |
| 15 | | (i) | Establish a separate account within the Bay Restoration |
| 16 | Fund; and | | |
| 17 | | (ii) | Disburse the funds as provided under paragraph (2) of this |
| 18 | subsection. | . / | |
| 19 | (2) | The (| Comptroller shall: |
| | , | | • |
| 20 | 2 | (i) | Deposit 60% of the funds in the separate account to be used |
| 21 | for: | | |
| 22 | | | 1. Subject to paragraph (3) of this subsection, with |
| 23 | priority first given (| o fail | ing systems and holding tanks located in the Chesapeake and |
| 24 | | | ritical Area and then to failing systems that the Department |
| 25 | | | to public health or water quality, grants or loans for up to |
| 26 | 100% of: | | |
| 97 | | | A The costs attributable to unamedian an ensite services |
| 2728 | disposal system to t | ho ho | A. The costs attributable to upgrading an onsite sewage ost available technology for the removal of nitrogen; |
| _0 | ampon ar nymem to t | .11 0 DC | so available technology for the removar or introgen, |
| 29 | | | B. The cost difference between a conventional onsite |
| 30 | | | and a system that utilizes the best available technology for the |
| 31 | removal of nitrogen | * | |
| 32 | | | C. The cost of repairing or replacing a failing onsite |
| 33 | sewage disposal sy | stem | with a system that uses the best available technology for |
| 34 | nitrogen removal; o | | |

| 1 | D. The cost, up to the sum of the costs authorized under |
|------------|---|
| 2 | item 1B of this item for each individual system, of replacing multiple onsite sewag |
| 3 | disposal systems located in the same community with a new community sewerag |
| 4 | system that is owned by a local government and that meets enhanced nutrier |
| 5 | removal standards. |
| 6 | 2. The reasonable costs of the Department, not to excee |
| 7 | 8% of the funds deposited into the separate account, to: |
| 8 | A. Implement an education, outreach, and upgrad |
| | |
| 9 | program to advise owners of onsite sewage disposal systems and holding tanks on th |
| 10 | proper maintenance of the systems and tanks and the availability of grants and loan |
| 11 | under item 1 of this item; |
| 12 | B. Review and approve the design and construction of |
| 13 | onsite sewage disposal system or holding tank upgrades; |
| 14 | C. Issue grants or loans as provided under item 1 of thi |
| 15 | item; and |
| 10 | iveni, and |
| 16 | D. Provide technical support for owners of upgrade |
| 17 | onsite sewage disposal systems or holding tanks to operate and maintain the upgrade |
| 18 | systems; and |
| 19 | (ii) Transfer 40% of the funds to the Maryland Agricultur |
| 20 | Water Quality Cost Share Program in the Department of Agriculture in order to fun |
| 21 | cover crop activities. |
| 00 | |
| 22 | (3) (i) Funding for the costs identified in paragraph (2)(i)1 of thi |
| 23 | subsection shall be provided in the following order of priority: |
| 24 | 1. Owners required under § 9-1108 of the |
| 25 | TITLE TO INSTALL ON SITE SEWAGE DISPOSAL SYSTEMS UTILIZING NITROGE |
| 26 | REMOVAL TECHNOLOGY; |
| | |
| 27 | 2. For owners of all levels of income, the costs identifie |
| 28 | in paragraph (2)(i)1A and B of this subsection; and |
| 90 | |
| 29 | [2.] 3. For low-income owners, as defined by the |
| 30 | Department, the costs identified in paragraph (2)(i)1C of this subsection: |
| 31 | A. First, for best available technologies for nitroge |
| 32 | removal; and |
| J _ | |
| 33 | B. Second, for other wastewater treatment systems. |

| - | Speaker of the House of Delegates. |
|---|--|
| _ | Governor. |
| Α | Approved: |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| e | SECTION $\stackrel{2}{=}$ 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall the effect October 1, 2010. |
| | or the cost difference between a conventional on–site sewage disposal system are system that utilizes nitrogen removal technology. |
| e | ntent of the General Assembly that the Department of the Environment may use economic means test to determine the financial assistance awarded to a homeow |
| | |

President of the Senate.