## **SENATE BILL 13**

D3 0 lr 0 3 3 5**CF HB 193** (PRE-FILED) By: Senator Raskin Requested: July 13, 2009 Introduced and read first time: January 13, 2010 Assigned to: Judicial Proceedings Committee Report: Favorable with amendments Senate action: Adopted Read second time: February 9, 2010 CHAPTER \_\_\_\_\_ AN ACT concerning Civil Proceedings - Foreign Defamation Judgments - Enforceability and **Bases of Personal Jurisdiction** FOR the purpose of authorizing a court in this State to exercise personal jurisdiction for certain purposes and under certain circumstances over a certain person who obtains a certain foreign defamation judgment; prohibiting recognition of certain foreign judgments; establishing that a certain foreign defamation <del>judgment need</del> judgments may not be recognized in this State unless a certain court makes a certain finding determination; authorizing a court to award costs and reasonable attorney's fees to a party opposing recognition or enforcement of a certain foreign judgment; defining a certain term; and generally relating to enforceability of certain foreign judgments and personal jurisdiction over certain persons who obtain certain foreign judgments. BY adding to Article - Courts and Judicial Proceedings Section 6-103.3 Annotated Code of Maryland (2006 Replacement Volume and 2009 Supplement) BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,

## EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.

Article – Courts and Judicial Proceedings

<u>Underlining</u> indicates amendments to bill.

Annotated Code of Maryland

Section 10-704

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

Strike out indicates matter stricken from the bill by amendment or deleted from the law by amendment.



31

32

(2)

defendant;

1	(2006 Replacement Volume and 2009 Supplement)		
2 3	SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:		
4	Article - Courts and Judicial Proceedings		
5	6–103.3.		
6 7	(A) IN THIS SECTION, "DEFAMATION" INCLUDES INVASION OF PRIVACE BY FALSE FACTS.		
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(B) A COURT MAY EXERCISE PERSONAL JURISDICTION, TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, OVER ANY PERSON WHO OBTAINS A JUDGMENT IN A DEFAMATION PROCEEDING OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES AGAINST ANY PERSON WHO IS A RESIDENT OF THIS STATE OR IS OTHERWISE SUBJECT TO JURISDICTION IN THIS STATE FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING DECLARATORY RELIEF WITH RESPECT TO THAT PERSON'S LIABILITY FOR THE JUDGMENT OR DETERMINING WHETHER THE JUDGMENT SHOULD NOT BE RECOGNIZED UNDER § 10–704 OF THIS ARTICLE IF \$\frac{1}{2}\$.		
16 17	(1) THE PUBLICATION AT ISSUE WAS PUBLISHED IN THIS STATE AND		
18 19	(2) THE THE RESIDENT OR PERSON SUBJECT TO JURISDICTION IN THIS STATE:		
20 21	(1) HAS ASSETS IN THIS STATE THAT MIGHT BE USED TO SATISFY THE FOREIGN DEFAMATION JUDGMENT; OR		
22 23	(H) (2) MAY HAVE TO TAKE ACTIONS IN THIS STATE TO COMPLY WITH THE FOREIGN DEFAMATION JUDGMENT.		
24	10–704.		
25 26	(A) IN THIS SECTION, "DEFAMATION" INCLUDES INVASION OF PRIVACY BY FALSE FACTS.		
27	(a) (B) A foreign judgment is not conclusive if:		
28 29 30	(1) The judgment was rendered under a system which does not provide impartial tribunals or procedures compatible with the requirements of due process of law;		

The foreign court did not have personal jurisdiction over the

$\frac{1}{2}$	(3) or	The foreign court did not have jurisdiction over the subject matter;	
3	(4)	The judgment was obtained by fraud.	
4	<del>(b)</del> <u>(C)</u>	A foreign judgment <del>need</del> <u>MAY</u> not be recognized if:	
5 6	(1) receive notice of t	The defendant in the proceedings in the foreign court did not the proceedings in sufficient time to enable him to defend;	
7 8	(2) the public policy	The cause of action on which the judgment is based is repugnant to of the State;	
9	(3) judgment;	The judgment conflicts with another final and conclusive	
11	(4) between the part	The proceeding in the foreign court was contrary to an agreement ies under which the dispute was to be settled out of court; [or]	
13 14	(5) foreign court was	In the case of jurisdiction based only on personal service, the a seriously inconvenient forum for the trial of the action; $\Theta R$	
15 16 17 18 19 20	(6) THE CAUSE OF ACTION RESULTED IN A DEFAMATION JUDGMENT OBTAINED IN A JURISDICTION OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES UNLESS THE COURT BEFORE WHICH THE MATTER IS BROUGHT IN THIS STATE FIRST DETERMINES THAT THE DEFAMATION LAW APPLIED IN THE FOREIGN JURISDICTION PROVIDES FOR AT LEAST AS MUCH PROTECTION FOR FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND THE PRESS AS IS PROVIDED BY BOTH THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AND THE MARYLAND CONSTITUTION; OR		
22 23 24 25 26	SERVICE, AS DE THE MATTER IS	THE CAUSE OF ACTION RESULTED IN A DEFAMATION ERED AGAINST THE PROVIDER OF AN INTERACTIVE COMPUTER FINED IN 47 U.S.C. § 230, UNLESS THE COURT BEFORE WHICH BROUGHT IN THIS STATE DETERMINES THAT THE JUDGMENT IS TH 47 U.S.C. § 230.	
27 28 29 30	6–103.3 OF THIS MAY AWARD TH FOREIGN JUDGM	ANY ACTION BROUGHT IN A COURT OF THIS STATE UNDER § SARTICLE OR TO ENFORCE A FOREIGN JUDGMENT, THE COURT E PARTY OPPOSING RECOGNITION OR ENFORCEMENT OF THE MENT REASONABLE ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS, IF THE PARTY E ACTION ON A GROUND SPECIFIED IN THIS SECTION.	

32 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect 33 October 1, 2010.

Approved:	
	Governor.
	President of the Senate.
	Speaker of the House of Delegates.